

Minireview Article

Important Foodborne Zoonotic Parasites of Southeast Asia: A Mini Review

ABSTRACT

Many neglected tropical diseases are foodborne parasitic zoonoses, which are typically transmitted to humans after consumption of contaminated food, soil or water, containing eggs or larval stages. This mini review focuses on the three main foodborne zoonotic helminths of Southeast Asia (SEA): *Taenia solium*, *Opisthorchis viverrini* and *Ascaris* species; describing their life cycle and clinical signs, distribution and prevalence, and associated risk factors. Key control and prevention strategies are also being discussed, along with challenges raised by diagnostic testing and climate change. Future interventions aiming to reduce the prevalence and impacts of foodborne parasitic zoonoses in SEA should be multi-dimensional and consider interactions between humans, animals, the food chain, and the environment, by adopting a One Health approach.

KEYWORDS

Ascaris species, foodborne zoonotic parasites, *Opisthorchis viverrini*, Southeast Asia, *Taenia solium*

1. INTRODUCTION

Zoonoses are infectious diseases transmitted from animals to people, they are broadly distributed worldwide, but are more abundant in Eastern Africa and Southeast Asia (SEA) than other regions [1]. In SEA, bacterial, helminthic and viral pathogens are associated with more zoonoses than protozoa and fungi [1]. Pathogenic organisms do not equally infect all mammal groups, with rodents carrying the greatest number of zoonotic helminths, followed by carnivores and ungulates [1]. More specifically, dogs (carnivores), followed by aquatic animals (amphibians, birds, fish and reptiles) and

pigs (ungulates) can be definitive hosts to more zoonotic helminths infections than other animal groups [2]. Interestingly, the common sources of infections in the animal groups with the bigger diversity of zoonotic helminths (namely dogs, as companion animals, aquatic animals and pigs, as livestock animals) and humans include poor hygiene, environmental contamination and consuming improperly cooked or raw meat [2]. In SEA, many neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) are foodborne parasitic zoonoses, which are typically transmitted to humans after consumption of cruciferous vegetables, improperly cooked or raw crustaceans, fish and meat, containing parasitic larval stages [3]. The occurrence of foodborne parasitic zoonoses in this region correlates with poor hygiene and sanitation, and traditional cooking practices [3].

Using multi-criteria decision analysis, the global ranking of foodborne parasites listed the following as the five most important helminth species [4]: *Taenia solium* (commonly called the pig tapeworm), *Echinococcus* species (hydatid tapeworms), *Trichinella spiralis* (referred to as the pork worm), *Ascaris* species (*A. lumbricoides* and *A. suum*, respectively called the large roundworms of humans and pigs) and Opisthorchidae parasites, which encompass three trematode species: *Clonorchis sinensis*, *Opisthorchis viverrini*, and *O. felinus* (referred to as the Chinese, Southeast Asian and cat liver flukes respectively).

This ranking was published after a meeting held close to 10 years ago and since then, global measures were implemented to try to reduce the prevalence of these foodborne parasites and limit their impact on humans [3-5]. Reducing prevalence was and is still a global priority because these organisms represent a major threat to public health, both globally and in SEA [3, 5, 6]. In fact, every year, approximately 420 000 people die worldwide due to foodborne diseases [3] with 22% of illnesses, 55% of deaths, and 61% of DALYs (Disability-Adjusted Life Year) estimated to be due to foodborne parasites [7]. More precisely, foodborne zoonotic helminths cause the majority (60%) of DALYs and deaths, with the largest disease burdens occurring in Africa, in developing America and in SEA [7]. In SEA, the main parasites associated with DALYs are *Taenia solium*, *Opisthorchis* and *Ascaris* species [7].

This paper will thus provide an overview of these three helminth parasites in SEA in their order of importance; focusing on their life cycle and clinical signs, distribution and prevalence, associated risk factors, relevant key control and prevention strategies and associated challenges.

2. TAENIA SOLIUM

2.1 Life cycle and clinical signs

T. solium, or the pig tapeworm, is a cestode which larval stages cause cysticercosis in pigs and humans. Both intermediate hosts can become infected after consumption of human faeces-contaminated food (vegetables), water or soil, containing eggs or proglottids. Humans can also acquire this helminth as a result of poor hand hygiene, while pigs can also get exposed via direct coprophagy. After ingestion of eggs or tapeworm segments, oncospheres penetrate the intestinal wall, reach different organs via the bloodstream, and develop into cysticerci [4, 8, 9].

Formation of cysticerci in human brains can result into neurocysticercosis and is associated with neurological problems such as epilepsy, seizures, and death. Porcine cysticercosis cases are usually asymptomatic, as cysticerci are typically embedded in striated muscles, but heavily infected pigs can display lingual cysts. *T. solium* adult stages can also cause taeniasis in humans, who can get infected after consumption of undercooked cysticerci-contaminated pork meat, or via autoinfection. Through digestion, cysticerci hatch and develop to adult tapeworms in the small intestine of definitive human hosts, who will shed eggs and proglottids in their faeces, perpetuating the parasite life cycle and further contaminating the environment. Intestinal infections can cause mild digestive symptoms including abdominal pain, constipation or diarrhea, decreased appetite, intestinal inflammation, and nausea; but most cases tend to be asymptomatic [4, 8, 9].

2.2 Distribution and prevalence

Previously, the distribution of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in Cambodia, Laos, Thailand, and Vietnam was extensively described [10] and further details about studies conducted in SEA communities were provided; but also included data about other *Taenia* species reports [11, 12]. In a recent review, confirmed cases of *Taenia solium* infections in humans and pigs between 2000 and 2018 were comprehensively reviewed: porcine cysticercosis was reported from Cambodia, East Timor, Indonesia, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand, and Vietnam, while no data was available for human *T. solium* infections, except for Cambodia, East Timor, and Indonesia. In the Philippines, only human cases were documented, probably because no proper epidemiological survey in pigs was performed [13]. Further details are provided here below.

In Cambodia, a single study reported porcine cysticercosis after inspection of pork meat, suggesting 6.7% prevalence (29/432), positive animals originated from Banteay Mean Chey, Battambang, Kampong Cham, Kampong Chhnang, Kampong Speu, Kampong Thom, Kandal, Koh Kong provinces [12, 14]. The World Health Organisation (OIE) reported porcine cysticercosis cases between 2005 and 2017 in East Timor but no published paper was found for porcine or human *T. solium* infections [13].

