

### **Influence of post shooting spray and bunch bagging on per day productivity and fruit quality of banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.)**

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** To determine the effect of post shooting spray and bunch bagging on per day productivity and quality of banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.)”

**Study Design:** Completely Randomized Design (Factorial) with three repetitions.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Experiment was carried out at the Horticultural Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, B. A. College of Agriculture, AAU, Anand during the year 2017-18 and 2018-19

**Methodology:** The experiment comprises of twenty four treatment combinations involving two varieties viz. Grand Naine and William with six levels of post shooting sprays namely; control, humic acid 2 %, 2, 4-D 30 mg/l, gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) 100 mg/l, CPPU 4 mg/l and sulphate of potash (SOP) 2 % with two bunch bagging viz., non- woven material bag covering and blue colour polyethylene sleeve (6 % perforated) bag covering. Post shooting sprays were given twice i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> spray after complete opening of inflorescence and 2<sup>nd</sup> spray after 30 days of first spray with covering the bunch immediately after second spray.

**Results:** The results indicated that the Grand Naine variety recorded significantly minimum harvest days, maturity days. Whereas, William variety was recorded significantly shelf life and fruit appearances. In case of per day productivity is concerned, both the varieties were equally important. The post shooting spray of GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg/l recorded significantly improves per day productivity and fruit appearances. Whereas, post shooting spray of SOP 2 % recorded minimum harvest day and maturity days. While, post shooting sprays of CPPU 4 mg/l showed significantly maximum shelf life. The non-woven material bag covering was significantly better among all quantitative and qualitative parameters as compared to blue colour polyethylene sleeve bag covering. While, post shooting spray of CPPU 4 mg/l with non-woven material bag covering showed significantly expand the shelf life of fruit.

**Conclusion:** Grand Naine variety recorded significantly minimum harvest and maturity days. Whereas, William variety was recorded significantly shelf life and fruit appearances. The post shooting spray of GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg/l was improved per day productivity and fruit appearances. Whereas, post shooting sprays of CPPU 4 mg/l showed significantly enhancing the shelf life. The non-woven material bag covering on banana bunches was found significantly better among all quantitative and qualitative parameters.

**KEYWORDS:** Banana, post shooting spray, bunch bagging, quality and per day productivity

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

Banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.) is one of the major fruit crop in the tropics and subtropics and make a vital contribution to the economies of a number of countries. It is very important in the nutrition of local population as well as tradable commodities with a large market throughout the developed world.

Now-a-days, the practice of application of plant growth regulators, chemicals and bunch management treatments are taken for improving the growth, maturity, yield and quality of banana fruits are gaining popularity. Plant growth regulators are perhaps the most powerful tool to achieve the goal. These are defined as organic compounds other than nutrients which in small amount promotes/inhibit or modify any physiological response in plants and they are artificially synthesized. PGRs have been successfully used as foliar spray to increase flowering, synchronize bloom or change the time of flowering to avoid the adverse climatic condition or to shift harvest to a time when the market price is more remunerative. PGRs are applied to increase the fruit size directly by stimulating cell division or to increase fruit size and yield.

In case of dessert type banana, when bunches are not covered, show appearance of spots, bruises, harbour of spiders and insects with deterioration in quality of the produce. Banana bunch protection sleeves are used throughout the commercial banana growing area of the world. Therefore the present study main objective was standardization of different post shooting spray with bunch bagging for the per day productivity with quality under different varieties.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS:**

