

Study Protocol

“Comparative evaluation of efficacy of modified *Ashmaghnasveda* (Stone Therapy) and *Choornapindasveda* in management of *Katigraha* (Lumbar spondylosis)”

Abstract:

Background: One of the important disorders affecting *Kati* region is *Katigraha* where *Vata* gets imbalanced in its own *sthana* (seat) causing *Graha* (stiffness) and *Ruja* (pain). Lumbar Spondylosis is a similar disease which is diagnosed as back pain induced by spine movement and associated with stiffness. *Ashmaghnasveda* is one of the major *svedana* procedures which is beneficial in *vataja* especially *Sama vataja* and *vatakaphaja* diseases. Structurally modified form of *Ashmaghnasveda* will be used to give *ekangasveda* in this study. **Objectives:** To evaluate the efficacy of modified *Ashmaghnasveda* (stone therapy) and *choornapindasveda* in management of *Katigraha* (lumbar spondylosis) and to compare the efficacies.

Methodology: A total of 64 patients will be enrolled and divided into 2 groups equally. In Group A (Interventional) Modified *Ashmaghnasveda* will be done for 14 days. In Group B (comparator group) *Churnapindasveda* will be done for 14 days. **Expected Results:** Changes in the objective parameters will be observed and recorded. **Conclusion:** Results and conclusion will be drawn according to the data obtained.

Keywords: *Ashmaghnasveda*, *Katigraha*, Lumbar spondylosis

Introduction:

Katigraha is one of the disorders affecting *Kati* region where *Vata* gets imbalanced in its own *sthana*. According to *Gadani-graha* when *Vata* is affected by *Ama* and gets located in *Kati pradesha*; it exhibits the symptoms of *Katigraha* viz. *Graha* (stiffness) and *Ruja* (pain) in *Kati* (lower back).^[1] *Acharya Sharangadhara* has also laid stress on *Katigraha* and has included this disease under the head of *Nanatmaja Vatavyadhi*.^[2]

Katigraha is often compared with chronic low back pain due to similarity in clinical manifestations. Lumbar Spondylosis is a similar disease which is diagnosed as back pain induced by spine movement and associated with stiffness.^[3] Chronic low back pain is diagnosed when pain lasts more than 12 weeks and accounts for almost 50% of total back pain costs. Risk factors include obesity, females, old age, history of back pain and restricted spinal mobility due to any other reason.^[4] Various studies have been performed with low back pain as a major complaint, in which *Vataghna* and *Vatakaphaghna* measures including both oral medication as well as *Panchakarma* procedures displayed highly significant results in all the subjective and objective parameters of *Katigraha*. *Panchakarma* includes procedures namely *Vamana*, *Virechana*, *Niruha Basti*, *Anuvasana Basti* and *Nasya*. But, along with these there are vital *purvakarma* viz. *Snehana* and *Svedana* which are also part of *Shadvidhaupkrama*^[5] and therefore are both, *purva* as well as *pradhana karma* in various disorders including *Vatavyadhi*.^[6]

Amongst these, *Svedana* is one which is defined as one which relieves one of *Stambha*, *gaurava*, *sheeta* and also produces *Sveda* (Sweat).^[7] While explaining its benefits, *Acharya Charaka* states that it induces sweating, relieves one of pain, stiffness, heaviness and brings softness in the body.^[8] While *Acharya Sushruta* states that it helps in achieving

improved mobility of the joints which have stiffness.^[9] It is indicated in diseases of many systems like respiratory, neuromuscular diseases, musculoskeletal system such as *Gridhrasi*, *Stambha* (stiffness), *Khalli* etc.^[10] and also found useful in Ankylosing spondylitis^[11], Osteoarthritis^[12], Sciatica^[13].

