Original Research Article

Effect of Different organic manures on growth parameter, yield, yield component and economics of Kharif Maize (*Zea mays* L.) on Eastern Plain Zone of Uttar Pradesh of Prayagraj Region

Abstract

The present field experiment was conducted during the Kharif season of 2019-20 at the crop research farm of Department of Soil Science & Agriculture Chemistry, SHUATS, Prayagraj, (UP). To evaluate the effect of different organic manures on growth parameter, yield, yield component and economics of Kharif Maize (*Zea mays* L.). The experiment consisted of 9 treatments in randomized block design with three replications. Organic manures viz. FYM, Vermicompost (VC) and Bokashi Manure (BK) used at three levels @ 5, 10, 15 tonnes ha^{-1}. On the basis of the results emanated from present investigation, it could be concluded that application of vermicompost @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1} applied in maize to significantly increases growth parameter i.e. plant height (cm), dry matter accumulation (g), cob length (cm) and cob girth (cm) and yield component i.e. number of filled cob plant^{-1}, number of grains cob^{-1}, number of grain row cob^{-1}, average cob weight (g) and test weight (g). Results also showed that application of vermicompost @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1} significantly enhanced productivity parameter i.e. Grain yield (q ha^{-1}), green fodder yield (tonnes ha^{-1}), biological yield (tonnes ha^{-1}) and harvest index (%) followed by Bokashi Manures @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}. Higher values of economics *viz*., gross return, net return and B:C ratio in maize were observed with the application of vermicompost @15 tonnes ha^{-1} except cost of cultivation.

Key Words: Bokashi Manure, Cob, Economics, Vermicompost

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a versatile crop that has risen to become the world's third most important cereal crop, behind rice and wheat. Corn is farmed over more than 175 million hectares (mha) in 166 countries, with a total yield of roughly 1068.30 million tonnes. The United States, China, Brazil, India, Mexico, and Argentina are the top six corn-producing countries. India produces around 2.48 percent of the world's grain (26.50 million tonnes) **(Maize Outlook, 2018-19).**

The composition of maize is carbohydrate (71.88 %), protein (8.84 %), fat (4.57 %), fiber (2.15 %) and ash (2.33 %). It also contains vitamin C, vitamin E, vitamin K, vitamin B_1 (thiamine), vitamin B_2 (niacin), vitamin B_3 (riboflavin), vitamin B_5 (pantothenic acid), vitamin B_6 (pyridoxine), folic acid, selenium, N-p-coumaryl tryptamine, and N-ferrulyl tryptamine. Potassium is a major nutrient present which has a good significance because an average human diet is deficient in it (Kumar & Jhariya, 2013). Maize germ contains about 45–50% of oil that is used in cooking salads (Orthoefer, Eastman, & List, 2003). The oil contains 14% saturated fatty acids, 30%monounsaturated fatty acids, and 56% polyunsaturated fatty acids. The refined maize oil contains linoleic acid 54–60%, oleic acid 25–31%, palmitic acid 11–13%, stearic acid 2–3% and linolenic acid 1% **(CRA, 2006).**

Organic fertilizer emerged as a feasible option to concerns related to increasing food contamination. Organic matter in general helps to regulate the biological, chemical and physical properties of the soil by acting as a "revolving nutrient fund"; and as an agent to improve soil structure, maintain tilth and minimize erosion. The accumulated organic manure is a storehouse of plant nutrients. The stable organic fraction (humus) adsorbs and holds nutrients in a plant available form. Organic matter releases nutrients in available form to plants upon decomposition **(Alexandra and Jose, 2005).**