An experiment was conducted at Horticultural Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand during the years 2017-18 and 2018-19. Experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized Design (Factorial) with three repetitions. The experimental plot was prepared by deep ploughing, harrowing and levelling. The pits of 30 x 30 x 30cm were dug out at a spacing of 1.8 x 1.8 m<sup>2</sup> and well decomposed fine textured Farm Yard Manure (FYM) at the rate of 10 kg per pit was applied at planting. Well hardened, healthy and uniform tissue cultured banana plant having 5-6 leaves (cv. Grand Naine and William) were used for planting. The experiment comprises of twenty four treatment combinations involving two varieties viz. Grand Naine and William with six levels of post shooting sprays namely; control, humic acid 2 %, 2, 4-D 30 mg/l, gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) 100 mg/l, CPPU 4 mg/l and sulphate of potash (SOP) 2 % with two bunch bagging viz., non- woven material bag covering and blue colour polyethylene sleeve (6 % perforated) bag covering. Experiment was laid out in a Completely Randomized Design (Factorial) with three repetitions. Post shooting sprays were given twice i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> spray after complete opening of inflorescence and 2<sup>nd</sup> spray after 30 days of first spray with covering the bunch immediately after second spray. Observation of harvest days was recorded no. of days taken from planting to harvest. Maturity days were recorded no. of days taken from complete opening of bunch to harvest and then average was calculated. However, PLW ratio and ascorbic acid were also had been taken after harvesting of banana. Per day productivity is calculated on the basis of fruit yield divided by harvest days. Fruit appearances was recorded visually by sensory evaluation for assessing the colour, texture and over all appearances of banana fruit at the time of optimum eating stage by scientist teams. Scoring techniques by hedonic 0 to 9 scale basis. The data recorded during the course of investigation were subjected to statistical analysis following standard procedure described by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:**

### **1. Harvest days:**

#### **1.1 Effect of varieties**

Data (Table 1) revealed that the significantly minimum harvest days was recorded in  $V_1$  i.e. Grand Naine (374.68, 379.67 and 377.17) days during the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled data, respectively. It might be due to genetically characteristics of variety Grand Naine and its an early maturity variety than William.

#### **1.2 Effect of post shooting sprays**

Results indicated that the post shooting spray was found non-significant affected in respect to harvest days.

#### **1.3 Effect of bunch bagging**

The bunch bagging affected on harvest days was found non-significant during the year 2017-18 and 2018-19, but it was found significant in pooled analysis. The significantly minimum harvest days (399.90 days) was recorded with treatment  $B_1$  (non-woven material bag covering). Banana bunch bagging with non-woven material has faster maturity and thus reduced harvest days due to increased temperature and change in microclimate inside bunch cover triggered faster fruit growth and development as a result of early maturity. The present finding was correlated with work of Pathak *et al.* (2017), Sarkar *et al.* (2016), Samantaray (2015) and Anon. (2013) in banana.

### **2. Maturity days:**

#### **2.1 Effect of varieties**

From the data (Table 1) showed that the maturity days was found significant and minimum days required for maturity was taken in Grand Naine variety (85.77, 87.03 and 86.40 days) as compared to William variety ( $V_2$ ) during the both experimental years as well as in pooled analysis, respectively.

#### **2.2 Effect of post shooting sprays**

The data pertaining to different post shooting sprays significantly influenced the maturity days. Significantly minimum maturity days took with post shooting spray of SOP 2 % (85.83 days) and it was found at par with treatments  $S_5$  (CPPU 4 mg/l),  $S_3$  (2,4-D 30 mg/l) and  $S_2$  (humic acid 2 %) in the year 2017-18. Similarly, same treatment  $S_6$  (SOP 2 %) was found significantly taken minimum maturity days (87.22 and 86.53 days) which was found at par with treatments  $S_3$  (2, 4-D 30 mg/l) and  $S_5$  (CPPU 4 mg/l) in the year 2018-19 and in pooled analysis, respectively. It might be due to reduction in days required from bunch opening to harvesting is due to faster growth rate of fingers and higher leaf chlorophyll contents owing to additional nutrient supply and faster rate of translocation of assimilates from source to sink, aided by additional potassium because it is a general metabolic activator increasing the respiration and photosynthetic rate. Thus, additional K application as foliar spray minimized days from flowering to harvesting (Evans, 1971). Similar results were also reported by Gamit *et al.* (2017) and Kachhadia *et al.* (2017) in banana.

#### **2.3 Effect of bunch bagging**

From the result (Table 1) revealed that the non-woven material bag covering ( $B_1$ ) had significantly minimum days required for maturity (86.11, 88.20 and 87.16 days) during the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled, respectively. It might be due to increased temperature and change in microclimate inside bunch cover triggered faster fruit growth and development hence faster maturity. Moreover, non-woven allows free air and moisture circulation unlike plastic material (Santosh *et al.* 2017). The results are in conformity with the findings of Pathak *et al.* (2017), Sarkar *et al.* (2016) and Samantaray (2015) in banana.