Out of various classification of *svedana*, *Sagnisveda* is one where there is direct contact of *Agni* and is of 13 types viz. *Sankara*, *Prastara*, *Nadi*, *Parisheka*, *Avagaha*, *Jentaka*, *Ashmaghna*, *Karshu*, *Kuti*, *Bhu*, *Kumbhika*, *Kupa* and *Holaka*.^[14] Other major classification of *Svedana* include, according to the area/ part(s) of the body involved i.e. *Ekanga* (one part of body) and *Sarvanga* (whole body).^[15]

Many studies have been done on effects of localized treatments on *Katigraha*, *Katishula* etc. e.g. “*Katibasti* with *Rasnadi Taila* along with *Shunthi-Erand Yoga*” were found to be very effective in the management of *Katishoola*.^[16]; use of *Churnapindasveda* in *Katigraha* was also found to have significant results.^{[17], [18]} *Churnapindasveda* is a type of *rukshasankarasveda* which is beneficial in *vatakaphaja* or *samavataja* diseases.^[19] *Ashmaghnasveda* (*Charaka*)^[20]/ *Ghanshma Sveda* (*Vagbhata*)^{[21], [22]} is one of the major *svedana* procedures which is especially beneficial in *Sama vataja* and *vatakaphaja* diseases.^[23] In its traditional form *Ashmaghnasveda* is a type of *sarvangasveda*, in which a stone slab of the size of height of a person is used to induce *sarvangasveda* and is one of the *mahana* (strong) *svedana karma*.^[24] This treatment is not being used frequently due to various reasons like tedious and prolonged process, needing a lot of medicinal resources and manpower thereby increasing the cost of treatment due to which it is gradually becoming a lost treatment modality.

Stone massage/ stone therapy being used in various Spa centres and other traditional system of medicine is a relaxing treatment which can be considered as a modified alternative of *Ashmaghnasveda*, which incorporates the benefits of massage, heat therapy and acupressure all at the same time. The stone massage has a form of impact on the body which uses mechanical stimuli viz. pressure on the tissues to induce desired physiological reactions.^[25] In many countries hot stone therapy for whole body or spine is also being used for treatment of stiffness, aches, stress and anxiety etc. in which a stone slab is directly heated while a person lays on it and has shown very good results.^[26] This hot stone therapy lacks the use of medicinal effects of herbs which are used in the case of *Ashmaghna Sveda*. Considering all this it will be beneficial to find out if *Ashmaghnasveda* can be structurally modified to be given locally with the help of smaller stones instead of a stone bed which can provide a better treatment option while reducing medical costs.

Rationale:

Treatment of Lumbar spondylosis includes anti-inflammatory, analgesics, muscle relaxants, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or NSAIDs, exercises, traction, absolute bed rest and invasive treatments such as surgeries.^[27] Limitations of these therapies include various side effects in pain relieving group of medicines like NSAIDs, reduced work output and change in lifestyle of the patients in case of bedrest, patients not willing to undergo surgeries due to fear etc.

Due to this we shall explore the treatment options for the same in *Ayurveda*. Various studies have been performed under different headings for management of *Katigraha*/ Lumbar spondylosis, in which *Vataghna*/ *Vatakaphaghna* measures including both oral medication as well as *Panchakarma* procedures such as *Basti*, *Snehadhara*, *Patrapindasveda*, *Churnapindasveda* etc. have displayed significant results in all the subjective and objective parameters of. These treatments have various limitations like, requirement of admission in IPD for treatments like *Basti* and *snehadhara*. Patients refusing for treatments like *basti* due

to fear. Treatments such as *Snehadhara* and *churnapindasveda* involving high cost. *Patrapindasveda* requires higher quantity of resources daily. *Churnapindasveda* although a non-invasive modality requires medicated powder like *kolakulathadichurna*, *karpasasthyadichurna* etc. in high quantity for daily use and leads to escalated treatment cost. *Ashmaghna* (*Charaka*)/ *Ghanshma* (*Vagbhata*) *Sveda* in its traditional form is a tedious and prolonged process and not cost effective either, as it needs a lot of resources.

Considering all this a structurally modified technique of *Ashmaghnasveda*; providing it in the form of *ekangasveda* (stone therapy) will be utilized in the current study. Stone therapy/ stone massage can be done with small stones to induce localised effects but lack the use of medication unlike in the case of *Ashmaghna Sveda*. *Ashmaghnasveda* is one of the *mahansveda* mentioned in the *Ayurvedic* literature and is mentioned to be useful in various types of *Vatavyadhi*. *Mahan sveda* is given in conditions where the *vyadhibala* is strong, is associated with *kapha* or *Ama* like in *Katigraha* and patient is also strong enough to take the treatment.