In Indonesia, four studies detected *T. solium* from pigs: two of them reported porcine cysticercosis after inspection of pork meat, suggesting 77.1% prevalence (27/35) and 100% (6/6) respectively, while two others detected cysts during pig postmortem examinations; positive animals originated from Bali, Lampung and Papua provinces [13, 15-18].

The OIE reported porcine cysticercosis cases between 2005 and 2017 in Laos and a single paper found positive cases after inspection of pork meat (5/590), indicating only 0.85% prevalence from pigs originating from Huaphana and Luangprabang provinces [13, 19]. In addition, *T. solium* infections were detected in 3.1% (5/163) of tested humans originating from Savannakhet province [20].

In Myanmar, a single study reported porcine cysticercosis after inspection of pork meat, suggesting 23.7% prevalence (71/300), positive animals originated from Naypyidaw province [13, 21]. Porcine and human cysticercosis, as well as taeniosis cases, were also detected from the border between Myanmar and Thailand [13, 22].

No porcine cysticercosis report was found from the Philippines; however, *T. solium* infections were detected in 25% of tested humans originating from Leyte Island [13, 23].

In Thailand, a single study reported porcine cysticercosis from serology screening, suggesting 19.7% prevalence (37/188), post-mortem detection of cysts was confirmed from all seropositive animals examined (n=10), infected swine originated from Tak province [24]. Human taeniosis and cysticercosis were also found in two villages from Kanchanaburi province [13, 25].

The OIE reported porcine cysticercosis cases between 2005 and 2017 in Vietnam and a single paper found positive cases after examination of pig tongues (109/172 087), indicating only 0.06% prevalence from swine originating from Bac Kan, Bac Giang, Lao Cai, Nghe An and Yen Bai provinces [13, 26]. Moreover, *T. solium* infections were detected in 5% of tested humans originating from Dak Lak province [27].

In Brunei and Malaysia, no report of porcine or human *T. solium* infection was found during the examined period, most likely because these two countries are predominantly Muslim [11-13]. In contrast, human cysticercosis cases from migrants have been previously described from Singapore while this country imports pork meat products from other countries [11-13]. This parasite is thus not considered a problem in these three countries although some communities (foreign workers, non-Muslim people) may be at higher risk of exposure [11-13].

2.3 Risk factors

Swine production is crucial to most rural communities of SEA, except for Muslim countries including Brunei, Indonesia, and Malaysia. Pork meat is often the principal source of protein and income, with the majority being produced from small mixed farming systems. Consumption of pork meat has increased tremendously but swine production, husbandry and farming systems are still rudimentary and mostly unmonitored. Furthermore, in SEA, pigs are often reared as free-range, which increase their risk of exposure to *T. solium* contaminated faeces, as a result of coprophagy [4, 6, 11, 12].

T. solium transmission is also enhanced by poor hygiene and sanitation practices including improper handwashing habits, limited domestic use of clean and safe water, primitive or lack of toilets such as latrines, consumption of improperly cooked or raw pork meat, no disease transmission awareness, letting pigs scavenge and feed on human food and faecal wastes, use of human solid and liquid faecal wastes as crop fertilizer, direct or indirect contact with a household carrier, and limited abattoir inspection of pork meat [4, 6, 11, 12].

3. OPISTORCHIS VIVERRINI

3.1 Life cycle and clinical signs

O. viverrini, or the Southeast Asian liver fluke, is a trematode which inhabits the hepatobiliary system of cats, dogs, pigs and humans. People and mammals are definitive hosts, they become infected after consumption of fermented, raw or undercooked metacercariae-contaminated freshwater fish. After ingestion, metacercariae excyst in the intestine, migrate to the bile duct where they mature to adult flukes, and produce eggs which are shed in faeces. Eggs are eaten by freshwater *Bithynia* species snails, the first intermediate hosts, in which they release miracidia prior to developing to sporocysts, rediae and cercariae. Cercariae then get ingested by cyprinid fish (carp family), the second

intermediate hosts, and encyst as metacercariae into their muscles, subcutaneous tissues, and under their scales [4, 8, 12, 28-30].

Heavy burdens of flatworms can obstruct the gall bladder and bile duct of humans, causing abdominal pain, constipation or diarrhea, dyspepsia, fever, malabsorption and malnutrition; but most acute light intensity cases tend to be asymptomatic. Similar clinical signs are observed in other mammalian hosts carrying severe fluke infections. Chronic opisthorchiasis can also cause hepatobiliary symptoms in humans such as gallstones, hepatomegaly; while prolonged infections can lead to cholangiocarcinoma, cholangitis, and cholecystitis [4, 8, 12, 28-30].

3.2 Distribution and prevalence

O. viverrini is endemic to continental SEA, it is prevalent in all countries bordering the Lower Mekong Basin but has been more frequently reported from Cambodia, Central and Southern Lao PDR, North and Northeast Thailand, and Central and Southern Vietnam [30-32]. *O. viverrini* infections have also been recently detected from Myanmar, while sporadic cases from Malaysia and the Philippines have been previously recorded [30-35]. Its geographic range matches the distribution of its first intermediate hosts, the freshwater snails *Bithynia* sp. [31, 36] while 31 cyprinid fish species, its second intermediate hosts, are found in Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand and Vietnam; 21, 11, 7 species are also prevalent in Malaysia, Myanmar and Singapore respectively [34, 37].

A recent review suggested that *O. viverrini* was found across Cambodia and was particularly prevalent in the flood plain of the Mekong River [34, 38]. High infection rates have been recorded from Kampong Cham (18%, 23.9-28.5% and 44.8%), Kandal (7.9%, 10.7% and 20.2%), Takeo (26.9%, 32% and 47.5%) provinces [32, 34, 39-43]. Two national surveys were performed in the past decade: one study detected a 4.1% prevalence for *O. viverrini* infections from schoolchildren, while the other reported a 5.7% prevalence for *O. viverrini* and minute intestinal flukes from the general population [34, 38, 44]. It was previously noted that national data were incomplete and limited, while contradictory infection rates have been reported for some provinces [32, 34, 38].

The national prevalence of *O. viverrini* was previously reported as 10.9% in Laos, with high infection rates detected from the lowland areas of the southern and central provinces of Khammuane (32.2%), Savannakhet (25.9%) and Saravane (32.2%) [32, 34, 45, 46]. *O. viverrini* was also found in 64.3% (430/669) of faecal samples screened from Champasack province [32, 34, 46]. More recent cross-

sectional studies indicated that *O. viverrini* remains very common in these areas, with reports of 51.1% (559/1095) in Chanpasack, Luangprabang and Savannakhet provinces, and of 54.8% (150/237) and 70.3% (90/128) in Khammuane province [34, 47-49].