### **3 Per day productivity (kg/day)**

#### **3.1 Effect of varieties**

The data (Table 2) indicates that per day productivity was found non-significant in respect to varieties. Per day productivity is calculated on the basis of fruit yield divided by harvest days of plant. William variety gave higher fruit yield as compared to Grand Naine variety but it's taken more number of days to harvest so that both varieties had similar per day productivity. These findings are in conformity with others in banana by Parmar *et al.* (2019).

#### **3.2 Effect of post shooting sprays**

Per day productivity was significantly found maximum with post shooting spray of  $GA_3$  100 mg/l (204.78 kg/day) and it was found at par with treatments  $S_6$  (SOP 2 %),  $S_3$  (2,4-D 30 mg/l) and  $S_5$  (CPPU 4 mg/l) in the year 2017-18. Similarly, same treatment *i.e.* post shooting spray of  $GA_3$  100 mg/l was found significantly superior over other treatments in regard the per day productivity (186.68 and 195.73 kg/day) and which was found at par with treatments  $S_6$  (SOP 2 %) and  $S_3$  (2, 4-D 30 mg/l) during the year 2018-19 and in pooled analysis, respectively. It might be due increased finger length, girth, bunch weight and fruit yield as compared to control.

#### **3.3 Effect of bunch bagging**

A perusal of data (Table 2) indicates that non-woven material bag ( $B_1$ ) was significantly better in respect to per day productivity (201.54, 184.97 and 193.26 kg/day) during both individual year as well as in pooled, respectively. Maximum per day productivity is depending on fruit yield and harvest days of plant. Non-woven material bag covering on bunch had early maturity and higher fruit yield as compare to blue colour polythene sleeve covering.

### **4 Shelf life of fruit (days):**

#### **4.1 Effect of varieties**

An appraisal of data (Table 2) indicates that shelf life was found significant influenced by different varieties of banana. Significantly maximum shelf life was recorded with variety William with numerical value 9.94, 9.55 and 9.75 days during the experimental years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled analysis, respectively. It might be due to William has bigger fruit size and pulp : peel ratio due to genetic character hence, shelf life was better.

#### **4.2 Effect of post shooting sprays**

The post shooting spray with CPPU 4 mg/l ( $S_5$ ) recorded significantly maximum shelf life (10.12, 9.88 and 10.00 days) which was found at par with treatments  $S_6$  *i.e.* SOP 2 % (9.84, 9.70 and 9.77 days) and  $S_4$  *i.e.*  $GA_3$  100 mg/l (9.74, 9.58 and 9.66 days).

However, significantly minimum shelf life was noted under control (7.98, 7.85 and 7.92 days) during the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled analysis, respectively. This might be due to the reason that CPPU plays vital role in enhancing the physiological activities in suppressed fruit softening in association with the delayed peaks of respiration and the inhibition of the peaks of ethylene production rate banana (Huanga *et al.*, 2014 and Rajan, 2017).

#### **4.3 Effect of bunch bagging**

Non-woven material bag covering ( $B_1$ ) recorded significantly enhancing the shelf life of banana (9.98, 9.71 and 9.84 days) during the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled, respectively. It might be due to banana bunch bagging with non-woven material bag had less physical as well as insect pest damage thus delayed ripening ultimately extend the shelf life of fruit. Further, bunch covered bag with any material extends the green life as well as the shelf life of fruits compared to uncover bunch (Rubel *et al.*, 2019).

#### **4.4 Interaction effect**

The interaction effect of post shooting sprays x bunch bagging ( $S \times P$ ) was found non-significant during 2017-18 and 2018-19, but in pooled results it was found significant. The data showed (Table 2) that significantly maximum shelf life was found in treatment combination of CPPU 4 mg/l with non-woven material bag ( $S_5B_1$ ) with numerical value 10.83 days and which was at par with treatment SOP 2% with non-woven material bag ( $S_6B_1$ ) and  $GA_3$  100 mg/l with non-woven material bag ( $S_4B_1$ ).

