ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

FREQUENCIES OF RH PHENOTYPE AND PROBABLE GENOTYPE IN D NEGATIVE BLOOD DONORS IN OMAN: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY

ABSTRACT

Aims: Knowledge of Rh phenotype frequencies guides in assessing the probability of Rh alloantibody formation and finding Rh negative antigen donor. There are limited information on the RhCE phenotypes and probable genotype frequencies among D negative Omani population, hence this was conducted to determine that and compared it with other populations.
Study design: Cross-sectional study
Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted in Oman College of Health Sciences between July 2016 and April 2017.
Methodology: A total of 205 D negative blood samples were collected from Omani blood donors. Haemagglutination methods were used for Rh typing. The data collected to determine the Rh phenotype, probable genotype and haplotype frequencies.
Results: The most prevalent Rh antigen was e followed by c , C and E. The most common probable genotype/phenotype and haplotype were *rr* / *rr* and *r* with frequencies of 0.766 and 0.887 respectively.
Conclusion: There was no difference in Rh phenotype / probable genotype distribution from other populations. However, a significant difference was found in the frequencies of Rh antigens C, E and c with some of other populations. Likewise, haplotype *r* and probable genotype *rr* frequencies were found to be significantly different from some other populations ($P = .05$).

Keywords: *Haplotype, Genotype, Oman, Rh Blood group*

ABBREVIATIONS

C.I: Confidence Interval; HDFN: Haemolytic disease of the foetus and new born; K2EDTA: K2 Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; RBC: Red blood cell

1. INTRODUCTION

The Rh blood group system is considered to be the second most important blood group in transfusion medicine after ABO groups. It consists of more than 50 antigens, however the most important and significant are D, C, E, c and e [1]. Rh antibodies can only be produced after foreign red blood cells (RBCs) exposure through transfusion or pregnancy. It is the main cause of haemolytic disease of the foetus and new born (HDFN) and is the primary importance in obstetrics [2].

Due to the immunogenicity of Rh antigens, RhD testing was made compulsory in pre transfusion testing [3]. ABO/RhD blood testing is mandatory in pre transfusion testing and pregnancy in Oman as well; however, Rh phenotyping (C, E, c and e) for the blood donors is not routinely performed in most of regional blood banks in Oman. Different blood group antigens frequency knowledge can be of great help in risk assessment of alloantibody formation and probably to know how easy is to find the antigen negative donor particularly for thalassaemia major and sickle cell disorders patients. In 2013, the estimated number of patients with thalassaemia major and sickle cell disorders cared for in Oman was 400 and 3000 respectively [4] which urges the necessity to perform Rh phenotyping in regional blood banks for possible safe transfusions.

The immunogenicity of Rh antigens differs, with RhD being the most immunogenic followed by c, E, C and e antigens [5]. The majority of cases of allo-immunisation following blood transfusion or pregnancy are due to these major antigens [6]. Frequency of these antigens determines frequency of corresponding antibodies occurrences. Therefore, implementing a programme that ensures complete Rh phenotyping of donor and recipient for the common five Rh antigens will reduce the risk of Rh allo-immunisation due to these antigens.