In clinical practice *Ashmaghnasveda* has been becoming obsolete due to very high requirement of raw herbs, specific pre- and post-procedure requirements, and increasing cost of medicinal herbs which are burned to heat the stone slab. Stone massage therapy is a traditional treatment practiced in various countries and has shown promising results in reducing pains and relaxing muscles and stress. But in this therapy the stones are not heated with the herbs of medicinal properties.

Considering the above literature, it will be beneficial to modify the *Ashmaghnasveda* into localized treatment which will not only help in reviving a treatment which is becoming obsolete but also provide for new treatment option which is beneficial to society. , it will be beneficial to find out if modified *Ashmaghnasveda* can be given to *Ekanga* (locally) which will help in reducing the waste generated at the hospital & to reduce the need of IPD admissions as the treatment can be carried out as a day care treatment.

Thus, considering the prevalence, disability rate in productive part of life, intensity of symptoms of disease and considering classical reference of efficacy of *Ashmaghnasveda*, and reference of use of *Churnapindasveda*^[15], in *Katigraha* a randomised clinical study will be conducted to assess its efficacy in *Katigraha*. Some of the previous studies done on same topic have shown results and limitations like Fernando et al in Clinical Efficacy of Erandamuladi Yapana Basti in the Management of Katigraha (Lumbar Spondylosis) concluded that 35% patients had marked improvement, 25% had mild improvement and 15% had complete remission and moderate improvement each. 10% of the patients showed improved state, but it had limitations like only one group was used and treatment duration was also very long, of 30 days. Treatment used was basti for which many patients show hesitation and non-compliance. Tripathy et. al. in Open Label Comparative Clinical Trial of Dvipanchamooladi Taila and Ksheerabala Taila Matra Vasti in the Management of Low Back Ache established that Dvipanchamooladitaila Matrabasti efficiently reduces symptoms like pain, stiffness, tenderness, and restricted movements. It was observed that the therapy along with drug acted mainly on pain as compared to other parameters, but it had similar limitations as above. Kumavat et al in A clinical study to evaluate the efficacy of kativasti and sunthierand yoga in the management of katishoola with special reference to lumbosacral arthropathy concluded that Katibasti with Rasnadi Taila alongside Sunthi-Erand Yoga are very effective treatment modalities and can be used with good results in the management of Katishoola (lumbosacral arthropathy) but it had various limitations like the study was performed including oral intake of shunthieranda yoga. Important take away from the study was that local treatment which is used for *svedana* is having better results. Kumar T et al in Evaluation of Effect of *Godhumadi Upanaha Sweda* In *Niramaja Katigraha*: An Open Clinical Trial^[28] concluded that Panchakoladi Upanaha was effective in relieving Samaja stage of

Katigraha and Avasthanusara treatment is more effective in the management of Katigraha than that of Anavasthanusara treatment, important takeaway from this study was Upnaha is a localized treatment which showed promising result and author highlights the fact that localized treatment holds good results in the treatment of Katigraha. Mishra G et al in Clinical Study to Evaluate the Effect of Modified *Choorna Pinda Svedan* in the Management of *Katigraha* (Lumbago) maximum patients got marked improvement which is statistically significant; neither any patient got complete remission, nor remains unchanged however, the limitations on this study were that only one treatment group was used and Svedana was performed on whole body, while author also agrees that the disease is localized, in such case localized treatment can show better results. Sharma P et al in Comparative Clinical Study of *Arohana Matra Basti* And Standard *Matra Basti* In *Kevala Vataja Katigraha* Vis-À-Vis Lumbar Spondylosis^[29] and Bhende et al Comparative clinical study of *Ashwagandha* and *Chincha tail Matrabasti*^[30] concluded that statistically significant results were seen in both the groups which confirm effect of Matra Basti in Kevalavatajakatigraha to be very effective, but it had limitations of having a small sample size and treatment administered being basti for which there is hesitation and non-compliance.