### **5. Fruit appearances:**

#### **5.1 Effect of varieties**

Fruit appearances score at mature stage was recorded significantly higher with  $V_2$  (William) *i.e.* 8.05, 7.96 and 8.00 out of 10.00 during 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled analysis, respectively. William variety showed better score (hedonic 9 scale basis) due to better visual observations like, fruit length, girth and pulp: peel ratio as a result of this fruit appearances score was better.

#### **5.2 Effect of post shooting sprays**

The higher fruit appearances score was recorded with post shooting spray of  $GA_3$  100 mg/l (8.26, 8.20 and 8.23) which was found at par with treatments CPPU 4 mg/l (8.23, 8.17 and 8.20), SOP 2 % (8.10, 8.11 and 8.11) and 2, 4-D 30 mg/l (8.12, 8.03 and 8.07) during both individual years as well as in pooled analysis, respectively. Post-shooting bunch spray with  $GA_3$  100 mg/l had better fruit appearance score because of better visual observations like fruit length and fruit girth as a result of that fruit appearance score was better. The lowest score of fruit appearances recorded with bunch spray with humic acid 2%. It might be due to post shooting spraying with humic acid fruit colour became dark gradually and continue to advanced stage of maturity so that fruit appearances score very low even without any spray (control).

#### **5.3 Effect of bunch bagging**

Both the treatment of bunch bagging were found non-significant in regard to fruit appearances score during the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled analysis.

### **6. Ascorbic acid (mg/100g pulp):**

### 6.1 Effect of varieties

An appraisal of data (Table 3) showed that the ascorbic acid content of fruit was found significant and higher ascorbic acid obtained with variety Grand Naine (8.20, 8.13 and 8.16 mg/100 g) as compared to variety William (7.74, 7.59 and 7.66 mg/100g) during the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled analysis, respectively.

### 6.2 Effect of post shooting sprays and bunch bagging

All the treatments of post shooting sprays and bunch bagging were found non-significant in respect to ascorbic acid during the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled analysis.

### 6.3 Interaction effect

All interaction effects of V x S, V x B, S x B and V x S x B were found non-significant with respect to harvest days, maturity days, per days productivity, fruit appearances and ascorbic acid content in fruit during the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and in pooled data (Table 4).

### CONCLUSION:

From the two years of field study, it can be concluded that the Grand Naine variety recorded significantly minimum harvest days and maturity days. Whereas, William variety was recorded significantly shelf life and fruit appearances. In case of per day productivity is concerned, both the varieties were equally important. The post shooting spray of GA<sub>3</sub> 100 mg/l was recorded maximum per day productivity and fruit appearances. Whereas, post shooting spray of SOP 2 % recorded minimum harvest day, maturity days. While, post shooting sprays of CPPU 4 mg/l showed significantly enhancing the shelf life. The non-woven material bag covering on banana bunches was found significantly better among all quantitative and qualitative parameters as compared to blue colour polyethylene sleeve bag covering.

### REFERENCES:

- Anonymous, Performance of 'Repol' a polypropylene based non-woven fabric as bunch sleeves on bunch characteristics and fruit quality in banana. NRCB-20 years Achievements: 1993-2013, ICAR-National Research Centre for Banana, Thayanur Post, Thogamalai Road, Tiruchirapalli-620102, Tamil Nadu, 2013.
- Evans LT. Flower induction and the floral concept. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology*, 1971;22:365- 394.
- Gamit S, Patil SJ, Prajapti D. Effect of post shooting foliar spray of fertilizers on quality parameters of banana (*Musa paradisiacal L.*) cv. Grand Nain. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 2017;5(4):959-960.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.
- Huanga H, Jimh G, Wanga H, Duana X, Qua H, Jianga Y. The combined effects of phenylurea and gibberellins on quality maintenance and shelf life extension of banana fruit during storage. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 2014;167:36–42.
- Kachhadia P, Tank RV, Bhanderi DR, Vagadia PS. Response of post-shooting bunch spray of chemicals on yield of ratoon banana (*Musa paradisiaca L.*) cv. Grand Nain. *Trends in Biosciences*, 2017;10(16):2951-2953.