Distribution and frequencies of RhD and RhCE vary between races and ethnic groups in different parts of the world. The majority of Rh studies have concentrated on Caucasian populations. A study on D negative donors in West Africa showed 100% with e and c antigens and 19.57% with C antigen [7] whereas, a similar study in Iranian showed 100% of the population pose e and c, 2% with E and 13.7% with C antigens [8].
Very little is known about the frequencies of Rh of the South Eastern Arabic Peninsula. A retrospective study on D negative pregnant women attending Sultan Qaboos Hospital in Oman showed a prevalence of 7.3% [9], however it did not include other Rh antigens frequency. A study conducted by Danubio and Anelli, 1987 on RHD locus frequency among Omanis showed RHD allele (D) exhibited the frequency estimate of 0.738 whereas the remaining 0.262 without D locus (d) [10]. However, RHD allele frequency calculations in the previous study were based on conventional serological tube method with limitations to detect D variants that would have raised the D locus frequency among Omanis if observed. To our best knowledge, no other Rh phenotypes & haplotypes studies have been reported for the Omani population. This propelled us to study Rh frequencies on D negative Omani blood donor and compare it with those of other populations.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was performed on 205 blood samples from D negative Omani donors over 18 years old (180 male and 25 female) presenting at central and regional blood banks. Blood was collected in 3 ml BD Vacutainer K2 Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (K2EDTA) tubes and mixed immediately for 5 minutes on a tube roller. Samples were either tested immediately or else stored at 4°C for up to 5 days.
All reagents were purchased from Bio-Rad (Cressier FR, Switzerland) unless indicated otherwise. Samples were tested by haemagglutination using tube and gel card techniques. For tube method, 5% red blood cells (RBCs) suspension was used for phenotyping and indirect Coombs' test (ICT). Monoclonal IgM antibodies were used for Rh phenotyping: anti-c (cell line: MS-33), anti-e (cell line: MS-16, MS-21, MS-63), anti-C (cell line: MS-24) and anti-E (cell line: MS-260) according to the manufacturer's instructions.. The samples were further tested by ICT using anti-human globulin green (Atlas, UK) for D weak detection. For gel card technique, 5% and 0.8% RBCs suspension were used for Rh phenotyping (suspended in ID-Diluent 1 and tested using human RHD + phenotype card) and ICT (suspended in ID-Diluent 2, and tested using ID-Coombs anti-IgG card with addition of ID-Diaclon IgG anti-D monoclonal antibody – cell line ESD1) respectively according to the manufacturer's instructions.
Rh Phenotypes (C, c, E and e) were calculated as percentage by dividing total positive cases for the particular antigen by total number of samples. Rh haplotype frequency (for D negative donors) was calculated using Hardy-Weinberg equation. Chi-square test of independence was performed using GraphPad Prism 6 to calculate *P* value.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This observational cross sectional study was conducted on 205 D negative Omani blood donors to determine the frequency of Rh common antigens and phenotypes. To best of our knowledge, there are no published data on Rh common blood group antigens and haplotypes frequencies for D negative Omani individuals, hence this is the first description. ABO distribution among Omanis were as follows: O (n = 115, 56.7%) > A (n = 49, 24.1%) > B (n = 30, 14.8%) > AB (n = 9, 4.4%).

All direct serological tests showed the following Rh phenotypes and probable genotypes: C-c+E-e+ (rr, n = 157, 76.6%), C+c+E-e+ (r'r, n = 38, 18.5%), C-c+E+e+ (r″r , n = 5, 2.4%), C+c-E-e+ (r'r', n = 2, 1%), C-c+E+e- (r″r″, n = 1, 0.5%) [Table 1]. The frequency of Rh phenotype and probable genotypes for males and females was also compared [Table 2]. Weak D testing by ICT was negative

for all samples except two (001 and 209) which showed +1 agglutination by gel but not tube technique with following phenotype and probable genotype: C-c+D+E-e+ (R0r, n = 2, 0.98%) [Figure 1]. The frequency of C, c, E and e were 20%, 99%, 3% and 99% respectively [Figure 2]. Haplotype frequencies were: (dce, 0.89), (dCe, 0.1) and (dcE, 0.01).

The D negative ratio for Omani male to female was 87.7:12.3. This ratio showed no significance compared to 80.65:19.35 ratio observed in Gana (*P* = .172)[11]. However, it showed significantly different (*P* < .001) from observed ratio 56:44 in a study conducted by Rahmani et al, 2016 in Pakistan [12]. Current study targeted blood donors only and not the general population, which could explain such significant difference compared to Rahmani et al study where individuals visiting blood banks for blood group determination were also included. In addition, about 30% of current study samples were collected from blood campaign at armed forces and navy where male donors were dominant.

