

# Early Growth Dynamics of Selected Accessions of *Garcinia indica* in Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka

## Abstract

A set of 60 accessions of *Garcinia indica* Choisy, comprised of two to five years old, established as part of *ex situ* field gene bank at the College of Horticulture, Bengaluru were evaluated for growth and development related characters over two consecutive years, 2019-20 and 2020-21. A set of 11 growth traits significantly varied in their relative per cent increase over successive years. Among all the growth parameters, tree volume recorded maximum per cent increase of 186.56 per cent. The extent of variation as measured by range for different traits varied- plant height: 176.24cm to 350.44cm; number of branches: 22 to 42.24; length of branch 6.00 cm to 168.00cm; number of leaves: 59.00 to 80.00; stem girth: 11.28 cm to 35.17cm; canopy spread (East-West): 116.15cm to 267.33cm; canopy spread (North-South): 135.00 cm to 276.00 cm; and tree volume: 1.68m<sup>3</sup> to 13.91m<sup>3</sup>. Plant height was 150cm in 2019 and 220cm in 2020 in GI\_SIR7; 210cm -290cm in GI\_DAN2, 320cm-380cm in GI\_YAN4 and 330-410 in GI\_KAN3, respectively in two, three, four and five-year-old age groups. The traits such as number of branches, length of branch, number of leaves, stem girth, canopy spread East West and tree volume increased gradually over two years of study. The results have significance in *per se* establishment of the species in non-traditional areas such as this agro-climatic zone.

Keywords: *Garcinia indica*, Growth characters, Plant age, Growth dynamics

## Introduction

Genus *Garcinia*, a largest member of the family Clusiaceae (Guttiferae), which comprises more than 250 species, widely distributed across the tropical world. Over 35 species of this family are found in India, of which six are endemic to the evergreen forests of *Western Ghats* (Peter and Abraham, 2006). The *Garcinia* species found in forests of India are *G.indica*, *G. cambogia*, *G. xanthochymus*, *G. dulcis*, *G. cowa*, *G. morella*, *G. spicata*, *G. lancifolia*, *G. pedunculata* and *G. kydia* (Parthasarathy *et al.*, 2013). In India, *Garcinia* species propagate widely in Maharashtra, Goa, coastal areas of Karnataka and Kerala, Assam, West Bengal and Gujarat in a semi-wide state. *Garcinia* species are evergreen trees and shrubs which thrive well

in high rainfall areas of the tropics. The trees are dioecious and hence are cross-pollinated. The fruits of *Garcinia* species show the anti-obesity property because of presence of the compound called (-)-Hydroxycitric acid (HCA), which made these species popular in the international market. The *kokum* fruit has been used for culinary and medicinal purposes since the age-old days. The rind of the *kokum* fruit is used to make fresh juice which is a natural coolant (Nayak *et al.*, 2010).

The most popular species of the genus *Garcinia* is *G. mangostana*, which is commonly known as mangosteen and has been named as ‘queen of tropical fruits’ for its unique pleasant taste and visual appearance of a crown-like structure (Chinavat and Subadrabuddhe, 2004). The *kokum* has two fruit colour-morphs, regular red and rather rare yellow / white, different types - red and yellow, particularly spread over *Western Ghat* central region in Uttara Kannada district. The center of diversity of *Garcinia* species is the Malaysian region, with some species reaching India and the Micronesian islands and also extending to tropical Africa and the Neotropics (Rogers and Sweeney 2007; Stevens, 2007). Early stage growth dynamics of the species is hardly understood. We report the early growth dynamics of selected accessions of *Garcinia indica* in eastern dry zone of Karnataka, a region less known for this species cultivation.

## Material and Methods

A set of 60 accessions of *Garcia indica* were selected for early growth dynamics from the *ex situ* field gene bank established at the College of Horticulture, UHS Campus, GKVK Post, Bengaluru – 560065 and used in the present study. The *ex situ* field gene bank of *Garcinia indica* was established as a part of the project entitled ‘Centre for Biotechnology Research’ in the Department of Biotechnology and Crop Improvement, funded by Karnataka Biotechnology and Information Technology Services (KBITS), Department of Information Technology and Biotechnology and Science and Technology (IT, BT and S&T), Government of Karnataka. In order to understand the early growth dynamics, plants of different age groups were selected as treatments and observations were recorded for 11 traits consecutively for two years.

