1

2

5

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

6 **ABSTRACT** 7

The study assessed the socio-economic important of beekeeping in Lafia local government area of Nasarawa state, using structured questionnaires and interview schedule. Sample of 29 respondents were selected for data collected based on the 2019/2020 production season. The data include socio-economic characteristics of the respondents, their management practices, input and output level of honey production, cost and return analysis of beekeeping in the study area. Descriptive statistics and gross margin analytical tools were utilized to analyze the data. The result revealed that majority (93.1%) of those who participated in beekeeping where males and only 6.9% were female, 69% of the respondent were married while 31.0% were singles. All the respondents 100% got their hives themselves. 100% of the respondent harvest honey, 74.4% of the respondent harvest Beeswax and other bee product for commercial purposes. The average variable cost and gross return were $\aleph 2,927$ and $\aleph 11,152.65$ respectively. The gross margin was $\aleph 8,265.09$; major constraints faced by the respondents were inadequate capital, Bee aggressiveness, theft, marketing, landownership and lack of modern equipment.

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is one of the oldest profession in the world, from creation to date the profession has undergone various metamorphosis from food gathering to organizing of agricultural practices which require man to select crops and animal for domestication and rearing. Collecting honey from the wild is one of the early agricultural activities. According to National (1996) Bee Apis mellifera is a species introduced into the northern America by early English and Spanish settlers for use in beekeeping. Beekeeping is the culturing of bees for their honey and wax a common practice among rural dwellers in tropical country` especially forest zones. Honey has a long and distinguished history in the human diet. For thousands of years honey hunters had plundered the hives of wild bees for their precious honey and beeswax, the practice still common today. The most widely used honey bees are the European Apis mellifera which have now been introduced worldwide. Tropical Africa has a native Apis mellifera, which is slightly smaller than European Apis mellifera and is most likely to fly off the comb and sting. They are most likely to abandon their hives if disturbed and in some areas the colonies migrate seasonally.

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Apiculture (Beekeeping) is the maintenance of bee colonies for the commercial production of honey and other bee products and for use in cross pollination of crops (Encyclopedia America, 2003). According to Nwali (1996), beekeeping is a science of rearing honey bees for man's economic benefits. Beekeeping is the art of managing colonies population so that the maximum number of bees is available for a task at a particular time. Apiculture is concerned with the practical management of social species of honey bees which live in large colonies of up to 100,000 individuals comprising of single group (Honey Bees, 2011). Rainhard (1995) confirms that honeybees can thrive in vegetation whose annual rain fall ranges from 50mm-350mm. The bee *Apismellifera*(Dominant species in Nigeria) visits many flowers of native trees, shrubs and herbaceous plants.

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

Among the trees visited are Vitelariaparadoxum(Shea butter), Danieliaoliverii (maje), Khaya senegalensis (mahogany)and Ziziphus spina-christi(kurna). The shrub visited include Anonna senegalensis, Mimosa invasa and Giuera senegalensis, while herbaceous plants visited consist of Tridaxprocumbens, AspiliaafricanaandAccanthospermumhispidium(Marieke, 1991).Recently Beekeeping Association of Nigeria (BAN) requested the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (FEPA) and the Department of Forestry for assistance to establish national apiaries in all the state in Nigeria so as to facilitate the training of trainers to sustain such apiaries across the country. The ultimate goal was to make a beekeeper out of every Nigerian in order to expand production. Beekeeping is being introduce to various part of Nigeria including Nasarawa state, the common African honey bee (Apis mellifera and Adansonni), live throughout the year in colonies consisting of a queen or mother bee, which is a fertile egg laying female; 10,000 to 200,000 worker bees, which are infertile female and the male bees

called drones that may be present in colony only during the reproductive season (Marieke, 1991).