A recent study assessed *O. viverrini* infections in human rural communities of three Southern Myanmar regions, prevalences of 18.9%, 5% and 3.6% were detected in the Bago, Mon state and Yangon regions respectively [33, 34]. These data indicated a 9.3% (34/364) overall prevalence, but the number of screened samples was limited; a subsequent study, which analysed a larger sample size, reported a much lower prevalence (14/2057; 0.7%) from the Yangon region [33-35].

Historically, the national prevalence of *O. viverrini* was very high in Thailand (14%); but it has been considerably reduced (2.2%) after 40 years of opisthorchiasis control programs [32, 34]. Its prevalence decreased from 34.6% to 4.98% in the Northeast; from 6.3% to 0.87% in the Centre and from 5.6% to 1.79% in the North between 1980 and 2019, while it remained very low (0.01%) in the South [34, 50]. Nonetheless, *O. viverrini* was recently detected from 19.4% (75/387) of faecal samples screened from Khon Kaen province; suggesting that it is still common in Northeast rural villages [34, 51].

O. viverrini was previously found in 11 provinces of Southern Vietnam including Binh Dinh (11.9 %), Da Nang (0.3%), Dac Lac (7.6 %), Khanh Hoa (1.4%), Phu Yen (36.9 %), Quang Nam (4.6%), Quang Tri (32%) and rare cases reported from Thua Thien Hue [32, 34, 52-54]. Infection rates reaching up to 40% were also detected in 6 Central Vietnam districts, namely Buon Don, Mhu My, Mo Duc, Nui Thanh, Song Cau and Tuy An [32, 34, 54]. These earlier data may have overestimated opisthorchiasis prevalence by misdiagnosing morphologically similar minute intestinal fluke eggs: *Clonorchis* or *Opisthorchis* species infections are thought to be less prevalent in Vietnam than *Haplorchis* species cases, while mixed trematode species infections are common [34, 55]. An unpublished recent survey conducted in 2015-2018 by the National Institute of Malariology, Parasitology and Entomology detected moderate to high *O. viverrini* infections rates of 6.8%, 4.8%, 15.3%, and 8.9% in Dac Lac, Binh Dinh, Phu Yen, and Quang Tri provinces respectively [34].

3.3 Risk factors

Fish is the main protein source of Southeast Asian rural communities, with cyprinid fish often being consumed daily because they are easy to raise and catch, readily affordable and available [56, 57].

The Lower Mekong Basin is flooded every year, shaping most of this region as wetlands, which is majorly being exploited for rice and fish production [57, 58]. Lakes, ponds, swamps and rice fields provide a highly productive fish habitat, fishing is a common practice amongst those communities [56-58]. Fish consumption is thus central to the diet of rural people of the Lower Mekong Basin, who will eat traditional raw fish dishes such as *Gỏi cá*, *Koi-pla*, *Laap-pla* (spicy minced salads) and process leftovers into fermented fish dishes including *Maam chao*, *Pla-som*, *Pla-ra* (moderately to extensively fermented and salted dishes), which are shared with other family members, friends or villagers [32, 34, 56, 57, 59].

As a result, consumption of fermented, raw or undercooked cyprinid fish is the major risk factor for opisthorchiasis; other related risk factors include land and water-based activities (fishing, fishermen, laborers and rice farmers), food-sharing habit, household subjected to flooding, located close to the Mekong corridor or within two kilometres of food and water sources. *O. viverrini* transmission is also associated with limited sanitation practices, water source contamination, uncontained disposal of food wastes, presence of cats or dogs in the household, limited or no liver fluke health education, and persistent smoking and consumption of alcohol (red whiskey) by older men [57, 60].

4. ASCARIS SPECIES

A. lumbricoides, or the large roundworm of humans, is an intestinal nematode infecting humans worldwide, while *Ascaris suum*, or the large roundworm of pigs, mostly infects swine; but cross-infections have been reported. These two parasites are genetically related and morphologically similar, and with the identification of hybrids, experts have been questioning whether they should be considered as distinct species. Because of this controversy, most prevalence studies use 'Ascaris species' when referring to either organism, this term will thus be used in this paper from here forward [4, 8, 61].

4.1 Life cycle and clinical signs

Ascaris spp. cause ascariasis in pigs and humans, both definitive hosts can become infected after consumption of faeces-contaminated food (fruits and vegetables), water or soil, containing eggs. Humans can also acquire this helminth as a result of poor hand hygiene, while pigs and dogs can also get exposed via direct coprophagy. After ingestion of embryonated eggs, infective larvae hatch, and

invade the intestinal wall prior to migrating to the liver and lungs. Upon maturation, larvae further migrate via the alveoli, bronchi, and trachea, before being swallowed back into the in the gastrointestinal tract, where they further develop to adults in the small intestine and ileum. Eggs are excreted in host faeces and contaminate the environment, perpetuating the life cycle of these parasites [4, 8, 62, 63].

In humans, heavy worm burdens can cause abdominal pain, anorexia, malabsorption and malnutrition, as a result of the damage of the intestinal villi and inflamed lamina propria; intestinal blockage, perforation or rupture can also occur in extreme cases, while light infections are usually asymptomatic. Other symptoms can be observed following adult or larval worm migration including appendicitis, nasopharyngeal expulsion, death following obstruction of the biliary and pancreatic tracts, damage to the liver and peritoneum. Pulmonary larval migration can also initiate a life-threatening immune response called 'Loeffler's Syndrome' [4, 8, 62, 63].

Ascariasis usually causes more severe clinical signs in young than adult pigs. Swine can present with diarrhea, pendulous abdomen, rough hair coat, unthriftiness and weight loss; intestinal blockage may occur in heavy infections. Larval migration through the liver can induce haemorrhages and fibrosis, but most commonly cause white lesions called 'milk spots', resulting from the accumulation of lymphocytes. Abdominal breathing ('thumping'), coughing, fibrosis, pneumonia, and pulmonary consolidation, haemorrhage or oedema can manifest when larvae migrate through the lungs [4, 8, 62, 63].

4.2 Distribution and prevalence

Both *Ascaris* spp. are globally distributed and particularly prevalent in subtropical and tropical regions, which include SEA, where moist and warm environmental conditions enhance the survival and development of eggs. As *Ascaris* pp. are Soil-Transmitted Helminths (STHs) and part of the NTD group, thousands of studies have been previously published. However, the varying population sample size, the range of laboratory tests used to diagnose parasites, and the methodology applied to evaluate prevalence and intensity of infections have often complicated the perception of the STH status in SEA, due to the inadequacy of available data [4, 54].