Many organic materials contain other components which can contribute significantly to increase crop yields, including organic matter, secondary and micronutrients and sometimes lime. In some cases, the organic matter fraction of a particular material may be of greater value than its total nutrient content because of the beneficial effect of organic matter on soil physical properties and soil productivity. Various workers have tried different types of organic manure to find out their effectiveness, efficiency and cost benefit impacts as compared to the inorganic fertilizers. Among the organic manures which have been tried and used are Farmyard manure, Vermicompost and Bokashi manure. Farmyard manure is the oldest organic manure used by man ever since he involved in farming. It consists of litter, waste products of crops mixed with animal dung and urine. Therefore, it contains all the nutrient elements present in the plant itself which are returned to the soil when it is applied to the field for the benefit of succeeding crop. The preparation of farmyard manure offers one of the best manure for utilizing farm and other agricultural wastes and simultaneous enrichment of humus. Bokashi is a natural soil amendment that can be prepared using farm-based, locally derived materials such as rice/maize bran. It focuses on the preparation of organic soil and plant amendments using microbiological processes **(Mohan, 2008).** Practical advantages associated with the use of bokashi manure include the shortened preparation time (only 2- 4 weeks) relative to traditional compost (6 months) besides its low cost compared to commercial fertilizers because it is manufactured from low-cost, locally available materials **(Shintani, 2000).**

Vermicomposting is a green technology that converts organic wastes into plant-available nutrient rich organic fertilizer. It has also been found to reduce heavy metal concentration in contaminated feeding materials. Vermicompost (VC), when used as fertilizer, not only bears a positive impact on soil quality, plantgrowth and yield but also enhances nutritional value of crops produced.**(Saha *et al.*, 2007).**

Material and Method

Experimental Soil

The experimental field is sandy loam in texture, neutral in reaction (pH 6.76), medium in organic carbon (0.55%), available N (282.92 kg ha^{-1}), medium in available P (18.67 kg ha^{-1}), and high in available K (132.15 kg ha^{-1}).

Study design

The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design (RBD) assigning treatment combinations *viz.* T_1 [FYM @ 5 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_2 [FYM @ 10 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_3 [FYM @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_4 [VC @ 5 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_5 [VC @ 10 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_6 [VC @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_7 [BK @ 5 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_8 [BK @ 10 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_9 [BK @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}], with three replications. Each treatment was randomly allocated within them. The row-to-row and seed to seed distance were 60 and 20 cm, respectively.

Manure Application

Three organic manures bokashi, farmyard and vermicompost were applied in the soil 3 weeks before sowing each at the rate of 5 t ha^{-1}, 10 t ha^{-1} and 15 t ha^{-1}. They were thoroughly mixed in soil during the application.

Statistical Analysis

The data recorded during the course of investigation was subjected to statistical analysis by "Analysis of variance technique". The significant and non-significant treatment effects were judged with the help of 'F' (variance ratio) table. The significant differences between the means were tested against the critical difference at 5% probability level. **Chandel (1998).**

Result and Discussion

Growth Characters

The data revealed that maximum plant height at 30, 60 and 90 DAS, dry matter accumulation (g plant^{-1}), cob length (cm) and cob girth (cm)was found with the application of T_6 [VC @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}] followed by T_5 [VC @ 10 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_9 [BK @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}] and T_3 [FYM @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}],. The results of present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of **Khan *et al*., (2014), Asghar *et al*., (2010) and Olusegun (2014)**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)			Dry Matter Accumulation (g plant^{-1})	Cob Length (cm)	Cob girth (cm)
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS			
T_1	62.49	127.47	179.90	153.00	17.35	14.18
T_2	66.58	132.24	181.80	159.10	17.45	15.40
T_3	68.53	135.79	186.03	168.19	17.50	15.59
T_4	63.28	129.54	206.53	175.22	19.13	16.94
T_5	69.54	132.34	210.85	173.22	20.44	16.67
T_6	70.31	133.85	216.63	177.56	20.82	17.39
T_7	60.18	126.64	197.68	160.76	17.52	16.35
T_8	62.18	129.61	199.19	157.53	18.27	16.42
T_9	64.81	131.91	199.20	160.76	18.57	15.93
F-Test	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**
C.D.(P=0.05)	**0.848**	**1.73**	**2.788**	**4.535**	**0.342**	**0.850**
S.Ed (±)	**0.400**	**0.816**	**1.315**	**2.139**	**0.161**	**0.401**

Table 1. Effect of different organic sources of nutrients on growth parameters of Maize.