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

63

64

In Asia there are three main native tropical species, Apis cerana, Apis dorsata, Apis florae. Cerena is the only species that can be managed in hives, but the single combs of the other two are collected by honey hunters. Bees are insect found in the order hymenoptera in the family *Apidae*, there are 20,000 named species of bees in sub Saharan Africa, there are over 3000 species of bees, mainly endemic in the tropical and savanah region. The most important species of the African bees are the African apida (apis) which are the stinging and the stingless (triagoma) honey bees (Eisa and Roth, 2008). However within the genus Apis themellifera species is the most useful species of bees because of its appreciable honey production capacity. Record have shown that honey have been exploited for thousands of years as they are capable of collecting nectar, that is then being converted into honey and stored as a source of food for the colony. Only few species of bees exhibit a high level of social development and live together in a colony headed by an egg laying queen who may be the mother of the entire colony (Bees and Livelihood, 2003) Honey bees are kept in large cities and villages, farm lands and range lands, in the forest and the desert from the arctic and Antarctic to the equator (Bees and Rural Livelihood, 2003) provided that there is an optimum source of nectar, pollen and water.

83

84

85

86

87

Beekeeping is a sustainable form of agriculture which is capable of providing food, income, health and environmental benefit to human especially for the rural poor populace. Bees provide more than just honey, other products like bee wax, propolis, royal jelly, bee venom and pollen are also obtained from a bee hive. Bees also provide

environmental service through pollination and cross pollination of flowering plant thus, indirectly maintaining biodiversity. Many traditional beekeepers in the tropical region used log hives, basket, mud-pot, bark and many materials that cannot be opened for inspection of the colony. All this hives mentioned above are either placed on the hilltop or on the tree branches, However they have their limitations, some of the constrains are due to the fact that the honey is harvested using hot fire which kills a lot of the bees in the process and occasionally destroy the hive itself. The honey harvested tends to be of low quality due to ashes and debris from the fire, in certain cases the brood (young bees) are mixed up with honey and the honey sometimes boils during extraction and therefore lowering the quality significantly which makes the honey adulterated. In line with this Adejare (1984) observe that honey that is collected from a hollow trunks, abandoned anthills and from crevices is seldom of high quality and the method is less efficient.

Yusuf (1998) also noted that beekeeping on a small scale does not involve much capital. He opined that the only initial expenditure needed is for the purpose of construction of beehive and purchase of beehive tools, therefore a small expenditure is needed for maintaining the hives.

The demand for honey and beehive products is constantly on the increase, primarily due to its variety of use for homes, local and industrial purposes. Bee wax for instance has more than 300 different industrial uses (Apiculture, 2009), while royal jelly is the most expensive and scarce hive resources. Eventually beekeeping is said to be lucrative and rewarding enterprise to invest in, the general fear of bee stings and the dangers involved in beekeeping has being the major contributing factors that hinders people from engaging in beekeeping. It is however important to note that beekeeping provide more than just honey for local / home consumption and marketing to earn money, honey and beehive

products are as well utilized to generate foreign currency through export trade (Bees and Livelihood, 2003).

Beekeeping is probably not well recognized by rural farmers in Lafia. Lafia local government is fairly blessed with abundant vegetation including natural and grown crops.

This makes it a potential and favourable environment for bees to inhabit.

The objective of this research is to undertake a socio-economic analysis of beekeeping in Lafia local government of Nasarawa state, to describe the socio-economic characteristics of beekeepers in Lafia local government area, Identify the materials used for beekeeping in the study area, describe the beekeeping management practices used by farmers in the study area, determine the cost and returns and profitability of the traditional method of honey production in the area and identify problems encountered by beekeepers in the area.