Brooker et al. (2003) previously provided an estimate of the total disease burden in SEA for each STH and applied Geographical Information Systems to map surveys published between 1962 and 1999, in

order to identify the populations at risk of infection and evaluate proportions requiring treatment. The predicted prevalence of ascariasis was 22% in Cambodia, 35.8% in Laos, 28.8% in Myanmar, 23.2% in Thailand, and 54% in Vietnam [64].

Similarly, a comprehensive review including data from 1997 to 2008 on helminth infections in the Western Pacific Region, was performed by WHO, in order to assist parasite control interventions [54]. Epidemiological surveys were not available from each Southeast Asian country, but the following prevalences of ascariasis from schoolchildren were reported: 26% in Cambodia, 34.9% in Lao, 23.2% in the Philippines, and 68.8%, 37.4% and 14.6% from North, Central and Southern Vietnam respectively [54]. The Ministry of Health of Brunei, Malaysia and Singapore indicated that STH infections were not common nor considered as a major public health problem in their countries [54]. However, three studies performed on school children from aboriginal and remote Malaysian communities detected *Ascaris* spp. in 16 to 61.9% of tested faecal samples; it was also suggested that high STH and *Ascaris* spp. infection rates were common in islands, fishing villages, forest areas and plantations of rural Malaysia [54].

Such publications guided national public health preventive and control programs, which were largely implemented by the World Health Organization (WHO) in order to reduce the impact of STH infections, including *Ascaris* spp., in SEA, with a particular focus on children and rural communities.

Recently, a systematic review included 174 STH studies published between 1990-2015 in order to assist the planning of future mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns [65]. Low to moderate prevalence of ascariasis were reported from Thailand (1%, 0-6%), Cambodia (5%, 1-19%), Laos (12%, 5-25%) and Indonesia (22%, 16-31%); Vietnam (36%, 23-52%) and Malaysia (39%, 31-48%) had high *Ascaris* spp. prevalences while the highest *Ascaris* spp. infections rates were from Myanmar (55%, 37-71%) and the Philippines (59%, 46-72%) [65]. The overall prevalence of *Ascaris* spp. in South and SEA was 18% (14-23%) indicating that ascariasis is the most common STH in this region [65].

Interestingly, this review also analysed published literature by community types and found that urban and rural populations had lower prevalence of *Ascaris* spp. compared to tribal communities, which can act as a human reservoir of transmission when migrating, for work purposes for example [65]. In fact, in Thailand, *Ascaris* spp. infection rates of 19.23% (189/923) were detected from Karen (tribal group) people from the Tak province, while screening of faecal samples collected from pregnant

migrants and refugees living in camps at the Thai-Myanmar border (majority of Karen ethnicity) reported prevalences of 5.4% (470/8517) and 23.3% (943/3909) for ascariasis [66, 67].

Another newly published paper estimated that the overall prevalences of STH infections were 61.4% (50.8-71.4%) and 32.3% (25.7-39.3%) for ascariasis in minority indigenous communities of SEA and West Pacific Region [68]. *Ascaris* spp. infection rates in indigenous populations of 26% (22.95, 29.18%) for Indonesia; 10.64% (7.78-13.87) for Laos; 38.26 % (31.79-44.94) for Malaysia, 44.72% (9.67-83.17) for the Philippines, 13.61% (3.79- 27.99%) for Thailand, and 27.13% (25.63-28.66) for Vietnam [68].

These recent publications suggest that future public health interventions in SEA should focus on indigenous and tribal populations, where STH and *Ascaris* spp. infection rates may be much more important than what has been disclosed by latest national epidemiological surveys.

4.3 Risk factors

As most epidemiological studies have been assessing *Ascaris* spp. and other STH spp. concurrently, most risk factors associated with these parasites cannot be easily separated per causative organism. The main mode of transmission of the most important STH (hookworms, roundworms, whipworms) occurs via the faecal to oral route; many risk factors are thus linked to poor personal hygiene and sanitation practices, including access to clean water, outdoor defecation, no sewage or waste disposal system, no use of soap for handwashing, proximity with domestic and livestock animal species, use of human faeces and wastewater as fertilizer or for the irrigation of field crops [8, 63, 69,70].

As a result, humans get infected by accidentally ingesting eggs contaminating water sources or raw fruits and vegetables; particularly if the water is not boiled, and if fruits or vegetables are not properly peeled or washed prior to consumption.

Children are at higher risk of STH infection because they also play with contaminated soil and can ingest eggs by putting their hands into their mouths; particularly if they do not have the habit of washing their hands before eating and/or after defecation; but also if they bite their nails or suck their thumbs [8, 63, 69, 70].

People from rural and tribal communities, of low socio-economic status, living in overcrowded settings, in poverty or in substandard conditions, and having limited health education are also more at risk of STH infection [8, 63, 69, 70].

In addition, pig farming, pig ownership and the use of pig manure as fertilizer increase the risk of exposure to *Ascaris suum* eggs [8, 63, 69, 70].

5. CONTROL AND PREVENTION OF FOODBORNE PARASITES

5.1 Key strategies

Three key strategies have been globally adopted to control and prevent foodborne parasites: deworming humans and animals, improving health, hygiene and sanitation, and monitoring the food chain. These strategies rely on successful concurrent education and training programs targeting professionals, consumers and children in order to enhance food safety awareness and practices.

5.1.1 Deworming

Deworming, or delivery of anthelmintic medicines, aims to decrease morbidity by reducing worm burdens; considering human impacts of foodborne parasites here discussed, most Southeast Asian countries which reported high helminth prevalence, particularly in children, were targeted by different WHO MDA programs.

The main objectives of MDA programs are to provide anthelmintic medicines to a large proportion of targeted populations, in order to eliminate infections in asymptomatic carriers, to prevent reinfection during post-exposure prophylaxis and to interrupt parasite transmission. MDA programs can quickly reduce the prevalence and incidence of helminth infections, but if transmission is not interrupted, worm burdens can quickly come back to their pre-intervention levels. When parasitic infections are not completely eliminated, MDA programs may initiate selective pressure for the emergence of anthelmintic resistance, such programs should therefore not be initiated without evidence that complete elimination is achievable in targeted populations.

Efficient MDA programs require high to entire coverage of targeted human populations (which implies community commitment and compliancy), disruption of the life cycles of the parasites (including control and treatment of intermediate hosts), concurrent health education, hygiene and sanitation

improvements (such as access to safe water); as well as regular monitoring and evaluation of chemotherapy efficiency [54, 71, 72].