Yield Components

The data revealed that maximum number of filled cob plant^{-1}, number of grains cob^{-1}, number of grain row cob^{-1}, average cob weight (g) and test weight (g) was found with the application of T_6 [VC @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}] followed by T_5 [VC @ 10 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_9 [BK @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}] and T_3 [FYM @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}], The results of present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of **Ali (2010), Mahesh (2010) and Ponmozhi *et al*., (2019)**

Table 2. Effect of different organic sources of nutrients on yield components of Maize.

Treatments	No. of Cob Plant^{-1}	No. of grain cob^{-1}	No. of grains row cob	Average cob weight (g)	Test weight (1000 grain)

T_1	1.15	377.15	31.22	192.22	209.79
T_2	1.41	383.85	30.49	196.42	214.56
T_3	2.18	385.77	34.58	201.78	214.22
T_4	1.70	403.27	32.68	237.56	216.59
T_5	2.00	408.25	34.93	228.66	214.17
T_6	2.33	409.38	36.99	261.55	220.53
T_7	2.11	422.88	34.89	208.57	216.32
T_8	1.41	412.22	35.73	207.58	215.64
T_9	2.22	418.86	36.52	255.80	216.27
F-Test	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**
C.D.(P=0.05)	**0.873**	**13.05**	**3.688**	**3.041**	**5.764**
S.Ed ($\pm$)	**0.415**	**6.156**	**1.740**	**1.435**	**2.719**

Productivity Parameters

The data revealed that maximum productivity parameter i.e. Grain yield (q ha^{-1}), green fodder yield (tonnes ha^{-1}), biological yield (tonnes ha^{-1}) and harvest index (%) was found with the application of T_6 [VC @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}] followed by T_5 [VC @ 10 tonnes ha^{-1}], T_9 [BK @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}] and T_3 [FYM @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}], The results of present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of **Saleem *et al.*, (2017) and Kandil *et al.*, (2019)**

Table 3. Effect of different organic sources of nutrients on productivity parameters of Maize.

Treatments	**Grain yield (kg ha^{-1})**	**Stover yield (kg ha^{-1})**	**Biological yield (kg ha^{-1})**	**Harvest index (%)**
T_1	2773.67	8181.00	10954.67	25.32
T_2	2989.67	8513.33	11503	25.99
T_3	2948.33	8485.33	11433.66	25.79
T_4	3256.00	9119.33	12375.33	26.31
T_5	3272.33	9202.00	12474.33	26.23
T_6	3544.33	9810.67	13355.00	26.54
T_7	2973.33	8717.67	11691	25.43
T_8	3241.00	9088.33	12329.33	26.29
T_9	3241.67	9178.67	12420.34	26.10
F-Test	**S**	**S**	**S**	**S**
C.D.(P=0.05)	**56.39**	**151.639**	**148.287**	**0.552**
S.Ed (±)	**26.60**	**71.53**	**69.950**	**0.260**

Economics

Maximum gross return (Rs. 167915.9 ha^{-1}), net return (Rs. 333875.9 ha^{-1}) was observed in treatment T_6 [VC @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}] and the minimum gross return (Rs. 134929.8 ha^{-1}), net return (Rs. 205889.8 ha^{-1}) was observed in treatment T_1 [FYM @ 5 tonnes ha^{-1}]. Maximum benefit cost ratio (1:2.03 ratio) was observed in treatment T_7 [BK @ 5 tonnes ha^{-1}] and the minimum benefit cost ratio (1:1.01 ratio) was observed in treatment T_6 [VC @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1}].

Table 4. Effect of different organic sources of nutrients on economics of Maize.