- Parmar HC, Mor VB, Patel SR. Yield, Per Day Productivity and Quality of Banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.) Influenced by Different Varieties and Planting Materials under Middle Gujarat Climatic Condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2019;8(1):213-217.
- Pathak P, Baruah K, Bhattacharyya RK, Kalita P, Baishya BK. Influence of bunch covers on yield of banana cv. Jahaji (AAA) under high density planting system. *International journal of pure and applied bioscience*, 2017;5(6):1488-1493.
- Rajan R. Effect of post shooting bunch spray of chemicals on bunch characters and yield of banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.) cv. Grand Naine. M. Sc. (Horti.) in Fruit Science thesis submitted to Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, 2017.
- Rubel MHK, Hossain MM, Hafiz MMH, Rahman MM, Khatun MR. Effect of banana bunch covering technology for quality banana production in Bangladesh. *Progressive Agriculture*, 2019;30(3):238-252.
- Samantaray A. Effect of bunch sleeves on bunch characteristics and fruit quality of banana. M.Sc (Agri.) in Horticulture Thesis submitted to Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar Orissa, 2015.
- Santosh DT, Tiwari KN, Reddy RG. Banana bunch covers for quality banana production – A Review. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2017;6(7):1275-1291.
- Sarkar S, Das G, Sarkar S, Saha S, Biswas S. Frontline demonstration on effect of bunch cover in banana for quality production of banana fruits. *International Journal of Green Pharmacy*, 2016;10(4):S261.

**Table 1 Response of varieties, post shooting sprays and bunch bagging on harvest days and maturity days of banana**

Treatments	Harvest days			Maturity days		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
<b>Varieties (V)</b>						
V <sub>1</sub> : Grand Naine	374.68	379.67	377.17	85.77	87.03	86.40
V <sub>2</sub> : William	424.91	432.64	428.78	91.68	93.99	92.84
<b>S.Em.±</b>	3.01	2.52	1.96	0.76	0.87	0.58
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	8.56	7.16	5.51	2.15	2.47	1.62
<b>Post shooting sprays (S)</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> : Control	407.87	411.27	409.57	92.25	94.32	93.28
S <sub>2</sub> : Humic acid 2%	401.68	408.28	404.98	89.55	91.91	90.73
S <sub>3</sub> : 2,4-D 30 mg/l	398.85	404.13	401.49	88.58	89.52	89.05
S <sub>4</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg/l	399.92	407.56	403.74	89.87	91.60	90.73
S <sub>5</sub> : CPPU 4 mg/l	396.46	404.80	400.63	86.27	88.49	87.38
S <sub>6</sub> : SOP 2%	394.00	400.90	397.45	85.83	87.22	86.53
<b>S.Em.±</b>	5.22	4.36	3.40	1.31	1.51	1.00
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	NS	NS	NS	3.73	4.28	2.80
<b>Bunch bagging (B)</b>						
B <sub>1</sub> : Non-woven material bag covering	396.49	403.32	399.90	86.11	88.20	87.16
B <sub>2</sub> : Blue colour polyethylene sleeve	403.10	408.99	406.05	91.34	92.81	92.08
<b>S.Em.±</b>	3.01	2.52	1.96	0.76	0.87	0.58
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	NS	NS	5.51	2.15	2.47	1.62
<b>Sig. Interaction</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CV %</b>	4.52	3.72	4.13	5.12	5.77	5.46

**Table 2 Response of varieties, post shooting sprays and bunch bagging on per days productivity and shelf life of fruit of banana**