5.1.2 Health, hygiene and sanitation

Improving health and safety awareness, hygiene and sanitation practices and infrastructures, together with promoting clean water accessibility are fundamental requirements to stop parasitic transmission and reinfection via the faecal to oral route. Global Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) programs have thus been implemented by the CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) and the WHO in developing regions, including SEA.

Actions supporting better health and hygiene practices include making water available, clean and safe to drink and use (source, collection, treatment and storage), promoting proper handwashing habits (use of soap; before preparing, handling or eating food, after defecating, before feeding children and after changing a diaper) and the use of footwear, building accessible handwashing facilities, providing education and training via schools, governmental agencies and health facilities.

Discouraging open defecation, teaching how to safely handle and dispose of human faeces, providing improved latrines, septic tanks and sewage systems, managing properly wastewater, as well as separating children from soil and domestic or livestock animal faeces are essential measures to improve sanitation [8, 73, 74].

5.1.3 Food safety and food chain

Monitoring of the food chain from primary production (pre-harvest) to consumption (post-harvest) is also crucial to stop parasitic disease transmission and to ensure the safety and quality of food products for consumers.

In order to prevent faecal to oral transmission of foodborne parasitic infections pre-harvest, assessing faecal contamination of raw food products, ensuring on-farm sanitation infrastructures (handwashing facilities, latrines, sewage systems) and monitoring the use and composition of organic fertilizers are important considerations. Stopping the zoonotic transmission of foodborne parasites from primary production can be enhanced by administering regular anthelmintic treatments to aquatic, domestic and livestock animals, controlling access to aquaculture ponds and farms by reservoir and intermediate hosts (including feral and stray animals), discouraging feeding raw meat, animal

carcasses and offal to aquatic, domestic and livestock animals, monitoring the water quality given to livestock animals and used to irrigate crop fields, and by enabling traceability of food products back to primary producers.

Control of foodborne parasites post-harvest should focus on the processing of food products: freezing and cooking raw food products with the appropriate temperature and time combination will kill most infective parasitic stages while curing, drying, marinating, pickling, salting or smoking processed food should be evaluated for the survival of specific parasites. Education and training of abattoir workers, farmers, food handlers and consumers should cover hygienic animal husbandry production systems (location and design of farms and ponds, manure use, risk of faecal run-off, slaughter techniques), disease awareness, as well as safe food handling practices (high risk food products, handwashing, adequate cooking) to further break the transmission of foodborne parasitic diseases.

In addition, improving veterinary sanitary measures such as disease management and control, performing risk-based inspection of the food chain by identifying hazards (Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point or HACCP), harmonizing surveillance, monitoring and testing of foodborne parasites (including thorough meat inspection and postmortem examinations) are needed to further prevent and control foodborne parasite contamination and promote international trade of food products [3, 4, 75].

5.2 Main challenges

Two main challenges should be taken in account by future studies in SEA: choice of adequate diagnostic tests to monitor the food chain and the efficacy of control and prevention programs; and climate change impacts, which will further affect the transmission and life cycle of foodborne parasites.

5.2.1 Diagnostic testing

The diversity of diagnostic techniques applied to the monitoring foodborne parasites can affect their detection levels and prevalence data. Microscopic tests including Kato-Katz (gold-standard), formalin-ether concentration technique, flotation methods (including FLOTAC, faecal egg counts, McMaster technique; using saturated sodium chloride, sodium nitrate, sugar or zinc sulfate solutions), and Stoll's dilution technique aim to assess egg numbers in faecal samples. These methods are widely used as

they are relatively low cost and convenient for cross-sectional and epidemiological studies, but they are known to lack sensitivity and specificity.

Immunological tests, including ELISA and other serological assays, are sometimes used to evaluate population and herd infection rates; they have also been reported to lack specificity: cross-reactions with other species than targeted organisms are common, while detection of antibodies does not provide any information about current infection status. Molecular tools, in particular Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and real-time PCR tests, have been gaining popularity, as they are more specific than other methods and allow to concurrently detect and quantify multiple parasite species. However, these tests require appropriate storage of samples and specific equipment and expertise, making them more costly and less adapted to large-scale field surveys.

Because of the range of methods available, prevalence and intensity of foodborne parasite infections can be overestimated or underreported, which directly impacts the monitoring of the food chain and of control and prevention programs, despite the existence of recommended standardized and validated procedures [61, 69].

5.2.2 Climate change

Climate change directly influences the life cycles of foodborne parasites, as it modifies their ability to survive in the environment, the geographic range of their hosts and increases the risk of transmission. Temperature determines the development of helminth eggs to larvae in the environment: increasing temperatures accelerate egg embryonation, reduce the time needed to reach infective larval stages, and thus shorten the life cycle of foodborne parasites. Higher temperatures also affect the survival time of helminth eggs and larvae in the environment, as it accelerates desiccation; *Ascaris* spp. and *T. solium* eggs are highly resistant to this type of stress, as they are protected by thick shells, while *O. viverrini* eggs and intermediate stages require high humidity levels to survive.

Climate change will increase rainfall and humidity levels in tropical and subtropical regions, higher humidity levels will further enhance helminth eggs and larvae survival in the environment and favour the development of aquatic parasitic stages (like those of *O. viverrini*). Increased rainfall will facilitate the spread of eggs, larvae and aquatic parasitic stages via water, but also augment the risk of faecal contamination of water sources (flooding, water runoff, sewer overflow).

Increased temperature, humidity and rainfall will provide new habitats for helminth eggs, larvae and aquatic stages, as well as expand the geographic range of intermediate hosts like snails; resulting into the establishment of foodborne parasites in areas where they are not currently prevalent. Climate change will thus most definitely enhance the levels of transmission of foodborne parasites in SEA, which has been acknowledged as one of the most vulnerable regions to global warming, unless governments reduce dramatically their greenhouse gas emissions, while political agendas of most countries do not always include climate change as a national priority [2, 74, 76].

6. CONCLUSION

This paper provided a detailed overview of the current available data for the three main zoonotic parasites of SEA, namely *T. solium*, *O. viverrini* and *Ascaris* spp. Considering clinical signs, DALYs and deaths associated with these organisms, they are still major public health threats in this region. Their respective life cycles involve livestock or aquatic animal species as intermediate hosts, which contaminate directly or indirectly the environment and the food chain. In fact, the risk factors here reviewed all revolved around poor hygiene practices, limited sanitation and traditional food habits, which allow parasitic eggs or larval stages to contaminate food, soil or water consumed by humans.

