

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_86469
Title of the Manuscript:	Periodontal status and glycaemic control among type 2 diabetic patients- a comparable study between 2 teaching hospitals in 2 geographical zones in Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should inform the reader in a succinct manner as to what the article is about and what the major contributions are that are discussed.</p> <p>The Introduction; The first paragraph should follow the inverted triangle principle. Middle paragraphs;ok</p> <p>Final paragraph; The introduction ends with the "road-map" paragraph. This paragraph outlines the remaining sections of the paper.</p> <p>The References; You may not include references that were not cited.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The Methods; Describe all of the techniques used to obtain the results in a separate, objective Methods section</p> <p>The Results; Results should be clear, convincing, and general and should be free from interpretations or opinions</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The summary and conclusions section tells the reader what has already been read and draws the important conclusions—keep it short and make it as specific as possible</p> <p>The Discussion; Discussion sections interpret the results to reach the main conclusions of the article</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abu-Hussein Muhamad
Department, University & Country	Al-Quds University, Palestine