Observation on growth parameters, such as plant height, number of branches, length of branch, number of leaves from fourth apex of top, leaf length, leaf width, leaf length to width ratio, stem girth, canopy spread and tree volume were recorded over two years. Two to five year old plants of 120 accessions each of *G. indica* ecotypes located at the *ex situ* field gene bank, College of Horticulture, Bengaluru were used *Garcinia indica*, 60 trees which were in the age of two to five year were randomly selected for the experiment with four different age group viz two year, three year, four year and five year old plant with five replications. An exclusive descriptor for *Garcinia indica* is not available, hence descriptor of mangosteen (*Garcinia mangostana*) that belong to the same genus was used for the study which was developed by IPGRI (2003).

## Results and Discussion

Relative changes in growth parameters of *G. indica* accessions varied. During 2019-20, all the four age group plants differed significant from one another, wherein, GI-5YP showed maximum plant height (304.00 cm) followed by GI-4YP (289.00 cm), GI-3YP (188.00 cm) and GI-2YP (124.06 cm). During 2020-21, only numerical difference was recorded between GI-2YP (193.00cm) and GI-3YP (346 cm) as well as between GI-4YP (368.00 cm) and GI-5YP (385.00 cm), while both sets significantly differed from each other. Further, GI-2YP showed a 55.29 percent increase in plant height over the course of two years of research followed by GI-3YP (44.68 %), GI-4YP (19.97%) and GI-5YP (18.54%) (Table 1). These results are in accordance with Sahu *et al.* (2015). The maximum plant height may be attributed to the fact that better nourishment has benefited the expression of genetic potential through accelerated photosynthesis, assimilation, cell division at different ages (Abraham *et al.*, 2006).

Number of branches per plant differed significantly during the research period; GI-4YP and GI-5YP numerically differed: 36.00 & 38.06, 46.46 & 49.26, 48.46 & 52.00, respectively during 2019-20, 2020-21 and 2021-22. Wherein, these two accessions significantly differed with GI-2YP and GI-3YP during all three years of study. Furthermore, in GI-5YP, the largest percent increase in the number of branches per plant was observed (29.42 per cent) followed by GI-4YP (29.09 %), GI-3YP (25.00 %) and GI-2YP (Table1). Average length of branch differed numerically in GI-4YP and GI-5YP: 143.00 cm & 135.06 cm, 167.33 cm & 167.00 cm, respectively during 2019-20, 2020-21. Accessions GI-2YP and GI-3YP significantly differed during two years of study. Further, maximum per cent increase in length of branches per plant

from 2019-20 till 2020-21 was recorded in GI-2YP (44.68 %) followed by GI-3YP (27.89 %), GI-4YP (23.39 %) and GI-5YP (17.23 %) (Table 1). The observed changes in the growth parameters may be due to uptake of nutrients which in turn increases shoot growth release of growth factors like auxins, gibberellin and cytokinin in the root and shoot tissues leading to growth (Shukla *et al.*, 2009 and Singh *et al.*, 2010).

The trait number of leaves per plant differed significantly over three years of study. During 2019-20, all the four age groups differed significantly from one another. The oldest plants in the study, GI-5YP, recorded maximum number of leaves (109.06) followed by GI-4YP (65.33), GI-3YP (41.33) and GI-2YP (26.53). During 2020-21, significant difference was recorded between different age groups with maximum number of leaves was recorded in GI-5YP (118.46) followed by GI-4YP (77.13), GI-3YP (54.46) and GI-2YP (42.00), while both sets significantly differed from each other. Further, with respect to per cent increase over two year of study indicated that GI-2YP recorded 58.31 per cent increase in number of leaves followed by GI-3YP (31.76 %), GI-2YP (18.06 %) and GI-5YP (8.61 %) (Table 2). *G. indica* accessions of different age groups did not differ significantly for leaf length during two years of study. GI-2YP and GI-3YP during 2019-20 were numerically differed (6.00 cm and 4.80 cm). During 2019-20, all the four age groups did not differ from one another (6.00cm) except GI-2YP (4.80cm). During 2020-21, GI-2YP and GI-3YP differed numerically 6.46 cm and 6.19 cm, respectively (Table 2).

The trait leaf width did not differ over three years of study. During all three year of the study the leaf width was 3.00 cm. Further, with respect to per cent increase over two year of study indicated that GI-5YP recorded 9.89 per cent increase in leaf width which GI-2YP, GI-3YP and GI4YP recorded zero per cent increase (Table 2). During all the three years of study, plants of different age did not differ for the trait leaf length to width ratio. GI-5YP differed from GI-4YP, GI-3YP and GI-2YP. During 2019-20, the leaf length to width ratio was similar (2.00 cm) during 2020-21, maximum leaf length to width ratio was recorded in GI-2YP (2.14) followed by GI-4YP, GI-5YP (2.08) and GI-3YP (2.06). Furthermore, in terms of percent increase throughout the course of two years of research, indicated that GI-2YP recorded 27.38 per cent increase in leaf length to width ratio followed by GI-4YP (11.22), GI-5YP (4.00) and GI-3YP (3.00) (Table 3). Nitrogen is a constituent of amino acid, nucleotides, nucleic acids,