Current study showed no significant difference between D negative male and female Omani blood donors with regard to Rh phenotyping (*P* = .4611). Out of 203 samples, 178 (87.7%) were males compared to 25 (12.3%) females [Table 2]. However, due to low number of female donors, possible bias cannot be ruled out. The commonest phenotype and probable genotype noticed was C-c+E-e+ (rr). This finding is similar to the result observed in two Arabian countries, Tunisian population [13, 14] and Saudi Arabia [15].



Fig. 1. Rh phenotype and ICT agglutination pattern. Rh phenotyping using BioRAD RhD + phenotype card for two D negative samples 001 & 209 both with C-c+D-E-e+ phenotype (A & B). Weak D testing using BioRad ID-Coombs anti-IgG showed +1 agglutination by with both samples (C & D).



Fig. 2. Rh phenotype frequencies in D negative Omani blood donors. The most common antigen was found to be e followed by c, C & E.

Table 1. Rh phenotypes and probable genotype frequencies in D negative Omani donors (*n* = 203)

		Genotype				
Antigens	Phenotype	Wiener	Fisher race	Number	Frequency %	95% C.I[a]
D-C-E-c+e+	rr	*rr*	dce/dce	157	76.6	72.2 – 80.8
D-C+E-c+e+	r'r	*r'r*	dCe/dce	38	18.5	14.3 – 22.7
D-C-E+c+e+	r"r	*r"r*	dcE/dce	5	2.4	< 6.8
D-C-E+c+e-	r"r"	*r"r"*	dcE/dcE	2	1	< 5.2
D-C+E-c-e+	r'r'	*r'r'*	dCe/dCe	1	0.5	< 4.7

[a] Confidence interval

Table 2. Distribution of Rh phenotype and probable genotype between D negative male and female blood donors

Gender	*r''r''*	*rr*	*r'r*	*r''r*	*r'r'*	Total
Male	1 (0.49%)	137 (67.49%)	34 (16.75%)	5 (2.46%)	1 (0.49%)	178
Female	0 (0%)	20 (9.85%)	4 (1.97%)	0 (0%)	1	25

(0.49%)

P value	***P* = .461**	**203**

Among D negative blood donors the most common antigen found was e followed by c, C and E [Figure 3). This is similar to that in other Arab countries UAE, Saudi Arabia, Morocco and India [15-18]. Predominantly, there are no significant differences between these frequencies compared to the most other Arab countries, Iran and India nonetheless; significant differences have been observed in C and E antigens [14-16]. Rate of RhC positive in the population was 19.7% and showed significant difference compared to 9.52% in Saudi Arabia, 33.5% in India and 6.7% in Tunisia (*P* < .001) whereas the rate of RhE positive was 3% and determined significant difference in comparison to India (*P* < .001). Rhc antigen positivity found to be 98.5% compared to 93.6% in UAE with significant difference (*P* = .05) [14-16]. Frequencies of major Rh antigens in D negative Omani population compared to other populations are provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Rh major antigens frequencies in different D negative ethnic populations

Rh antigen	Current study	Saudi Arabia [15]	India [16]	UAE [17]	Morocco [18]	Iran [8]	Tunisia [14]
C	40 (19.7%)	62 (9.52%)***	1269 (33.5%)***	13 (21%)	20 (15.2%)	7 (13.7%)	30 (6.7%)** *
E	6 (3%)	12 (1.8%)	68 (1.8%)***	2 (3.2%)	1 (0.8%)	1 (2%)	16 (3.6%)
c	200 (98.5%)	639 (98.2%)	3736 (98.7%)	58 (93.6%)*****	131 (100%)	51 (100%)	448 (100%)* *
e	202 (99.5%)	647 (99%)	3762 (99.3%)	61 (98.4%)	131 (100%)	51 (100%)	448 (100%)
Total	*n = 203*	*n = 651*	*n = 3773*	*n = 62*	*n = 131*	*n = 51*	*n = 448*