Treatments	Per days productivity			Shelf life of fruit (days)		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
<b>Varieties (V)</b>						
V <sub>1</sub> : Grand Naine	192.77	177.05	184.91	8.79	8.73	8.76
V <sub>2</sub> : William	188.85	173.13	180.99	9.94	9.55	9.75
<b>S.Em.±</b>	3.00	2.27	1.88	0.11	0.10	0.07
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	NS	NS	NS	0.32	0.27	0.21
<b>Post shooting sprays (S)</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> : Control	162.74	149.80	156.27	7.98	7.85	7.92
S <sub>2</sub> : Humic acid 2%	185.65	170.63	178.14	9.13	8.83	8.98
S <sub>3</sub> : 2,4-D 30 mg/l	198.00	182.51	190.25	9.38	9.02	9.20
S <sub>4</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg/l	204.78	186.68	195.73	9.74	9.58	9.66
S <sub>5</sub> : CPPU 4 mg/l	191.46	174.44	182.95	10.12	9.88	10.00
S <sub>6</sub> : SOP 2%	202.21	186.50	194.35	9.84	9.70	9.77
<b>S.Em.±</b>	5.19	3.93	3.26	0.19	0.17	0.13
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	14.77	11.19	9.15	0.55	0.48	0.36
<b>Bunch bagging (B)</b>						
B <sub>1</sub> : Non-woven material bag covering	201.54	184.97	193.26	9.98	9.71	9.84
B <sub>2</sub> : Blue colour polyethylene sleeve	180.07	165.21	172.64	8.76	8.58	8.67
<b>S.Em.±</b>	3.00	2.27	1.88	0.11	0.10	0.07
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	8.53	6.46	5.28	0.32	0.27	0.21
<b>Sig. Interaction</b>	-	-	-	-	-	SxB
<b>CV %</b>	9.43	7.78	8.73	7.20	6.35	6.80

**Table 3 Response of varieties, post shooting sprays and bunch bagging on ascorbic acid and fruit appearances of banana**

Treatments	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g pulp)			Fruit appearances		
	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled	2017-18	2018-19	Pooled
<b>Varieties (V)</b>						
V <sub>1</sub> : Grand Naine	8.20	8.13	8.16	7.59	7.62	7.60
V <sub>2</sub> : William	7.74	7.59	7.66	8.05	7.96	8.00
<b>S.Em.±</b>	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.07
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	0.27	0.31	0.20	0.25	0.28	0.19
<b>Post shooting sprays (S)</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> : Control	7.84	7.68	7.76	7.56	7.37	7.47
S <sub>2</sub> : Humic acid 2%	7.92	7.84	7.88	6.63	6.85	6.74
S <sub>3</sub> : 2,4-D 30 mg/l	7.92	7.84	7.88	8.12	8.03	8.07
S <sub>4</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg/l	8.00	7.87	7.93	8.26	8.20	8.23
S <sub>5</sub> : CPPU 4 mg/l	8.10	7.94	8.02	8.23	8.17	8.20
S <sub>6</sub> : SOP 2%	8.03	7.98	8.00	8.10	8.11	8.11
<b>S.Em.±</b>	0.16	0.19	0.12	0.15	0.17	0.11
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	NS	NS	NS	0.43	0.49	0.32
<b>Bunch bagging (B)</b>						
B <sub>1</sub> : Non-woven material bag covering	8.03	7.91	7.97	7.87	7.86	7.86
B <sub>2</sub> : Blue colour polyethylene sleeve	7.90	7.81	7.86	7.76	7.72	7.74
<b>S.Em.±</b>	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.07
<b>C.D. at 0.05</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Sig. Interaction</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>CV %</b>	7.11	8.22	7.68	6.66	7.67	7.18

**Table 4. Interaction effect between post shooting sprays and bunch bagging on shelf life of fruit (days)**

S \ B	Pooled	
	Non-woven material bag (B <sub>1</sub> )	Blue colour polyethylene sleeve (B <sub>2</sub> )
S <sub>1</sub> : Control	8.25	7.58
S <sub>2</sub> : Humic acid 2%	9.40	8.57
S <sub>3</sub> : 2,4-D 30 mg/l	9.65	8.74
S <sub>4</sub> : GA <sub>3</sub> 100 mg/l	10.40	8.93
S <sub>5</sub> : CPPU 4 mg/l	10.83	9.17
S <sub>6</sub> : SOP 2%	10.53	9.01
<b>S.Em.± (S x B)</b>	0.18	
<b>C.D. at 0.05 (S x B)</b>	0.51	
<b>CV %</b>	6.80	