several co-enzymes, auxins, cytokinins and alkaloids, which induce cell elongation, cell enlargement and cell division. Boughalleb *et al.* (2011) reported the maximum number of leaves in nursery plants of lemon and orange by the application of fertilizer and adaptability of the plants. Baviskar *et al.* (2018) revealed that applied fertilizers and congenial environment increase the number of leaves in guava. This trait differed significantly across different age group accessions across three years of study.

During 2019-20, all the four age groups differed significant from one another wherein, GI-5YP recorded maximum stem girth (30.20 cm) followed by GI-4YP (21.33 cm), GI-3YP (15.00 cm) and GI-2YP (8.00 cm). During 2020-21, maximum stem girth was recorded in GI-5YP (37.39 cm) followed by GI-4YP (28.26 cm), GI-3YP (18.00 cm) and GI- 2YP (12.33 cm). Further, with respect to per cent increase over two year of study indicated that GI-2YP recorded 54.12 per cent increase in stem girth followed by GI-4YP (32.48 %), GI-3YP (20.75 %) and GI-5YP (4.09 %) (Table 3) The improved stem girth may be due to the increase in shoot length and number of leaves which might have resulted in the production of more quantities of carbohydrates and subsequently their translocation toward the stem (Goenaga and Rivera, 2005; Baksh *et al.*, 2008).

*G. indica* accessions of different age groups differed significantly for canopy spread. GI-3YP and GI-4YP numerically differed (227.33 cm and 141.33 cm, respectively). During 2021-22, GI-5YP differed significantly from GI-4YP, whereas, GI-2YP and GI-3YP differed numerically from one another. Further, with respect to per cent increase over two year of study indicated that GI-2YP recorded 28.08 per cent increase in canopy spread (East-West) followed by GI-4YP (16.46 %), GI-3YP (15.53 %) and GI-5YP (10.35 %) (Table 3). Different age groups differed significantly for canopy spread (North-South) during two years of research. GI-2YP and GI-3YP were differed numerically *i.e.*, 160.00 cm & 147.00 cm during 2019-20. During 2019-20, all the four age groups differed significantly from one another, wherein, GI-5YP recorded maximum canopy spread (233.33 cm) followed by GI-4YP (208.26 cm), GI-3YP (127.00 cm) and GI-2YP (99.46 cm).

During 2020-21, numerical differences was recorded between GI-2YP (147.00 cm) and GI-3YP (160.00 cm), while both the sets differed significantly from each other, Further, with

respect to per cent increase over two year of study indicated that GI-2YP recorded 47.45 per cent increase in canopy spread (North-South) followed by GI-3YP (26.31 %), GI-5YP (24.57%) and GI-4YP (16.77%) (Table 4). During 2019-20, all the four age groups differed significantly. GI-5YP recorded maximum tree volume ( $9.20 \text{ m}^3$ ) followed by GI-4YP ( $6.56 \text{ m}^3$ ), GI-3YP ( $1.56 \text{ m}^3$ ) and GI-2YP ( $0.67 \text{ m}^3$ ). Similarly, during 2020-21, all four ages group differed significant from one another: GI-5YP recorded maximum tree volume ( $14.69 \text{ m}^3$ ) followed by GI-4YP ( $9.11 \text{ m}^3$ ), GI-3YP ( $3.32 \text{ m}^3$ ) and GI-2YP ( $1.92 \text{ m}^3$ ). Further, with respect to per cent increase over two year of study indicated that GI-2YP recorded 186.56 per cent increase in plant height followed by GI-3YP (112.82 %), GI-5YP (59.67 %) and GI-4YP (38.87 %) (Table 4). These findings have practical applications in terms crop improvement programmes of *Garcinia* species and spread of this crop to new non-traditional regions.

## Conclusion

The age groups *Garcinia indica* differed significantly for relative growth of morphological characters such as plant height, number of branch, length of branch, stem girth, canopy spread and tree volume.. Out of four different age group maximum per cent increase in plant height, length of branch, number of leaves, leaf length, length width ratio, stem girth and canopy spread in GI-2YP, maximum number of branches in GI-3YP, maximum leaf width in GI-5YP and maximum tree volume in GI-4YP. Overall, *G. indica* grows faster in two year old plants than older age groups.