In order to stop their transmission, administration of anthelmintic drugs need to target animal reservoirs and high-risk human populations; better health, hygiene and sanitation infrastructures and awareness programs should be maintained and extended to remote and tribal areas; while governments should aim to reinforce food safety monitoring via implementing stricter national policies and control systems to limit contamination of the food chain. Existing education and training campaigns should also be promoted and expanded to enhance the chances of success of these key strategies.

To further control and prevent foodborne parasites, future interventions in SEA should be multi-dimensional and focus on the food chain, human populations, animal intermediate hosts and their interactions with the environment, by adopting a One Health approach, while considering the challenges caused by diagnostic testing and climate change.

REFERENCES

1. Han BA, Kramer AM, Drake JM. Global Patterns of Zoonotic Disease in Mammals. *Trends Parasitol.* 2016 Jul;32(7):565-577.
2. Gordon CA, McManus DP, Jones MK, Gray DJ, Gobert GN. The Increase of Exotic Zoonotic Helminth Infections: The Impact of Urbanization, Climate Change and Globalization. *Adv Parasitol.* 2016;91:311-97.
3. World Health Organization. Framework for Action on Food Safety in the WHO South-East Asia Region. New Delhi: World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia. 2020. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
Available: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/332225>.
4. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Health Organization. Multicriteria-Based Ranking for Risk Management of Food-borne Parasites. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting, 3–7 September 2012. FAO Headquarters, Rome, Italy. FAO, World Health Organization. 2014.
Available: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/112672>
5. Torgerson PR, de Silva NR, Fèvre EM, Kasuga F, Rokni MB, Zhou XN et al. The global burden of foodborne parasitic diseases: an update. *Trends Parasitol.* 2014 Jan;30(1):20-6.
6. World Health Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations & World Organisation for Animal Health. Taking a multisectoral, one health approach: a tripartite guide to addressing zoonotic diseases in countries. World Health Organization. 2019.
Available: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/325620>
7. Devleesschauwer B, Bouwknegt M, Dorny P, Gabriël S, Havelaar AH, Quoilin S et al. Risk ranking of foodborne parasites: State of the art. *Food Waterborne Parasitol.* 2017 Nov 23;8-9:1-13.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Parasites A-Z Index. DPDx - Laboratory Identification of Parasites of Public Health Concern. Accessed on 13 October 2021.
Available: <https://www.cdc.gov/dpdx/az.html>
9. World Health Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Organisation for Animal Health: Taeniasis and cysticercosis. Factsheet reference numbers (FAO)

CB1129EN/1/10.20; (OIE) OIE/FPIFS_T&C/2021.6; (WHO) WHO/UCN/NTD/VVE/2021.6. World Health Organization. 2021.

Available: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/341882>

10. Willingham AL 3rd, Wu HW, Conlan J, Satrija F. Combating *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in Southeast Asia an opportunity for improving human health and livestock production. *Advances in Parasitology*. 2010;72:235-266.
11. Aung AK, Spelman DW. *Taenia solium*, Taeniasis and Cysticercosis in Southeast Asia. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2016 May 4;94(5):947-54
12. Conlan JV, Sripa B, Attwood S, Newton PN. A review of parasitic zoonoses in a changing Southeast Asia. *Vet Parasitol*. 2011 Nov 24;182(1):22-40.
13. Braae UC, Hung NM, Satrija F, Khieu V, Zhou XN, Willingham AL. Porcine cysticercosis (*Taenia solium* and *Taenia asiatica*): mapping occurrence and areas potentially at risk in East and Southeast Asia. *Parasites Vectors*. 2018; 11, 613.
14. Sovyra T. Prevalence of porcine cysticercosis, trichinellosis in slaughter pigs of Cambodia. MSc Thesis. Chiang Mai University, Thailand and Freie Universität, Germany; 2005.
15. Dharmawan N, Swastika K, Putra I, Wandura T, Sutisna P, Okamoto M, et al. Present situation and problems of cysticercosis in animal in Bali and Papua. *J Veteriner*. 2012;13(2):154-162.
16. Maitindom FD. Study of the incidence of cysticercosis in pigs sold at Jibama market in Jayawijaya Regency, Papua. MSc Thesis. Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor; 2008.
17. Swastika K, Dharmawan NS, Suardita IK, Kepeng IN, Wandura T, Sako Y et al. Swine cysticercosis in the Karangasem district of Bali, Indonesia: An evaluation of serological screening methods. *Acta Trop*. 2016 Nov;163:46-53.
18. Yulianto HH, Fadjar S, Lukman DW, Sudarwanto M. Seroprevalence and Risk Factors of Porcine Cysticercosis in Way Kanan District, Lampung Province, Indonesia. *Global Veterinaria*. 2014;12. 774-781.
19. Conlan JV, Vongxay K, Khamlome B, Dorny P, Sripa B, Elliot A et al. A cross-sectional study of *Taenia solium* in a multiple taeniid-endemic region reveals competition may be protective. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2012 Aug;87(2):281-91.
20. Sato MO, Sato M, Yanagida T, Waikagul J, Pongvongsa T, Sako Y et al. *Taenia solium*, *Taenia saginata*, *Taenia asiatica*, their hybrids and other helminthic infections occurring in a neglected

- tropical diseases' highly endemic area in Lao PDR. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018 Feb 8;12(2):e0006260.
21. Khaing TA, Bawm S, Wai SS, Htut Y, Htun LL. Epidemiological Survey on Porcine Cysticercosis in Nay Pyi Taw Area, Myanmar. J Vet Med. 2015;2015:340828.
 22. McCleery EJ, Patchanee P, Pongsopawijit P, Chailangkarn S, Tiwananthagorn S, Jongchansittoe P et al. Taeniasis among Refugees Living on Thailand-Myanmar Border, 2012. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015 Oct;21(10):1824-6.
 23. Xu JM, Acosta LP, Hou M, Manalo DL, Jiz M, Jarilla B et al. Seroprevalence of cysticercosis in children and young adults living in a helminth endemic community in Ilayte, the Philippines. J Trop Med. 2010;2010:603174.
 24. Chaisiri K, Kusolsuk T, Homsuwan N, Sanguankiat S, Dekumyoy P, Peunpipoom G et al. Co-occurrence of swine cysticercosis due to *Taenia solium* and *Taenia hydatigena* in ethnic minority villages at the Thai-Myanmar border. J Helminthol. 2019 Nov;93(6):681-689.
 25. Anantaphruti MT, Okamoto M, Yoonuan T, Sanguankiat S, Kusolsuk T, Sato M et al. Molecular and serological survey on taeniasis and cysticercosis in Kanchanaburi Province, Thailand. Parasitol Int. 2010 Sep;59(3):326-30.
 26. Doanh N. Results of research on cysticercosis in pig in some northern provinces of Vietnam. J Biol. 2005;27:55–8.
 27. Ng-Nguyen D, Stevenson MA, Breen K, Phan TV, Nguyen VT, Vo TV et al. The epidemiology of *Taenia* spp. infection and *Taenia solium* cysticerci exposure in humans in the Central Highlands of Vietnam. BMC Infect Dis. 2018 Oct 22;18(1):527.
 28. Harinasuta C, Harinasuta T. *Opisthorchis viverrini*: life cycle, intermediate hosts, transmission to man and geographical distribution in Thailand. Arzneimittelforschung. 1984;34(9B):1164-7.
 29. World Health Organization, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Organisation for Animal Health: Clonorchiasis and opisthorchiasis. Factsheet reference numbers (FAO) CB1208EN/1/10.20; (OIE) OIE/FPIFS_C&O/2021.2; (WHO) WHO/UCN/NTD/VVE/2021.2. World Health Organization. 2021.
Available: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-UCN-NTD-VVE-2021.2>

