

VALIDATED RP-HPLC METHOD DEVELOPMENT FOR ESTIMATION OF ERTUGLIFLOZIN AND SITAGLIPTIN IN BULK AND DOSAGE FORMS

Abstract

A simple, Accurate, precise method was developed for the simultaneous estimation of the Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin in Tablet dosage form. Chromatogram was run through Std Discovery C18 150 x 4.6 mm, 5 μ . Mobile phase containing Buffer 0.1% OPA (2.2ph): Acetonitrile taken in the ratio 60:40 was pumped through column at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Buffer used in this method was 0.1% OPA. Temperature was maintained at 30°C. Optimized wavelength selected was 218 nm. Retention time of Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin were found to be 2.228min and 2.880min. %RSD of the Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin were and found to be 0.4 and 0.7 respectively. %Recovery was obtained as 99.23% and 100.01% for Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin respectively. LOD, LOQ values obtained from regression equations of Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin were 0.20, 0.61 and 0.46, 1.40 respectively. Regression equation of Ertugliflozin is $y = 43997x + 2639$, and $y = 46501.x + 13112$ of Sitagliptin. Retention times were decreased and that run time was decreased, so the method developed was simple and economical that can be adopted in regular Quality control test in Industries.

Key Words: Ertugliflozin, Sitagliptin, RP-HPLC

INTRODUCTION: Ertugliflozin belongs to the class of potent and selective inhibitors of the sodium-dependent glucose cotransporters (SGLT), more specifically the type 2 diabetes which is responsible for about 90% of the glucose reabsorption from glomerulus with IUPAC name (1S,2S,3S,4R,5S)-5-[4-chloro-3-[(4-ethoxyphenyl)methyl]phenyl]-1-(hydroxyethyl)-6,8-dioxabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-2,3,4-triol. Sitagliptin is a new oral hypoglycemic (anti-diabetic drug) of the new dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor class of drugs with IUPAC name 3R)-3-

amino-1-[3-(trifluoromethyl)-5H,6H,7H,8H-[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a]pyrazin-7-yl]-4-(2,4,5-trifluorophenyl)butan-1-one. This enzyme-inhibiting drug is to be used either alone or in combination with metformin or a thiazolidinedione for control of type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Literature review reveals that very few analytical methods have been reported for the determination of Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin by using various analytical techniques [1-8]. It was found that no suitable validated method was available from the literature for determination of bioavailability and bioequivalence of Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin in biological samples. The main aim of the present study is to develop an accurate, precise, sensitive, selective, reproducible and rapid analytical technique for simultaneous estimation of Ertugliflozin, Sitagliptin in bulk and tablet dosage form.

2. METHODS

2.1 Chemicals and Reagents: Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin pure drugs (API), Combination Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin tablets (QTERN), Distilled water, Acetonitrile, Phosphate buffer, Methanol, Potassium dehydrogenate ortho phosphate buffer, Ortho-phosphoric acid. All the above chemicals and solvents are from Rankem.

2.2 Instruments: Electronics Balance-Denver, p^H meter -BVK enterprises, India, Ultrasonicator-BVK enterprises, WATERS HPLC 2695 SYSTEM equipped with quaternary pumps, Photo Diode Array detector and Auto sampler integrated with Empower 2 Software. UV-VIS spectrophotometer PG Instruments T60 with special bandwidth of 2 mm and 10mm and matched quartz cells integrated with UV win 6 Software was used for measuring absorbances of Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin solutions.

2.3 :Preparation of Solutions:

Diluent: Based up on the solubility of the drugs, diluent was selected, Acetonitrile and Water taken in the ratio of 50:50

Preparation of Standard stock solutions: Accurately weighed 3.75 mg of Ertugliflozin, 25 mg of Sitagliptin and transferred to individual 25 ml volumetric flasks separately. 3/4 th of diluents was added to both of these flasks and sonicated for 10 minutes. Flasks were made up with diluents and labeled as Standard stock solution 1 and 2. (150 μ g/ml of Ertugliflozin and 1000 μ g/ml of Sitagliptin)

Preparation of Standard working solutions (100% solution): 1ml from each stock solution was pipetted out and taken into a 10ml volumetric flask and made up with diluent. (15µg/ml Ertugliflozin of and 100µg/ml of Sitagliptin)

Preparation of Sample stock solutions: 5 tablets were weighed and the average weight of each tablet was calculated, then the weight equivalent to 1 tablet was transferred into a 10 ml volumetric flask, 5ml of diluents was added and sonicated for 25 min, further the volume was made up with diluent and filtered by HPLC filters (150µg/ml of Ertugliflozin and 1000µg/ml of Sitagliptin)

Preparation of Sample working solutions (100% solution): 1ml of filtered sample stock solution was transferred to 10ml volumetric flask and made up with diluent. (15µg/ml of Ertugliflozin and 100µg/ml of Sitagliptin)

Preparation of buffer: 0.1% OPA Buffer: 1ml of Conc Ortho Phosphoric acid was diluted to 1000ml with water.

3. RESULTS:

3.1: Method Development: Method development was done by changing various, mobile phase ratios, buffers etc.