The study intends to highlight the beekeeping practice in Lafia local government area of Nasarawa State. The research will also give a clearer picture of what role the honey beekeeping practices play in improving the standard of living of the people. The study reveals possible increase in farm productivity (crop yield) as a result of beekeeping practices integrated in to farming. The benefit derived will be of good use to agricultural development in the state, so as to take the necessary steps in improving the living standards of the farmers in the state, the findings of this study will also contribute to knowledge and serve as reference point for further research.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

137 **Experimental Site** Lafia local government area is located in Nasarawa south senatorial zone of Nasarawa 138 state. It is located within the latitude $08^0 \ 29^0$ and latitude $8^0 \ 31^0$ East of the equator with an 139 altitude of about 181.5m above sea level (Lafia Local Government Information Unit, 140 2010). Lafia Local Government shares boundary in the southwards with Obi local 141 government area and westwards with Doma Local Government. The occupations of the 142 people in the area are mostly farming. Lafia Local Government has a population of 330,712 143 people (NPC, 2006). 144 Sampling technique 145 Beekeepers in the study area constitute the target population for the survey. A total number 146 of twenty nine beekeepers were selected for the survey due to limited number of 147 148 beekeepers in the study area. **Data collection** 149 Data collection was through the aid of structured questionnaire and personal interview 150 151 conversation. The data was based on the production season. **Analytical Technique** 152 The analytical technique employed are simple descriptive statistics for objective 1, 2 and 4 153 of the study and budgetary technique (Gross margin) was used to satisfy objective 3 of the 154 study. 155 **Simple Descriptive Statistics** 156 This analytical tool was used to satisfy objective 1, 2 and 4 of the study using frequency 157 158 counts, mean and percentage.

Budgetary Technique

The budgetary techniques used in this study to satisfy objective 3 is, Gross Margin analysis. This tool was useful planning tool for this study because the fixed capital variable cost was considered negligible. Most of the beekeepers in the study area are operating on a small scale using simple tools and materials locally sourced within their environment for production (beekeeping). The gross margin was calculated on per hive basis from the equation:

GM = GR - TVC

160

161

162

163

164

165

167

168

169

170

171

172

GM/hive = GR/hive - TVC/hive

Where GM= Gross margin

Gross return (GR) = Total output x unit price of output

Total variable cost =cost of labor, cost of bait, cost of smoking material, cost of fuel

RESULTS

TABLE 1:DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS ACCORDING TO THEIR SOCIO-

173 ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

	VARIABLES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	_
174	1110			-
175	SEX			
176	Male	27	93.1	
177	Female	2	6.9	
178	TOTAL	29	100.0	
179	AGE GROUP			
180	15—20	2	6.9	
177 178 179	Female TOTAL AGE GROUP	2 29	6.9 100.0	

181	21-30	10	
182	31-40	8	27.6
183	41-50	4	13.8
184	51 And Above	5	17.2
185	TOTAL	29	100.0
186	MARITAL STATUS		
187	Single	9	31.0
188	Married	20	69.0
189	Divorce	-	-
190	TOTAL	29	100.0
191	EDUCATIONAL LEVEL		
192	Primary	2	6.9
193	Secondary	12	41.4
194	Tertiary		-
195	Non-formal	14	48.3
196	Adult education	1	3.4
197	TOTAL	29	100.0
198	HOOUSEHOLD SIZE		
199	1-5	5	17.2
200	6.10	18	62.1
201	11 And Above	6	20.7
202	TOTAL	29	100.0
203			

TABLE 2:DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS ACCORDING TO EXPERIENCE IN BEEKEEPING, SOURCE OF INFORMATION, MEMBERSHIP OF BEEKEEPING ASSOCIATION, AND ACCESS TO CREDIT AVAILABLE .

VARIABLES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
EXPERIENCE	E IN BEEKEEPING,	
1-5	3	10.3
6-10	15	51.7
11-15	10	34.5
16 And Above	1	3.4
TOTAL	29	100.0
SOURCE OF 1	INFORMATION	// /
Printed material	ls 1	3.4
Beekeeping asso	ociation 11	37.9
Non-printed ma	iterial 17	58.6
TOTAL	29	100.0
MEMBERSHI	P OF BEEKEEPING	
ASSOCIATIO	ON	
Member	20	69.0
Non-Member	9	31.0
TOTAL	29	100.0
ACCESS TO C	CREDIT	
Local lenders	26	89.7
Agricultural bar	nk -	-
Commercial bar	nk -	-
Loans and thrift	3 9	10.3

228

230

231

TABLE 3: DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS ACCORDING TO TYPES OF HIVES USE,