30. Sripa B, Kaewkes S, Intapan PM, Maleewong W, Brindley PJ. Food-borne trematodiasis in Southeast Asia epidemiology, pathology, clinical manifestation and control. *Adv Parasitol.* 2010;72:305-50.
31. Khuntikeo N, Titapun A, Loilome W, Yongvanit P, Thinkhamrop B, Chamadol N et al. Current Perspectives on Opisthorchiasis Control and Cholangiocarcinoma Detection in Southeast Asia. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2018 Apr 30;5:117.
32. Sithithaworn P, Andrews RH, Nguyen VD, Wongsaroj T, Sinuon M, Odermatt P et al. The current status of opisthorchiasis and clonorchiasis in the Mekong Basin. *Parasitol Int.* 2012 Mar;61(1):10-6.
33. Aung WPP, Htoon TT, Tin HH, Thinn KK, Sanpool O, Jongthawin J et al. First report and molecular identification of *Opisthorchis viverrini* infection in human communities from Lower Myanmar. *PLoS One.* 2017 May 4;12(5):e0177130.
34. Sripa B, Suwannatrai AT, Sayasone S, Do DT, Khieu V, Yang Y. Current status of human liver fluke infections in the Greater Mekong Subregion. *Acta Trop.* 2021 Dec;224:106133.
35. Sohn WM, Jung BK, Hong SJ, Lee KH, Park JB, Kim HS et al. Low-Grade Endemicity of Opisthorchiasis, Yangon, Myanmar. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2019 Jul;25(7):1435-1437.
36. Petney T, Sithithaworn P, Andrews R, Kiatsopit N, Tesana S, Grundy-Warr C et al. The ecology of the Bithynia first intermediate hosts of *Opisthorchis viverrini*. *Parasitol Int.* 2012 Mar;61(1):38-45.
37. Petney TN, Andrews RH, Saijuntha W, Tesana S, Prasopdee S, Kiatsopit N et al. Taxonomy, Ecology and Population Genetics of *Opisthorchis viverrini* and Its Intermediate Hosts. *Adv Parasitol.* 2018;101:1-39.
38. Khieu V, Furst T, Miyamoto K, Yong TS, Chai JY, Huy R et al. Is *Opisthorchis viverrini* Emerging in Cambodia? *Adv Parasitol.* 2019;103:31-73.
39. Khieu V, Schär F, Marti H, Sayasone S, Duong S, Muth S et al. Diagnosis, treatment and risk factors of *Strongyloides stercoralis* in schoolchildren in Cambodia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2013;7(2):e2035.
40. Miyamoto KMH, Kato-Hayashi N, Chigusa Y. Prevalence of opisthorchiasis in Cambodia. the Proc 80th Annual Meeting of Jpn Soc Parasitol; Tokyo. July 2011.2011. p. 67.

41. Miyamoto K, Kirinoki M, Matsuda H, Hayashi N, Chigusa Y, Sinuon M et al. Field survey focused on *Opisthorchis viverrini* infection in five provinces of Cambodia. *Parasitol Int.* 2014 Apr;63(2):366-73.
42. Sohn WM, Shin EH, Yong TS, Eom KS, Jeong HG, Sinuon M et al. Adult *Opisthorchis viverrini* flukes in humans, Takeo, Cambodia. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2011 Jul;17(7):1302-4.
43. Yong TS, Shin EH, Chai JY, Sohn WM, Eom KS, Lee DM et al. High prevalence of *Opisthorchis viverrini* infection in a riparian population in Takeo Province, Cambodia. *Korean J Parasitol.* 2012 Jun;50(2):173-6.
44. Yong TS, Chai JY, Sohn WM, Eom KS, Jeoung HG, Hoang EH et al. Prevalence of intestinal helminths among inhabitants of Cambodia (2006-2011). *Korean J Parasitol.* 2014;52(6):661-666.
45. Rim HJ, Chai JY, Min DY, Cho SY, Eom KS, Hong SJ et al. Prevalence of intestinal parasite infections on a national scale among primary schoolchildren in Laos. *Parasitol Res.* 2003 Oct;91(4):267-72.
46. Sayasone S, Mak TK, Vanmany M, Rasphone O, Vounatsou P, Utzinger J et al. Helminth and intestinal protozoa infections, multiparasitism and risk factors in Champasack province, Lao People's Democratic Republic. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2011 Apr 12;5(4):e1037.
47. Homsana A, Odermatt P, Southisavath P, Yajima A, Sayasone S. Cross-reaction of POC-CCA urine test for detection of *Schistosoma mekongi* in Lao PDR: a cross-sectional study. *Infect Dis Poverty.* 2020 Aug 12;9(1):114.
48. Phupiewkham W, Rodpai R, Inthavongsack S, Laymanivong S, Thanchomnang T, Sadaow L et al. High prevalence of opisthorchiasis in rural populations from Khammouane Province, central Lao PDR: serological screening using total IgG- and IgG4-based ELISA. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2021 Dec 2;115(12):1403-1409.
49. Saiyachak K, Tongsothang S, Saenrueang T, Moore MA, Promthet S. Prevalence and Factors Associated with *Opisthorchis viverrini* Infection in Khammouane Province, Lao PDR. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2016;17(3):1589-93.
50. Wattanawong O, Iamsirithaworn S, Kophachon T, Nak-Ai W, Wisetmora A, Wongsaroj T et al. Current status of helminthiasis in Thailand: A cross-sectional, nationwide survey, 2019. *Acta Trop.* 2021 Nov;223:106082.