From the above-mentioned works done we can see that plenty of work has been done on *katigraha*, but no work has been done on *ghanashmasveda* or stone therapy in *Ayurveda*. It can also be inferred that many authors insist on use of local treatment in the cases of *Katigraha*. The limitations of the above established treatment have already been discussed which makes it essential to look for a cost effective, non-invasive treatment modality which can be done at OPD level with minimal generation of hospital waste. Therefore, Modified form of *Ashmaghnasveda* (stone therapy) is being chosen for evaluation in this study.

Aims and Objectives:

Aim: To evaluate the efficacy of modified *Ashmaghnasveda* (stone therapy) in management of *Katigraha* (lumbar spondylosis). To evaluate the comparative efficacy of modified *Ashmaghnasveda* (stone therapy) and *churnapindasveda* in management of *Katigraha* (lumbar spondylosis).

Objectives

1. To evaluate the efficacy of modified *Ashmaghnasveda* (stone therapy) in the management of *Katigraha* (lumbar spondylosis).
2. To evaluate the efficacy of *Churnapindasveda* in the management of *Katigraha* (lumbar spondylosis).
3. To compare the effects of *Ashmaghnasveda* and *Churnapinda* in *Katigraha* (lumbar spondylosis).

Study Type: Interventional study

Trial design: Superiority clinical trial i.e. A randomized control trial (RCT) – Reference standard control trial, open study.

Case definition- Diagnosed cases of *Katigraha* (Lumbar spondylosis).

Diagnostic Criteria

1. *Katigraha* (Stiffness in lower back)
2. *Katishoola* (Low back pain) for more than 12 weeks
3. Reduced walking capacity due to pain

Research Question:

Whether modified *Ashmaghnasveda* (stone therapy) is more effective than *churnapindasveda* in patients of *Katigraha* (Lumbar spondylosis)?

Methodology:

Study setting: The study will be conducted in Panchkarma OPD & IPD, Mahatma Gandhi Ayurveda College Hospital and Research Centre (MGACH & RC), Salod (Hirapur) Wardha, Maharashtra.

Eligibility criteria:**Inclusion criteria:**

- Patients without barring any gender between 21 to 40 years of age.
- Patients diagnosed as a case of *Katigraha* (Lumbar spondylosis ICD code M 47.8) will be selected irrespective of gender/ occupation and socio-economic status.
- Patients having low back ache for more than 12 weeks
- Patients willing to give informed consent.

Exclusion criteria:

- Patients reporting with low backache due to spinal tumour, malignancy of the pelvis, tuberculosis of vertebral bodies, Ankylosing Spondylitis, Rheumatoid Arthritis, Psoriatic Arthritis, Gouty Arthritis and congenital deformity.
- Low backache associated with Myelopathy and radiculopathy
- Post-surgical backache and history of lumbar surgery or implanted instrumentation or prostheses.
- Pregnant women, lactating mother and women undergoing menstruation.
- Patients with the history of trauma (*Abhighatajanya Katigraha*).
- Contraindicated for *Svedana*.^{[10], [31]}

Interventions:**Table 1. Methodology of the study****Figure 1. Flowchart of study design or methodology****Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions:**

- Patients willing to quit in between will be allowed to quit and will be replaced.
- If patient develops any acute illness during the trial which may hamper the study.
- Withdrawn patients will be replaced.
- If any untoward incidence, features of drug sensitivity or any other disease or problem arises, the subject will be offered free treatment till the problem subsides.

Followup: 28th day of study.

Assessment Criteria:

The improvement will be assessed on the basis of relief in sign and symptoms of *Katigraha*. All the sign and symptoms will be assigned score depending upon their severity to assess the effect of treatment, the details of which are given below:

A. For *Katigraha*: Oswestry's Disability index^[32]

B. For Katishoola: Visual Analogue Scale^{[33], [34]}

C. For difficulty in walking: Two minutes' walk distance test (2MWD)^[35] will be used to measure the functional improvement in walking capacity.

Outcomes:

Primary: Primary outcome is reduction in pain, stiffness and difficulty in walking in cases of *Katigraha*.