232 TYPES OF HIVES OWNERSHIP, OTHER BEEHIVE PRODUCTS, AND QUANTITY OF

233 HIVES POSSESS.

VARIABLES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
1		
TYPES OF HIVES		
5 Local	13	44.8
Top bar	14	48.3
3 Langstroth	2	6.9
TOTAL	29	100.0
TYPES OF HIVES O	OWNERSHIP	
Self	29	100
2 Rent		-
B Lending	-	-
Inheritance	-	-
5 TOTAL	29	100.0
OTHER BEEHIVE I	PRODUCTS	
Bee wax	29	100.0
3 Propolis	-	-
Pollen	-	-
Royal jelly	_	-

251	Bee venom	-	-	
252	TOTAL	29	100.0	
253	QUANTITY OF HIVES H	POSSESS		
254	1-20	3	10.3	
255	21-30	8	27.6	
256	31-40	8	27.6	
257	41 and Above	10	34.5	
258	TOTAL	29	100.0	

TABLE 4:DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS ACCORDING TO REASON FOR HONEY

261 HARVEST, MONTH OF HARVEST AND QUANTITY OF LITERS HARVEST

	VARIABLES	FREQUE	NCY	PERCENTAGE	
262		0			
263	REASON FOR HONEY HAD	RVEST			
264	For consumption	3	10.3		
265	For commercial purpose	21	72.4		
266	As hobby	5	17.2		
267	TOTAL	29	100.0		
268	MONTH OF HARVEST				
269	March	10		34.5	
270	April	10		34.5	
271	November/December	9		31.0	
272	TOTAL	29		100.0	

273 QUANTITY OF LITERS HARVEST

278	TOTAL	29	100.0
277	31 and Above	10	34.5
276	21-30	9	31.0
275	11-20	8	27.6
274	1-10	2	6.9

279

280 TABLE 5: CONTRAINTS FACED BY THE BEEKEEPERS IN THE STUDY AREA.

S/N	VARIABLES	PERCENTAGES
1.	Land Ownership	10.3%
2.	Lack of Technical Assistance	6.9%
3.	Bush Burning	6.9%
4.	Lack of Modern Equipment and Technology	10.3%
5.	Inadequate	6.9%
6.	Bee Aggressive	13.8%
7.	Swarming/Absconding	3.4%
8.	Theft	13.8%
9.	Pest and Disease	3.4%
10.	Marketing	10.3%

281

TABLE 6: INPUT AND OUTPUT LEVEL OF HONEY PRODUCTION

ITEMS	MINIMUN	MAXIMUM	MEAN
INPUT			

Hive			
Labor(m/hrs.)	7	78	31.75
MISCELLANEOUS			
Fuel(liters)	0.30	3	1.18
Match			
OUTPUT			
Honey yield(liter)			
	1	7	4.33%
	1	3	1.71%
	10	75	96.79%

TABLE 7: COST AND RETURNS ANALYSIS

NAIRA PERCENTAGE (%)	A	COST ITEMS/HIVE	S/N
		Variable cost	1
40.52	1	Labour	a
38.64	1	Baiting materials	b
5.52	1	Smoking materials	c
15.32	4	Transportation	d
100	2	Total Variable Cost	2
15.32	4	Transportation	d

3	Gross Return	11152.65	
4	Gross Margin	8.265.09	

DISCUSSION

Distribution of respondents according to socio-economic characteristics

Socio-Economic Characteristic of Respondents. The socio-economic characteristics of the respondents collected were based on sex, Age, marital status, household size, educational level. Table 1 shows the sex distribution of the respondents in the study area, this indicates that majority 93.1% of those who participated in beekeeping were males and only6.9% of the respondents were female. The low participation of females in beekeeping could be due allergies, fear of being stung, religious or cultural belief of the people in the area. Table 1 reveals that 34.5% are aged 21-30 years, 27.6% are aged 31-40 years, 13.8% are between 41-50 years, 51 years and above has 17.2% and 15-20 years with6.9%. Table 1 further shows that 69.0% of the beekeepers were married while 31.0% of the respondents were single; it indicates that married persons are fully involved in beekeeping than those who are single. 48.3% of the respondents have non-formal education, 41.4% have attended secondary school, 6.9% have attended primary and within 3.4% attended adult education. The household is predominantly 62.1% range 6-10 family members, 20.7% range between 11 and above while 17.2% range within 1-5.