Treatments No.	**Grossreturn**	**Cost of cultivation**	**Net return**	**Cost benefit ratio**
T_1	134929.8	70960	205889.8	1.90
T_2	143304.1	95960	239264.1	1.49
T_3	141950.6	120960	262910.6	1.17
T_4	155003.3	85960	240963.3	1.80
T_5	156039.2	125960	281999.2	1.24
T_6	167915.9	165960	333875.9	1.01
T_7	144276.9	70960	215236.9	2.03
T_8	154366.3	95960	250326.3	1.61
T_9	155017.5	120960	275977.5	1.28

Conclusion

On the basis of above finding it is concluded that application of Vermicompost Manures (VC) @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1} gave the maximum growth, yield component and productivity parameter after crop harvest was found to be the best result of maize. So farmers should be suggested for better production and net profit in maize cultivation under organic farming by applying vermicompost @ 15 tonnes ha^{-1} so that soil health can also be sustained.

References

Alexandra, B. and José, B. (2005)the importance of soil organic matter, key to drought-resistant soil and sustained food and production. Food and Agriculture Organization, soils Bulletin-80.

Ali, M. S., Paul, N. K. and Quayyum M. A. (2010) Production potential of different varieties of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) with groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) under intercropping system. *Bangladesh J. Agri. Res.*, 35(1): 51-64.

Asghar, A., Ali, A., Syed, W. H., Asif, M., Khaliq, T. and Abid, A. A. (2010) Growth and yield of maize (Zea mays L.) cultivars affected by organic manures application in different proportion. Pakistan Journal of Science 62, 4-6.

Chandel SRS (1998) Advance agriculture statics, 2nd Edition, Kalyani Publication, New Delhi.

CRA. (2006) Corn oil (5th ed.). Washington, DC: Corn Refiners Association.

Kandil, E. E., Nader, R. A., Mansour, A. M., Hayssam, M. A. & Manzer, H. S. (2019) Potentials of organic manure and potassium forms on maize (*Zea mays* L.) growth and production. Scientific Reports, 10:8752.

Khan, F., Khan, S., Fahad, S., Faisal, S., Hussain, S., Ali, S. and Ali, A. (2014) Effect of different levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on the Phenology and yield of maize. *American Journal of Plant Sciences* 5, 2582-2590.

Mahesh, M.P. and Desai, B.K. (2010) Effect of micronutrients with or without organic manures on yield of baby corn-chickpea sequence. *Kar. J. Agric. Sci.*, 21, 485-487.

Mohan, B. (2008) Evaluation of Organic Growth Promoters on Yield of Dryland Vegetable Crops in India. *Journal of Organic Systems*, 3:23-36.

Olusegun, S. O. (2014) Nitrogen and Phosphorus fertilizer application on maize (*Zea mays* L.) growth and yield at Ado-Ekiti, South-West, Nigeria. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 6(1): 22-29.

Orthoefer, F., Eastman, J., & List, G. (2003) Corn oil: composition, processing and utilization. In P. J. White, L. A. Johnson (Eds.), Corn: Chemistry and technology (2nd ed., pp. 671–693). St. Paul, MN: *American Association of Cereal Chemists*.

Ponmozhi, C.I., Kumar, R., Baba, Y.A. and Rao, G.M. (2019) Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(11): 2675-2681.

Saha, S., Gopinath, K., Appireddy, S. K. and Gupta, H. S. (2007) Comparative efficiency of three organic manures at varying rates of its application to baby corn. *Archives of Agronomy and soil science Journals*, 55: 507-517.

Saleem, A., Parveen, S., Muhammad, khan, M. J., Mussarat, M., Muhammad, N., Kaleem, I. and Wahid, A. (2017) Integrating Effects of Applied Zn with Organic Amendments for Enhanced Maize and Wheat Yields at Two Diverse Calcareous Soils. Turkish journal of natural sciences, 4(2): 179–188.

Kumar, D., & Jhariya, N. A. (2013). Nutritional, medicinal and economical-importance of corn: A mini review. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2, 7–8.

Shintani, M. (2000) Waste Management of Banana production, Bokashi: fermented organic compost. *Revista Agrometeorology* pp 20-65.