Participant timeline: 14 days treatment and follow up on 28th day of study.

Figures:

Figure 1




Figure 2. Gantt Chart

Title	“A Comparative randomized control clinical study on effect of modified <i>Ashmaghnasveda</i> (stone therapy) with <i>Choornapindasvedain</i> management of <i>Katigraha</i> (Lumbar spondylosis)”							
Steps	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8
Enrolment of Participants								
Data collection								
Writing the thesis parts up to methods								
Data analysis								
Writing the thesis parts up to results & Conclusions								
Submission								

Tables:

Table 1.Methodology of the study

S.N.	Head	Group A (Control)	Group B (Trial)
1	Sample size	To be evaluated as per pilot study	
2	Intervention	<i>Churna Pinda Sveda</i>	<i>AshmaghnaSveda (Ekanga)</i>
3	Duration of treatment	14 days	14 days
4	Schedules	1 – 14 days	1-14 days
5	Follow up period	28th day	28th day
6	Total duration	28 days	28 days
7	Dose	30 minutes or till <i>samyaksvinnalakshana</i> are achieved. ^[35]	30 minutes or till <i>samyaksvinnalakshana</i> are achieved. ^[35]
8	Preparation and Procedure	Step1- <i>Kulattha</i> and <i>kolakulatthadichurna</i> 300gms heated in 600ml <i>dhanyamla</i> till cooked and two boluses prepared. Step2- <i>Pinda sveda</i> given locally on Kati pradesh Step3- <i>Svedana</i> is done for 30 minutes Step4- Body sponged with towel dipped in hot water	Step1- Basalt stone is first heated in burning <i>dashmulapanchanga</i> and then dipped in normal temperature <i>dashmulakvath</i> to bring it to bearable heat level Step2- <i>Svedana</i> is done for 30 minutes Step3- body sponge with towel dipped in hot water

Methods: Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials):

Recruitment: Patients will be recruited by randomization sampling method. The PI and Supervisor will allocate and enrol the patient.

Phase I -Pilot Study – 12 patients were taken for treatment as thumb rule according to which sample size was calculated.

Phase II - Main trial for which sample size was calculated according to Pilot study. 64 patients are required to have a 90% chance of detecting, as significant at the 5% level, an increase in the primary outcome measure from 32% in the control group to 70% in the experimental group.

$$n = f(\alpha/2, \beta) \times [p1 \times (100 - p1) + p2 \times (100 - p2)] / (p2 - p1)^2$$

Where, n- Sample Size, α – level of significance, β – power, p1- percentage of success in control group, p2 - percentage of success in trial group. α -5%, β -90%, p1-32 %, p2-70 %, therefore n= 32 in each group i.e. 64

Data collection, management, and analysis methods:

Observations will be made after completion of study, according to the data collected with the help of following:

- I. Case registration Form with detailed history and examination (Annexure I)
- II. Follow Up Assessment Performa (Annexure II)

Related studies from modern medicine were reviewed^[36-39].

Data monitoring: The Data coding will be done by PI and supervisor.

Statistical methods: Data obtained will be calculated by using Student's Paired and Unpaired 't' test. Data on continuous variables will be analysed using parametric tests. The data on discrete variables will be presented as n(%). The continuous data will be presented as mean (SD)/Median(Min-Max). A p value of less than 0.05 will be considered as significant.

Ethics and dissemination: IEC certificate, obtained vide Ref No. MGACH/IEC/Oct2020/128 dated 10.10.2020.

Consent or assent: The written informed consent will be taken from the patient before starting the study. During the study the confidentiality of each patient will be maintained.

Conclusion:

Discussion will be done and conclusion(s) drawn according to the observations made in the case registration and follow up forms.