Distribution of respondents according to experience in beekeeping, source of information, membership of beekeeping association and source of credit.

Majority of the respondents had their experience within 6-10 years with 51.7%, 34.5% within 11-15 years, 10.3% within 1-5 years, 15 and above within 3.4%. From these, it shows that people that have been in beekeeping business for 6-10 years are having the highest percentage. This gives us the estimated period that people are aware of the beekeeping in the area. The long period of experience might have resulted in acquisition of many skills in the production. On the other hand, only 3.4% of the respondent get information from printed materials, 37.9% gets their information from beekeeping association, non-print material have 58.6% which have the bulk of respondents. Memberships of beekeeping association shows majority were 69.0% were members of the co-operative society, while 31.0% were not. It also enhance the improvement of the social and domestic condition of its members by raising a sufficient amount of capital (loan) to bring co-operative to establish a self-supporting home colony of united interest for members and provide employment for the unemployed members (Rochdale, 1844). In terms of credit accessibility, 89.7% of the respondents source their credit from local lenders including friends and family, 10.3% from loans society.

Distribution of respondent according to types of hives use, types of hives ownership, other beehive products, quantity of hives possess.

Indicates that majority of beekeepers uses Top bar, 48.3%, local hive like basket, baked clay and barrel tanks, 44.8% and langstroth users have 6.9%.table 3 indicates that 100% of the respondent got their hive by themselves. Rent, lending and inheritance have nothing. 100% bee wax, Propolis, pollen, royal jelly and bee venom have nothing. 34.5% of the respondents own between 41 and above beehives, 27.6% and 27.6% possess 21-30 hives and 31-40 hives, while 10.3% possess 1-20 hives. In the aspect of hives types, it indicates that most of the hives use is top bar, mostly constructed timber plank boxes.

Distribution of respondents according to reason for honey harvest, month of harvest and quantity of liters harvested.

Shows that 72.4 % of the respondent's harvest honey bee for commercial purpose, implying that the vocation could yield enough returns to keep people in the business, 17'2% as hobby and 10.0% claimed to harvest in November and December. 34.5% of the respondent produce 31 and above liters of honey, this is small considering the fact that they can only harvest once or twice in a year, however since they operate small farm size the output is expected to be small the quantity of honey a farmer may realize from his apiary depends mainly on the number of hives and the period of harvest, 31.0% of the respondents harvest 21 to 30 Liters, 27.6 of the respondents harvest 11 to 20 liters and lastly 6.9% harvest 1-10 liters of honey.

DISTRIBUTION OF CONSTRAINTS FACE BY RESPONDENTS IN HONEY

BEEKEEPING.

Inadequate Capital

Majority (13.8%) of the respondents attributed their problem to lack of funds. Despite the profit in the enterprise the beekeepers use the profit earned to produce arable crops and to sponsor some of their wardens to school. Most of the profit earned is used by the beekeepers to satisfy their safety needs.

Land Ownership

Only (10.3%) of the respondents reported land as a constraints, among the problem is small size land holding and insufficiency of large trees on the land to place the beehives on them.