$^{*}P = .05$ $^{**}P < .01$ ***P < .001

The incidence of weak D varies between populations worldwide. In our study we observed possible two cases of weak D (0.98%) which is exactly equally observed percentage in Pakistan [12]. A similar weak D frequencies study in India by Makroo et al, 2010 was reported to be 0.12% [19] while another study by Seema et al, 2011 reported that as 0.135% [20]. Both studies showed low weak D frequency compared to current study. In whites weak D ranges between 0.2% and 1% [21]. In Albanian population, it was reported to be 0.14% [22]. It is common in Africans and blacks [11] and can be as high as 10% [23]. In studies conducted in Gana [11] and Nigeria [24], the prevalence of weak D phenotype among D negative were 6.45% and 7.5% respectively. However, current study showed no significant difference between Oman and other populations on weak D frequency except Africans ($P = .05$) [Table 4]. Both cases in current study lack C phenotype, therefore effect of C trans as a cause is ruled out.

The most common haplotype observed in current study was r followed by r' and r″. The most frequent r haplotype reflected in the fact that rr is the most common phenotype. Rh haplotypes frequency order observed in current study was similar in other D negative populations. In our study r haplotype frequency was 0.887 which is almost proportionate with frequency in UAE, Morocco and Iran, whereas it showed significant difference with frequency in Saudi Arabia and India ($P = .05$) [Table 5].



Fig. 3. Rh major antigens frequencies in different D negative ethnic populations. The most common antigens in all the populations are c and e, less common antigen is E. Indian, UAE, and Omani populations pose highest percentage of C antigen compared to other populations ($P < .001$).

Table 4. D weak positivity among D negative individuals in Oman and other populations

Population	Current study	Pakistan [12]	India [20]	Albania [22]	Gana* [11]
Weak D status					
Positive	2	44	1	58	2
Negative	203	4410	738	4214	29
Total	205	4454	739	4272	31

*$P = .05$ **$P < .01$ ***$P < .001$

Table 5. Rh Haplotype frequencies in different RhD- ethnic populations

Haplotype	Current Study	Saudi Arabia* [15]	India* [16]	UAE [17]	Morocco [18]	Iran [8]
r	0.887	0.944	0.809	0.88	0.916	0.918
r'	0.1	0.046	0.178	0.071	0.08	0.072
r''	0.013	0.006	0.004	0.049	0.004	0.01
r_y	0	0	0.011	0	0	0

*$P = 0.05$ **$P < .01$ ***$P < .001$

4. CONCLUSION

We studied major Rh antigens, haplotypes and probable genotypes frequency among D negative Omani blood donors and compared that with other populations. A significant difference was observed in some populations in Asia. In future, we would further explore the present study to include molecular investigations that possibly could rule out few donors from D negative pool.

.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Ethical approval obtained from ministry of Health Oman under approval number (MOH/DGPS/CSR/PROPOSAL_APPROVED 25 / 2016). All donors who participated in this study signed a written consent.

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Participant's written consent is obtained for publication.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

[1] Daniels G. Human blood groups : Geoff Daniels ; foreword to first edition by Ruth Sanger. 3rd ed. Chichester, West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons; 2013.

[2] Harmening D M. The Rh blood group system in: Modern Blood bank & Transfusion Practicies. 6th ed: F.A Davis Company 2012.

[3] Gundrajukuppam DK, Vijaya SB, Rajendran A, Sarella JD. Prevalence of Principal Rh Blood Group Antigens in Blood Donors at the Blood Bank of a Tertiary Care Hospital in Southern India. J Clin Diagn Res. 2016;10:EC07-10.

[4] Rajab A, Al Rashdi I, Al Salmi Q. Genetic services and testing in the Sultanate of Oman. Sultanate of Oman steps into modern genetics. J Community Genet. 2013;4:391-7.

[5] Harmening D. Modern blood banking & transfusion practices. Philadelphia: F.A. Davis; 2012.

[6] Flegel WA. The genetics of the Rhesus blood group system. Blood Transfus. 2007;5:50-7.

