

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78087
Title of the Manuscript:	Green synthesis and antioxidant activity of silver nanoparticles synthesized using Ficus benghalensis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The source of extract of F.benghalensis is it legalised and does the extraction process needs to cut the whole tree? As this will go against the principle of green synthesis</p> <p>If the idea of green synthesis is legalised, does this type of trees grow somewhere else in the world as this will give more strength to the impact of the current article</p> <p>Is there any evidence in the literature for choosing (10/ 20 /30/40/50) as a concentration for the extract and whether increasing the incubation time more than 48 hours will make any difference?</p> <p>The results needed to be clearly explained apart from discussion part</p> <p>Is there any spectroscopic analysis of silver nanoparticles synthesised from F benghalensis in comparison with ascorbic acid ? There's figure 3 only for F. Benghalensis .</p> <p>I expected to hear practical applications and more relevant for silver nanoparticles and I was not sure what is meant by oral diseases?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Better quality of images Need to be used to show the results and clear written labels to demonstrate changes over time</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Green synthesis is good idea in general</p> <p>I do appreciate the effort done for this article</p> <p>Most of references used are quite recent</p> <p>The article needs revision for it's language content as there are few grammar mistakes and inappropriate use of words</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emadeldin Hassan
Department, University & Country	Southampton University Hospital, United Kingdom