Lack of technical assistance

349 Table 1 shows (6.9%) of the respondents reported lack of technical assistance. **Bush burning** 350 351 Bush burning is one of the major problem of the respondent with (6.9%) were affected with bush burning, fire outbreak drives the bees from their hives which reduce productivity. 352 353 Lack of modern equipment and technology This is another major problem faced by the respondents with 10.3%, they complain of lack of 354 355 equipment like, honey extractor, smoker, bee suit etc. **Inadequate information** 356 The table 5 shows that 6.9% of the respondents reported inadequate information as one of the 357 problem the encounter in the study area. The complain of inadequate information regarding 358 handling, management of bee products. 359 Bee aggressiveness 360 13.8% of the respondent experiences this problem, the table 5 shows that bee aggressiveness is 361 362 also a serious problem among the respondents in the study area. They complain that bees usually stings them several times whenever they are working on the hives and sometimes the bees 363 eventually chase them from the hives when the sting becomes unbearable. 364 Swarming / Absconding 365 366 Result from table 5 indicates that swarming and absconding is not very serious problem during

17

the study. 3.4% of the respondents experience such problem. However the respondents reveal

that the bees usually live their hives if the hives is frequently been vandalize or disturbed by

367

369 strange and unknown persons or mostly by bush fire or illegal lumbering of the trees where the hives were placed. 370 **Theft** 371 372 The table 5 shows 13.8% of the respondents have problem of theft of honey from the hives is a 373 serious problem and affect majority of the respondents. Theft is prevalent because the hives are 374 mostly in the farm far away from the beekeeper, thus residing the hives unsecure from illegal exploitation. 375 Pest and disease 376 It shows 3.4% of the respondents are experiencing problems of pest and disease. The only case 377 they could observe is dead bees which may result from the action of insect lethal agrochemical 378 sprayed on crops. 379 Marketing 380 Table 5 shows that 10.3% of the respondents in the study area experience problem of marketing 381 among the respondents. It was discovered that only few people were engage in beekeeping in the 382 383 study area, however, marketing is not expected to b a problem because there are many buyers and producers. 384 **Input-Output Level in Honey Beekeeping** 385 The input used for beekeeping in the study area include; beehive labor, baiting materials, 386 smoking materials and miscellaneous. While the output consider was honey yield (honey output). 387

Hives

These represent the total number of hives per respondents 921 units, the maximum number of hives used by the respondent was 78 units and minimum number of hives used was 7 units while the mean was 31.75

Labour

Labour input on the bases of man hour was adopted for the study. The total labour utilized by the respondents comprises mainly of family members will rather take advantage of using family members for labour rather than giving out scarce capital out as a wages to hired labour personnel. The maximum labour utilized hive was 3 hours and the minimum is 0.30 hours, while the mean time was 1.18hours

Baiting Materials

Baiting materials used by the respondents was cow dung mixed with leaves it will be difficult to determined level of use of this input used by the respondents because the material were sourced locally and they do not have an appreciable (commercial value) quantity or size.

Smoking Materials

Smoking materials used are relatively cheap materials sourced locally. Some of the materials used for smoking materials are cow dung and dried grasses. These materials are needed in minute quantity thus the unit required for a hive will be difficult to determine because it is almost negligible.

Miscellaneous Materials

This comprises of materials that are also included in the variable cost items. They include fuel for fueling motor cycle to and fro the farm and matches for igniting fire for smoke. The maximum of seven (7) liters, minimum of 1 liter and an average of 4.33 liters of fuel, and the

411 maximum of three (3) boxes, minimum of one (1) box and an average of 1.71 boxes of matches was used by the respondents 412 **Honey Output** 413 This represents the total quantity (liters) of honey harvested per hive by the respondents. The 414 maximum honey yield per hive was 75 liters the minimum yield was 10 liters and the mean 415 416 output was 96.79% liters per hive harvested by the respondents in beekeeping. **Cost of Production** 417 During the compilation of the total cost of productions, it was assumed that fixed cost was 418 negligible because the respondents operate their beekeeping on a local level of production using 419 locally sourced materials, including the hives which are mostly backed clay hives and baskets. 420 **Cost of Labor** 421 Family members were utilized as laborers by all the respondents in the study area and no wage is 422 awarded to them by the beekeepers rather their wages are paid indirectly by feeding them. 423 However, labor cost was based on the opportunity cost principle. The average cost for labour of 424 the respondents per hive was computed to be N 1,186.20/ hive 425 **Cost of Baiting Material** 426 Baiting material used by respondents are usually sourced locally the baiting materials have 427 neither fixed price nor unit ratio. The average cost of bait used by the respondents was found to 428

Cost of Smoking Materials

be N 1,131.03/hives.