3.2: Optimized Chromatographic Conditions: Chromatographic separation was achieved with Mobile phase 60% 0.1% OPA buffer: 40% Acetonitrile, Diluent Water and Acetonitrile in the ratio 50:50, Discovery C18 (4.6 x 150mm, 5µm) column, flow rate of 1 ml/min and wave length 218nm.




Fig 1 Optimized Chromatogram

System suitability: All the system suitability parameters were within the range and satisfactory as per ICH guidelines

Table 1: System suitability parameters for Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin

S no	Ertugliflozin			Sitagliptin			
Inj	RT(min)	USP Plate Count	Tailing	RT(min)	USP Plate Count	Tailing	Resolution
1	2.226	4965	1.11	2.873	6256	1.07	4.5
2	2.227	5222	1.15	2.878	6114	1.07	4.6
3	2.227	5190	1.16	2.878	5864	1.07	4.5
4	2.228	5222	1.16	2.879	5878	1.07	4.5
5	2.230	4888	1.14	2.880	5917	1.07	4.5
6	2.231	5413	1.14	2.881	5874	1.06	4.5




Fig 2 System suitability Chromatogram

Discussion: According to ICH guidelines plate count should be more than 2000, tailing factor should be less than 2 and resolution must be more than 2. All the system suitable parameters were passed and were within the limits. Various parameters were tabulated.

Table 2:Summary of various parameters

Parameters		Ertugliflozin	Sitagliptin	LIMIT
Linearity Range (µg/ml)		3.75-22.5µg/ml	25-150 µg/ml	R< 1
Regressioncoefficient		0.999	0.999	
Slope(m)		43997	46501	
Intercept(c)		2639	13112	
Regression equation (Y=mx+c)		y = 43997x + 2639	y = 46501x + 13112	
Assay (% mean assay)		99.61%	99.69%	90-110%
Specificity		Specific	Specific	No interference of any peak
System precision %RSD		0.5	0.4	NMT 2.0%
Method precision %RSD		0.4	0.7	NMT 2.0%
Accuracy %recovery		99.23%	100.01%	98-102%
LOD		0.20	0.46	NMT 3
LOQ		0.61	1.40	NMT 10
Robustness	FM	0.5	0.2	%RSD NMT 2.0
	FP	0.2	0.2	
	MM	0.6	0.6	
	MP	0.9	1.0	
	TM	0.5	0.5	
	TP	0.7	1.1	

DISCUSSION: A simple, Accurate, precise method was developed for the simultaneous estimation of the Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin in Tablet dosage form. Retention time of Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin were found to be 2.228min and 2.880min. %RSD of the Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin were and found to be 0.4 and 0.7 respectively. %Recovery was obtained as 99.23% and 100.01% for Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin respectively. LOD, LOQ values obtained from regression equations of Ertugliflozin and Sitagliptin were 0.20, 0.61 and

0.46, 1.40 respectively. Regression equation of Ertugliflozin is $y = 43997x + 2639$, and $y = 46501.x + 13112$ of Sitagliptin. Retention times were decreased and that run time was decreased, so the method developed was simple and economical that can be adopted in regular Quality control test in Industries.

CONCLUSION: The proposed research work is highly specific and prior over other described methods reported previously. Chromatographic conditions were improved. Hence the proposed method has significant advantages over previously reported methods in-terms of Selectivity, sensitivity, Linearity, Reproducibility, Accuracy.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. MacNair J.E., Patel K.D. And Jorgenson J.W., Ultra pressure reversed phase capillary Liquid Chromatography: Isocratic and gradient elution using columns packed with 1 μ m particles, Anal. Chem. 1999; 71: 700–708.
2. Wu N., Lippert J.A. And Lee M.L., "Practical aspects of ultrahigh pressure capillary liquid chromatography,, J. Chromatogr., 2001; A 911: 1–12.
3. Swartz M. E. , Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography (UPLC): An Introduction, Separation Science Re-Defined, LCGC Supplement, p. 8-11(MAY 2005).
4. Jerkovich A.D., Mellors J.S., and Jorgenson J.W., The use of micrometer-sized particles in ultrahigh pressure liquid chromatography. LCGC. 2003; 21(7): 600–610.

5. MacNair J.E., Lewis K.C. And Jorgenson J.W., Ultrahigh-pressure reversed-phase liquid chromatography in packed capillary columns. *Anal. Chem.* 1997; 69: 983–989.
6. Van Deemter JJ, Zuiderweg EJ, Klinkenberg A. Longitudinal diffusion and resistance to mass transfer as causes of non ideality in chromatography. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 1956; 5: 271–289.
7. Lars Y. and Honore H.S., On-line turbulent-flow chromatography–high-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry for fast sample preparation and quantitation *J. Chromatogr.*, 2003; A 1020: 59–67.
8. McLoughlin D.A., Olah T.V., and Gilbert J.D., A direct technique for the simultaneous determination of 10 drug candidates in plasma by Liquid Chromatography atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry interfaced to a prospect solid-phase extraction system. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 1997; 15: 1893–1901.