Trial Registration: IEC Ref No. MGACH/IEC/Oct2020/128 dated 10.10.2020, CTRI registration under process

References:

1. Shastri I, editor. Vata vyadhi Adhyaya. In: Gadanigraha. First. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 2011. p. 588.
2. Vidyasagar PS, editor. Purvakhand Adhyay 7. In: Sharangdhar Samhita with Dipika and Gudartha Dipika. 1st ed. Bombay: Panduranga Jawaji; 1931. p. 103.
3. Kasper, Hauser, Longo, Jameson, Loscalzo, editors. Back and Neck Pain. In: Harrison's Principle of Internal Medicine. 18th ed. McGraw-Hill Medical; p. 301.
4. Kasper, Hauser, Longo, Jameson, Loscalzo, editors. Back and Neck Pain. In: Harrison's Principle of Internal Medicine. 18th ed. McGraw-Hill Medical; p. 303.
5. Acharya YT, editor. Langhan Brihaniya Adhyay. In: Charaka Samhita. Reprint. Varansi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 2004. p. 120.
6. Dwivedi M, Sharma T, Mishra B. Svedana Prakaranam. In: Ayurveda Panchakarma Chikitsa. First. Varanasi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Pratishthan; p. 148.
7. Vaidya HP, editor. Dvididhaupkramniya Adhyaya. In: Ashtanga Hridayam with Sarvangasundara and Ayurveda Rasayan commentaries. 8th ed. Varanasi, India: Chaukhamba; 1998. p. 222–3.
8. Acharya YT, editor. Svedadhyay. In: Charaka Samhita. Reprint. Varansi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 2004. p. 88.
9. Shastri A, editor. Svedavcharaniya Adhyay. In: Sushruta Samhita. Reprint. Varanasi, India: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Bhawan; 2005. p. 141.
10. Acharya YT, editor. Svedadhyay. In: Charaka Samhita. Reprint. Varansi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 2004. p. 89.
11. Singh SK, Rajoria K. Ayurvedic approach for management of ankylosing spondylitis: A case report. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2016 Mar;7(1):53–6.
12. Sharma MR, Mehta CS, Shukla DJ, Patel KB, Patel MV, Gupta SN. Multimodal Ayurvedic management for Sandhigatavata (Osteoarthritis of knee joints). Ayu. 2013 Jan;34(1):49–55.

13. Bali Y, Vijayasarathi R, Ebnezar J, Venkatesh B. Efficacy of Agnikarma over the padakanistakam (little toe) and Katibasti in Gridhrasi: A comparative study. *Int J Ayurveda Res.* 2010;1(4):223–30.
14. Acharya YT, editor. *Svedadhyay*. In: *Charaka Samhita*. Reprint. Varansi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 2004. p. 92.
15. Acharya YT, editor. *Svedadhyay*. In: *Charaka Samhita*. Reprint. Varansi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 2004. p. 90.
16. Kumawat VB, Sharma UK, Sharma NK, Kumar S. A Clinical Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of Kativasthi and Sunthi-Erand Yoga in the Management of Katishoolawith special reference to Lumbosacral Arthropathy. 2016;6:9.
17. Mishra G, Pujar M, Kumar A, Sharma S. Clinical study to evaluate the effect of Modified Choornapindasveda in the management of Katigraha (LUMBAGO). *Int J Res Ayurveda Pharm.* 2017 Sep 27;8(4):122–7.
18. Valsan I, Kumar V. A Comparative Clinical Study on Karpasasthyadi and Kolakulathadi Choorna Pinda Swedana in Katigraha. *J Ayurveda Integr Med Sci* ISSN 2456-3110. 2019 Sep 25;4(4):52–9.
19. Devraj T. Sudation Therapy. In: *The Panchakarma Treatment of Ayurveda with Kerala Specialities*. 5th ed. Varansi: Chaukhamba Orientalia; 2014. p. 169–70.
20. Acharya YT, editor. *Svedadhyay*. In: *Charaka Samhita*. Reprint. Varansi: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan; 2004. p. 91.
21. Tripathi R, editor. *Svedavidhi Adhyay*. In: *Ashtanga Sangraha*. IIIrd. Delhi, India: Chaukhamba Sanskrit Pratishthan; 1993. p. 469.
22. Vaidya HP, editor. *Svedavidhi Adhyay*. In: *Ashtanga Hridayam with Sarvangasundara and Ayurveda Rasayan commentaries*. 8th ed. Varanasi, India: Chaukhamba; 1998. p. 254–5.
23. Jain A. Svedana. In: *A Handbook on Practical Approach to Panchakarma*. 2nd ed. Delhi, India: Jaypee brothers Medical Publishers; 2017. p. 70.
24. Devraj T. Sudation Therapy. In: *The Panchakarma Treatment of Ayurveda with Kerala Specialities*. 5th ed. Varansi: Chaukhamba Orientalia; 2014. p. 161.
25. Radziejowski P. Hot stone massage therapy - mechanisms of the influence on the human organism of selected methods of use. *J Educ Health Sport.* 2018 May 31;8(5):335–48.
26. Hayasaka S, Tsutsumi A, Noda T, Murata C, Ojima T. Effects of stone spa (Ganban-yoku) on psychological states. *Complement Ther Clin Pract.* 2009 Aug 1;15(3):129–32.
27. Ebnezar J. Low backache and Repeatitive stress injuries (RSI). In: *Textbook of Orthopaedics*. 4th ed. India: Jaypee brothers Medical Publishers; p. 471–5.
28. Kumar T, Sanapati RV. Evaluation of Effect of Godhumadi Upanaha Sweda in Niramaja Katigraha: An Open Clinical Trial. *Int J Res Ayurveda Pharm.* 2017 Jun 11;8(2):139–41.
29. Sharma P, Bhat SA. A Comparative Clinical Study of Arohana Matra Basti and Standard Matra Basti in Kevala Vataja Katigraha Vis-a-Vis Lumbar Spondylosis. *Int Ayurvedic Med J.* 2019;7(4):8.
30. Parwe S, Nisargandha M, Bhende S. Comparative effect of Ashwagandha (*Withania Somnifera*) and Chinchin (Tamarindus indica) Matrabasti in Katigraha (Low backache): A study Protocol.
31. Acharya YT, editor. *Svedavidhi Adhyay*. In: *Sushruta Samhita*. Reprint. Varanasi, India: Chaukhamba Surbharti Prakashan; 2003. p. 515.
32. Fairbank JC, Couper J, Davies JB, O'Brien JP. The Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire. *Physiotherapy.* 1980 Aug;66(8):271–3.