429

Smoking materials used by the respondents during the study are also Local materials (Dried cow dung, coconut husk, and maize cob). The cost of sourcing for the materials was assumed to be the main cost. The average cost of smoking materials per hive was estimated to be N162.06.

Cost of Transport

Transportation is very important in the production of honey; the total cost of transport fair of the respondents was estimated to be N448.27.

Total Variable Cost

This was obtained by the summation of all the variable cost which is cost of labour, baiting materials, smoking materials and transportation. An average of N-2,927.56 was estimated for the total variable cost.

Average gross return

The average gross return of the respondents was obtained from the product of the average total annual yield of honey/hive/liter (7.966 liters) and the average cost of honey/liter(N296,122.5) while the annual gross return was N11,152.65.

Gross Margin

This represents the difference between the value of the gross return per hive and the total variable cost per hive. The result in Table 6 shows that the gross margin of N28, 265.09/hive was obtained by beekeepers in the study area. This indicates that beekeeping is a very lucrative enterprise in the study area.

The most severe constraints face by the respondents are Lack of modern equipment/ technology,

Inadequate capital, Inadequate information, Theft, swarming/ absconding and Bee

aggressiveness. The finding from the study revealed that the majority of the respondents in the study area are local beekeepers basically using local ideas of beekeeping. Average cost of N 11,31.03 and N 162.06 were incurred on baiting and smoking materials for each hive. Average cost of N 52.06 and N 3.15 were obtained as the average cost of fuelling and matches respectively for each hive. The total variable cost obtained was N 2,927.56/ hive and the gross return was N 11, 152.65 at a unit (liter). While the gross margin was N 8,265.09/ hive.

Conclusion

A significant potential exist in beekeeping in Lafia Local Government Area owing to the availability of abundant natural vegetation and cropped plants. The availability of nectar and pollen sources are rest assured. The major factors that significantly influence the output of honey production in the study area is the number of hives owned by the beekeeper that is the volume of production of honey increase with increase of the total number of hives owned by a beekeeper provided, the hive are colonized. However increased productivity in beekeeping in the study area can translate to improvement in the socio-economic status of the beekeepers. Moreover, less time is spend working on beehives compare to the time spend in working on a crop field, that is more time can be saved for doing other productive activities.

469 REFERENCE

- Adejare, S. (1984). The Golden insets, A handbook on beekeeping for beginners. Tcc and intermediate Technology publication: London pp, 66.
- Apiculture, (2009) Handbook of Agriculture 6th edition, Indian council of Agricultural Research,
- New-delhi, India pp.50-529.
- Bees and Rural Livelihood (2003). "Bees for development" Troy Mon mouth, Uk.
- 475 Census (2006). National Population Commission Report Census Posted: 2006.

476	Eisa, M.A and Roth, M. (2008). "Overview of traditional beekeeping in Sudan"a paper
477	presented at the workshop on the competition of resources in a changing world: new
478	drive for rural development in Hohenhim. October, $7^{th} - 9^{th}$.
479	Marieke, M. (1991). The natural honey bee colony. Paper presented at the levent is foundation
480	(nig) Agricultural school, Dogondawa, Zaria, J. Agricultural Research 19:127-137.
481	National, L. (1996). Agric panorama Media Extension, National Agricultural Extension and
482	Research Liaison Service Ahmadu Bello University Zaria (Nig).
483	Nwali, L. (1996). Agric Panorama, Media Extension, NAERLS, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria
484	Honey Bees (2011). Wikkipedia of honey. Retrieved from W.W.W
485	Wikipedia.org/wiki/honey.July 2011.
486	Yusuf, A.I (1998). Basic Requirement for establishing Bee colonies for Honey and wax
487	production, unpublished monograph, pp. 1-60, page 11 understanding Honey Bee.
488	