Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_83669
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELLING THE EFFECT OF MACRO-ECONOMIC VARIABLES ON PENSION CONTRIBUTION IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Pension contribution and pension payment Model specification Line 224 : the variable is not normally distributed	Are they synonymous? A definition is required ARDL Model specification, steps, lag selection criteria needs more elaboration An implication of this result should be mentioned and support your result with previous researches Is it statistically sig (0.06)?	
Line 240 Furthermore, there is a positive and statistically Line 250: Moreover, GDP has negative but statistically significant relationship Line 258: insignificant, as shown in Table 5. References:	Is it statistically sig (0.72)? Is it statistically insig (0.01)? many references were listed inside the text and not found at the reference list and vice versa, therefore they are highlighted with Yellow color for the first case and green color for the second case in the attached manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments Abstract: Line 27 Line 83: Line 124: The data was analyzed Gross Domestic Product Line 273: The result, however reveals Line 296 microeconomic variables	-There is no need for the p- value in the abstract Is it conclusion or recommendation? -Study area?? - it is better to mention the analytical method used -No need to mention it in full as it was abbreviated by GDP The result reveals macroeconomic	
Optional/General comments Line (16): Inflation reduces Line (73): in which the components are combined. Line (67): In Nigeria for instance Line 132: Due to data availability Lines 133 and 140	For instance, Inflation reduces In which the variables are integrated It would be better if a summary is provided for these studies It could be dropped No need for the word also	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azharia Abdelbagi Elbushra
Department, University & Country	King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)