# **Review Form 1.6**

| Journal Name:            | Asian Food Science Journal   |
|--------------------------|--|
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AFSJ_81925  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Trigonella foenum graecum (FENUGREEK): AN HERB WITH IMPRESSIVE HEALTH BENEFITS AND PHARMACOLOGICAL THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Many sentences/information throughout the manuscript have serious flaws that withdrawn my attention from it.  |   |
|                                     | 2. Many non-scientific and incorrect/wrong information/sentences are there, which may mislead the readers.  |   |
|                                     | 4. Every section of the manuscript must be written more effectively according to the published literature with appropriate references   |   |
|                                     | 7. Need to change the introduction considerably. Try to include the existing research limitations also, how the present research unravels those limits.   |   |
|                                     | 8. English is poor. The authors need to improve their writing style. The whole manuscript needs to be checked by native English speakers.   |   |
|                                     | <ul><li>9. The conclusion needs to address future perspectives.</li><li>10. Authors must check the references and rearrange them according to the journal guidelines.</li></ul>                 |   |
| Minor REVISION comments             | Huge grammatical errors observed throughout the article. The 'References' were not well organized. So, all of the references must be checked and rearranged according to the author guidelines. |   |
| Optional/General comments           |   |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |  |

# **Reviewer Details:**

| Name:                            | Mohammad Nurul Amin   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Department, University & Country | Atish Dipankar University of Science and Technology, Bangladesh |

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)