[7] Bogui. LS, Dembele. B, Sekongo. Y, Abisse. S, S. Konaté, Sombo M. Phenotypic Profile of Rh and Kell Blood Group Systems among Blood Donors in Cote d'Ivoire, West Africa. Journal of Blood Transfusion. 2014:1-4.

[8] Keramati MR, Shakibaei H, Kheiyyami MI, Ayatollahi H, Badiei Z, Samavati M, et al. Blood group antigens frequencies in the northeast of Iran. Transfus Apher Sci. 2011;45:133-6.

[9] Al-Dughaishi T, Al Harrasi Y, Al-Duhli M, Al-Rubkhi I, Al-Riyami N, Al Riyami A, et al. Red Cell Alloimmunization to Rhesus Antigen Among Pregnant Women Attending a Tertiary Care Hospital in Oman. Oman Med J. 2016;31:77-80.

[10] Danubio ME, Anelli A. ABO, Rh(D) and Haptoglobin Distribution in a Sample from the Sultanate of Oman. International journal of anthropology. 1987;2:77-81.
[11] Opoku-Okrah C, Amidu N, Amoah-Sakyi S. Detection of Weak D (Du) Phenotype among Rh-D Negative Males and Females in Kumasi, Ghana. Journal of Science and Technology (Ghana). 2008;28:34-40.
[12] Tariq. M, Rahmani. H, Ahmad. M, Saeed. M, Hussain. S, Hanif. A, et al. Determination of Weak "D" Antigen among Rhesus Negative Pakistani Blood Donors. Ann Pak Inst Med Sci. 2016;12:131-5.
[13] Sassi A, Ouchari M, Houissa B, Romdhane H, Abdelkefi S, Chakroun T, et al. RHD genotyping and its implication in transfusion practice. Transfus Apher Sci. 2014;51:59-63.
[14] Moussa H, Tsochandaridis M, Chakroun T, Jridi S, Abdelneji B, Hmida S, et al. Molecular background of D-negative phenotype in the Tunisian population. Transfus Med. 2012;22:192-8.
[15] Al-Shiakh. IH, Zaidi. ZA, Islam. S, Qaduri. MD, Jama AA. Frequency of various rh antigens in Dammam Eastern provience, Saudi Arabia Saudi M J. 1997;19:265-8.
[16] Makroo R, Gupta R, Bhatia A, Rosamma NL. Rh phenotype, allele and haplotype frequencies among 51,857 blood donors in North India. Blood Transfus. 2014;12:36-9.
[17] Taha JY. Rh Antigen and Phenotype Frequency in Kalba Region, UAE Bahrain Medical Bulletin. 2012;34:1-5.
[18] Zahid. H, Yahyaoui. A, Uwingabiye. J, Khazraji. AE, Labrini. F, RachidHadef., et al. Phenotype frequencies of Rh and Kell blood group systems in blood transfusion department of avicenna military hospital, Marrakech, Morocco. International Journal of Medicine & Health Research. 2016;2:1-8.
[19] Makroo RN, Raina V, Chowdhry M, Bhatia A, Gupta R, Rosamma NL. Weak D prevalence among Indian blood donors. Asian J Transfus Sci. 2010;4:137-9.
[20] Acharya. S, Kumar R, Acharya R, Kudesia S, Sanjeev Kishore. Weak D antigen - Revisited. Indian Medical Gazette. 2011:342-45.
[21] Urbaniak SJ, Robertson AE. A successful program of immunizing Rh-negative male volunteers for anti-D production using frozen/thawed blood. Transfusion. 1981;21:64-9.
[22] Xhetani M, Seferi I, Ferec C, Zoraqi G, Fichou Y. Distribution of Rhesus blood group antigens and weak D alleles in the population of Albania. Blood Transfus. 2014;12:565-9.
[23] Cheesbrough M. District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries (Part 2). United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2000.
[24] Nwauche CA, Ejele OA, Okpani AO. Prevalence of D(u) phenotype amongst rhesus negative females in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Afr J Reprod Health. 2003;7:27-31.