33. Visual Analogue Scale [Internet]. Physiopedia. [cited 2018 May 21]. Available from: https://www.physio-pedia.com/Visual_Analogue_Scale
34. Scott J, Huskisson E. Graphic representation of pain. *Pain*. 1976 Jun;2(2):175–84.
35. Priya TK, Verma S. A Study to Determine the Reference Values for Two Minute Walk Distance in Healthy Indian Adults. *Int J Physiother Res*. 2015 Oct 11;3(5):1208–14.
36. Madavi, Sheetal K., Vivek Chakole, Jayashree Sen, Amol Singam, Saranya Rallabhandi, and Neeta Verma. “Comparison of Lumbar Transforaminal Epidural Dexamethasone and Triamcinolone for Lumbar Radiculopathy.” *JOURNAL OF EVOLUTION OF MEDICAL AND DENTAL SCIENCES-JEMDS* 9, no. 42 (October 19, 2020): 3133–38. <https://doi.org/10.14260/jemds/2020/687>.
37. Sinha, Saumi, Rakesh Kumar Sinha, Pratik Phansopkar, and Sachin Chaudhary. “Effect of Psychomotor Physiotherapy with Individualized Physiotherapy Program on Pain, Kinesiophobia and Functional Outcome Following Transforaminal Interbody Lumbar Fusion (TLIF): A Case Report.” *MEDICAL SCIENCE* 24, no. 106 (December 2020): 4091–97.
38. Bhaisare, Roshan, Bhavna Kamble, and Kisan Patond. “Long-Term Results of Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy by ‘Destandau’s Technique.’” *ASIAN SPINE JOURNAL* 10, no. 2 (April 2016): 289–97. <https://doi.org/10.4184/asj.2016.10.2.289>.
39. Bais, Anjali, Dushyant Bawiskar, Waqar M. Naqvi, and Arti Sahu. “A Case Study on the Impact of Physiotherapy on Unilateral Foot Drop after Lumbar Fusion and Discectomy.” *MEDICAL SCIENCE* 24, no. 103 (June 2020): 1773–79.