Treatment	Plant height (cm)			Number of branches			Length of branch (cm)		
	2019	2020	Per cent Increase	2019	2020	Per cent Increase	2019	2020	Per cent Increase
GI-2YP	124.06 <sup>d</sup>	193.00 <sup>c</sup>	55.29	19.00 <sup>b</sup>	22.00 <sup>c</sup>	15.10	69.80 <sup>b</sup>	101.00 <sup>b</sup>	44.68
GI-3YP	188.00 <sup>c</sup>	272.00 <sup>b</sup>	44.68	20.00 <sup>b</sup>	25.00 <sup>b</sup>	25.00	86.00 <sup>b</sup>	110.00 <sup>b</sup>	27.89
GI-4YP	289.00 <sup>b</sup>	346.33 <sup>a</sup>	19.97	36.00 <sup>a</sup>	46.46 <sup>a</sup>	29.09	135.06 <sup>a</sup>	167.00 <sup>a</sup>	23.39
GI-5YP	304.00 <sup>a</sup>	358.00 <sup>a</sup>	18.54	38.06 <sup>a</sup>	49.26 <sup>a</sup>	29.42	143.00 <sup>a</sup>	167.33 <sup>a</sup>	17.23
<b>Mean</b>	<b>225.68</b>	<b>292.246</b>	<b>29.49</b>	<b>28.23</b>	<b>38.09</b>	<b>34.92</b>	<b>108.39</b>	<b>136.24</b>	<b>25.69</b>
S.Em.±	6.73	5.70		1.65	1.73		6.63	8.84	
C.V.	6.67	4.36		13.09	10.19		13.68	14.52	
C.D. (5%)	20.75	17.58		5.09	5.35		20.43	27.26	

**Table 1: Relative per cent change in growth parameters in *G. indica* Choisy accessions during 2019-20 and 2020-21**

Treatment	Number of leaves			Leaf length (cm)			Leaf width (cm)		
	2019	2020	Per cent Increase	2019	2020	Per cent Increase	2019	2020	Per cent Increase
GI-2YP	26.53 <sup>d</sup>	42.00 <sup>d</sup>	57.02	4.80 <sup>b</sup>	6.46 <sup>a</sup>	34.58	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00
GI-3YP	65.33 <sup>c</sup>	77.13 <sup>c</sup>	18.06	6.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.19 <sup>a</sup>	3.16	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00
GI-4YP	41.33 <sup>b</sup>	54.46 <sup>b</sup>	31.76	6.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.26 <sup>a</sup>	9.24	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00
GI-5YP	109.06 <sup>a</sup>	118.46 <sup>a</sup>	8.61	6.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.26 <sup>a</sup>	4.33	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	3.00 <sup>a</sup>	9.89
<b>Mean</b>	<b>60.56</b>	<b>72.92</b>	<b>20.40</b>	<b>5.63</b>	<b>6.29</b>	<b>11.72</b>	<b>2.88</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>4.16</b>
S.Em.±	6.08	5.56		0.22	0.12		0.08	0.00	
C.V.	22.46	17.06		8.82	4.37		6.41	0.00	
C.D. (5%)	18.75	17.15		<b>5.63</b>	<b>6.29</b>	<b>11.72</b>	-	-	

**Table 2: Relative per cent change in growth parameters in *G. indica* Choisy accessions during 2019-20 and 2020-21**



Treatment	Leaf length width ratio			Stem girth (cm)			Canopy spread EW (cm)		
	2019	2020	Per cent Increase	2019	2020	Per cent Increase	2019	2020	Per cent Increase
GI-2YP	2.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.14 <sup>a</sup>	7.00	8.00 <sup>a</sup>	12.33 <sup>d</sup>	54.12	94.46 <sup>d</sup>	121.00 <sup>c</sup>	28.08
GI-3YP	2.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	3.00	15.00 <sup>a</sup>	18.00 <sup>c</sup>	20.75	122.33 <sup>c</sup>	141.33 <sup>b</sup>	15.53
GI-4YP	2.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.08 <sup>a</sup>	11.22	21.33 <sup>a</sup>	28.26 <sup>b</sup>	32.48	195.19 <sup>b</sup>	227.33 <sup>a</sup>	16.46
GI-5YP	2.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.08 <sup>a</sup>	4.00	30.20 <sup>a</sup>	37.39 <sup>a</sup>	4.09	244.66 <sup>a</sup>	270.00 <sup>a</sup>	10.35
<b>Mean</b>	<b>1.97</b>	<b>2.09</b>	<b>6.09</b>	<b>18.58</b>	<b>23.96</b>	<b>28.95</b>	<b>164.16</b>	<b>189.91</b>	<b>15.68</b>
S.Em.±	0.06	0.03		1.09	1.88		9.18	6.86	
C.V.	7.02	3.95		13.19	17.56		12.51	8.08	
C.D. (5%)	0.19	-		3.37	5.79		28.31	21.15	