51. Laoraksawong P, Sanpool O, Rodpai R, Thanchomnang T, Kanarkard W, Maleewong W et al. Current high prevalences of *Strongyloides stercoralis* and *Opisthorchis viverrini* infections in rural communities in northeast Thailand and associated risk factors. BMC Public Health. 2018 Jul 31;18(1):940.
52. Dao TT, Bui TV, Abatih EN, Gabriël S, Nguyen TT, Huynh QH et al. *Opisthorchis viverrini* infections and associated risk factors in a lowland area of Binh Dinh Province, Central Vietnam. Acta Trop. 2016 May;157:151-7.
53. De NV, Murrell KD, Cong le D, Cam PD, Chau le V, Toan N et al. The food-borne trematode zoonoses of Vietnam. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 2003;34 Suppl 1:12-34.
54. Malaria and other Vectorborne and parasitic Diseases, /Western Pacific Regional Office. Review on the Epidemiological Profile of Helminthiases and Their Control in the Western Pacific Region, 1997-2008. WHO Regional Office for the Western Pacific. 2008.
Available: <http://iris.wpro.who.int/handle/10665.1/5248>
55. Doanh PN, Nawa Y. *Clonorchis sinensis* and *Opisthorchis* spp. in Vietnam: current status and prospects. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2016 Jan;110(1):13-20.
56. Mutsuyuma A. Traditional Dietary Culture of Southeast Asia. Its Formation and Pedigree, Translated by Atsunobu Tomomatsu, Kegan Paul, London - New York - Bahrain, 2003.
57. Phimpraphai W, Tangkawattana S, Kasemsuwan S, Sripa B. Social Influence in Liver Fluke Transmission: Application of Social Network Analysis of Food Sharing in Thai Isaan Culture. Adv Parasitol. 2018;101:97-124.
58. Na Mahasarakarm OP. An Introduction to the Mekong Fisheries of Thailand. Mekong Development Series N°5. Mekong River Commission, Vientiane, Lao PDR; 2007.
59. Kaewpitoon N, Kaewpitoon SJ, Pengsaa P. Opisthorchiasis in Thailand: review and current status. World J Gastroenterol. 2008 Apr 21;14(15):2297-302.
60. Pengput A, Schwartz DG. Risk Factors for *Opisthorchis Viverrini* Infection: A Systematic Review. J Infect Public Health. 2020 Sep;13(9):1265-1273.
61. Gordon CA, Kurscheid J, Jones MK, Gray DJ, McManus DP. Soil-Transmitted Helminths in Tropical Australia and Asia. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2017 Oct 23;2(4):56.
62. Ballweber LR. *Ascaris suum* in Pigs. Merck Veterinary Manual. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA. 2015

Available: <https://www.msdevetmanual.com/digestive-system/gastrointestinal-parasites-of-pigs/ascaris-suum-in-pigs#>

63. Scott ME. *Ascaris lumbricoides*: A review of Its Epidemiology and Relationship to Other Infections. Annales Nestlé 2008; 66: 7–22.
64. Brooker S, Singhasivanon P, Waikagul J, Supavej S, Kojima S, Takeuchi T et al. Mapping soil-transmitted helminths in Southeast Asia and implications for parasite control. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 2003 Mar;34(1):24-36.
65. Silver ZA, Kaliappan SP, Samuel P, Venugopal S, Kang G, Sarkar R et al. Geographical distribution of soil transmitted helminths and the effects of community type in South Asia and South East Asia - A systematic review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018 Jan 18;12(1):e0006153.
66. Brummaier T, Tun NW, Min AM, Gilder ME, Archasuksan L, Proux S et al. Burden of soil-transmitted helminth infection in pregnant refugees and migrants on the Thailand-Myanmar border: Results from a retrospective cohort. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021 Mar 1;15(3):e0009219.
67. Kusolsuk T, Chaisiri K, Poodeepiyasawad A, Sa-Nguankiat S, Homsuwan N, Yanagida T et al. Risk factors and prevalence of taeniasis among the Karen people of Tha Song Yang District, Tak Province, Thailand. Parasite. 2021;28:53.
68. Gilmour B, Alene KA, Clements ACA. The prevalence of soil transmitted helminth infections in minority indigenous populations of South-East Asia and the Western Pacific Region: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021 Nov 10;15(11):e0009890.
69. Riaz M, Aslam N, Zainab R, Rasool G, Ullah MI, Daniyal M et al. Prevalence, risk factors, challenges, and the currently available diagnostic tools for the determination of helminths infections in human. European Journal of Inflammation 2020 Jan 18: 1-15.
70. Yang D, Yang Y, Wang Y, Yang Y, Dong S, Chen Y et al. Prevalence and Risk Factors of *Ascaris lumbricoides*, *Trichuris trichiura* and *Cryptosporidium* Infections in Elementary School Children in Southwestern China: A School-Based Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018 Aug 22;15(9):1809.
71. World Health Organization. Preventive chemotherapy in human helminthiasis. Coordinated use of antihelminthic drugs in control interventions: a manual for health professionals and programme managers. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2006.

Available: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43545>

72. World Health Organization. Guideline: preventive chemotherapy to control soil-transmitted helminth infections in at-risk population groups. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2017. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
Available: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/258983>.
73. United Nations Children's Fund. Strategy for Water, Sanitation and Hygiene 2016–2030. Programme Division UNICEF New York. 2016.
Available: <https://www.unicef.org/documents/unicef-strategy-water-sanitation-and-hygiene-2016-2030>
74. World Health Organization. Guidelines on sanitation and health. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2018. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
Available: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241514705>
75. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Health Organization. Codex Alimentarius: Guidelines on the application of general principles of food hygiene to the control of foodborne parasites, CAC/GL 88-2016, Adopted 2016: 1-11.
Available: https://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/sh-proxy/ar/?lnk=1&url=https%253A%252F%252Fworkspace.fao.org%252Fsites%252Fcodex%252FStandards%252FCXG%2B88-2016%252FCXG_088e.pdf
76. Pozio E. How globalization and climate change could affect foodborne parasites. Exp Parasitol. 2020 Jan;208:107807.

ABBREVIATIONS

SEA: Southeast Asia

NTDs: Neglected Tropical Diseases

DALYs: Disability-Adjusted Life Years

OIE: World Organisation for Animal Health

STHs: Soil-Transmitted Helminths

WHO: World health Organization

MDA: Mass Drug Administration

WASH: Global Water, Sanitation and Hygiene

CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)

HACCP: Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point

PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction

UNDER PEER REVIEW