**Table 3: Relative per cent change in growth parameters in *G. indica* Choisy accessions during 2019-20 and 2020-21**

**Table 4: Relative per cent change in growth parameters in *G. indica* Choisy accessions during 2019-20 and 2020-21**

Treatment	Canopy spread NS (cm)			Tree volume		
	2019	2020	Per cent Increase	2019	2020	Per cent Increase
GI-2YP	99.46 <sup>b</sup>	147.00 <sup>c</sup>	47.45	0.67 <sup>d</sup>	1.92 <sup>d</sup>	186.56
GI-3YP	127.00 <sup>c</sup>	160.00 <sup>c</sup>	26.31	1.56 <sup>c</sup>	3.32 <sup>c</sup>	112.82
GI-4YP	208.26 <sup>b</sup>	243.19 <sup>b</sup>	16.77	6.56 <sup>b</sup>	9.11 <sup>b</sup>	38.87
GI-5YP	233.33 <sup>a</sup>	290.66 <sup>a</sup>	24.57	9.20 <sup>a</sup>	14.69 <sup>a</sup>	59.67
<b>Mean</b>	<b>166.93</b>	<b>210.12</b>	<b>25.87</b>	<b>4.50</b>	<b>7.26</b>	<b>61.33</b>
S.Em.±	5.13	9.52		0.19	0.52	
C.V.	6.87	10.13		9.56	16.28	

C.D. (5%)	15.82	29.33		0.59	1.62	
-----------	-------	-------	--	------	------	--

#### COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

## Reference

- Abraham S, Malik. K. Gangadhar E. R. S. Lakshmi N. and Biju S Collection and characterization of malabar tamarind { *Garcinia combogia* ( Gaertn) Desr}. *Ge net Resour. Crop., Eval.*, 2006, **53**(2): 401-406
- Baksh H. Yadav R. and Dwivedi R. Effect of INM on growth yield, yield attributing characters and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *Progre. Agric* 2008, **8**(2): 141-144.
- Baviskar M. N. Bharad S. G. and Nagre P. K Effect of NPK fertilizer on growth and yield of guava under high density planting. *Int. J. Chem. Studies*. 2018, **6**(3): 359-362.
- Boughalleb F. mahmoud M. and Hajlaoui H. Response of young citrus tree to NPK fertilizer under greenhouse and field condition. *Agric. J.* 2011, **6**(3): 66-73.
- Chinavat Y. and Subhadrabuddhe S. Phylogenetic relationship of mangosteen (*Garcinia mangostana* L.) and several wild relatives revealed by ITS sequence data. *J. American Soc. Hort. Sci.* 2004, **3**(129): 368 -373.
- Goenaga A. and Rivera E., Growth and nutrient uptake of mangosteen grown under shade levels. *J. Agric.*, 2005, **89** (3-4):149-158.
- Nayak C. A. Srinivas P. and Rastogi N. K. Characterization of anthocyanin from *Garcinia indica* choisy. *Food Chemistry*. 2010, **118**: 719-724.
- Parthasarathy U. Nirmal Babu K. Senthil Kumar R. Ashis G. R. Mohan S. and Parthasarathy V. Diversity of Indian *Garcinia* – a Medicinally important spice crop in India. *Acta Hort.* 2013, **979**: 467-476.
- Rogers S. Z. and Sweeney P. W. two distinctive new species of Malagasy *Garcinia* (clusiaceae). *System. Bot.* 2007, **32**:772-779.
- Sahu P. K. Sahu V. and Chandrakar O. Impact of organics and chemical fertilizers on growth yield and soil nutrient status in guava. *Trends Bio. Sci.* 2015, **8**(8):2018-2022.

Shukla A. K. Sarolia D. K. Kumari B. Kaushik R. A. Mahawer L. N. and Bairwa H. L. Evaluation of substrate dynamics for integrated nutrient management under high density planting of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. *Indian J. Hort.* 2009, **66**(4): 461-464.

Singh V. J. Sharma S. D. Kumar P. Bharadawaj S. K. and Raj H. Conjoint application of bio-organic and inorganic nutrient source for improving cropping behavior, soil properties and quality attributes of apricot (*Prunus armanica* L.) *Indian.J. Agric. Sci.* 2010, **80**: 981-987.

Stevens M. Predator perception and the interrelation between different forms of protective coloration. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 2007, **274** (1617): 1457-1464.