Original Research Article

Decadal Changes In Land Use And Land Cover of Noyyal River Basin Using Geo-Spatial Techniques

.

ABSTRACT

Aims: River Noyyal was the life line of the people of Coimbatore, Tirupur and Karur districts of Tamil Nadu and has nurtured a rich civilization. The river is mentioned in many ancient travelogues by European travelers which suggest the importance of the river. But over the years, the condition of the river, both in terms of quantity and quality has deteriorated owing to the expanding population size and its related land use changes.
Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted to investigate the decadal (2000 to 2020) land use land cover changes of the Noyyal basin in the year 2021 -2022.
Methodology: a study was undertaken to produce the land use/land cover map & to explore the change detection analysis of the Noyyal river basin for 20 years. Based on RS and GIS for monitoring the temporal variations of land use land cover, multi-temporal Landsat satellite 30m spatial resolution images of Landsat 4/5 MSS & TM 2000, 2010, & Landsat 8 (OLI) 2020 were obtained from the google earth engine. At the first stage NDVI calculation was done by using ArcGIS software and the second stage supervised classification maximum likelihood classification was done for 3 years 2000,2010 & 2020
Results: The analysis suggests that NDVI of without any vegetation (Class1), medium density (Class3), & high density (Class4) increased by 8.37%, 1.29%, 0.42% respectively. Low density (Class2) decreased by 10.1%. The urban area and agriculture land increased by 13.82% and 18.46%.The forest cover, waste land and barren land decreased by 12.24% 11.99% and 7.90% over the 2 decades and water bodies increased in the year of 2010 and then decreased.
Conclusion: The study has revealed a decline in area under forest & wasteland and an increase in area under built up activities & agriculture land.

Keywords: Change detection, Landsat, Land use land cover, NDVI, Supervised Classification.

1. INTRODUCTION

Land use & land cover change (LU&LCC) is a key focus area for the global change community because of its significant impacts on biodiversity, climate change, biogeochemical cycles, and water resources. LU&LCC is caused by various multi-scale interactions causing elements such as demography, technology, political structures, economy, biophysical situations of the land, affluence, and people's attitudes and values. These driving factors change with time and geography; for that reason, LU&LCC is also heterogeneous in terms of temporally and spatially [1]. Both terms land use & land cover is regularly used interchangeably, but each term LULC has its distinct meaning [2]. Land cover (LC) refers to the surface cover of Earth's surface and, its characteristics as represented using natural elements like water, vegetation, impervious surface, bare earth, and other physical factors of the earth recognition of land cover (LC) shows the baseline details for activities like change detection analysis and thematic mapping. Land use (LU) consult to the economic purpose, activity, intended use and/or management strategy placed on the land cover type(s) using land managers or humans. Changes in management practice or intent to constitute land use (LU) change [3]. Land use & land cover (LULC) of the earth is varying dramatically because of natural disasters and human activities [4]. Humans have changed over 83 percent of Earth land surface due to different land use (LU)[5]. Decadal Changes involve the ability to quantify temporal varies in land use and land cover (LULC) using multitemporal data sets [6, 7]. During the past 3 decades, various recognition algorithms have been suggested, and they change broadly in their sophistication & performance [8]. The option of a specific method depends mainly on the specific of the study region, and the kind of the expected land cover change (LCC), and the temporal resolution & spatial resolution of the data [9]. Land cover (LC), a major scientific concern, refers to the physical condition of the land. Land-cover changes (LCC) fall into 2 ideal types, conversion & modification. Farmers are a convert from one land cover class to another. For example, changing from grassland to cultivated land. The latter are change of state or condition within the Land Cover (LC) category, such as forest thinning and changes in its composition. Based on this, it is worth mentioning that remote sensing (RS) is an important appliance for monitoring LU&LCC regularly. This remote sensing (RS) technology can give information on both the biological and physical conditions of LU&LCC (vegetation & its dynamics), & physical conditions (terrain changes and morphological features). However, standard LU&LCC information generated from remote sensing data over decades remains a daunting task due to the large differences in the spatial resolution of satellite data [10,11]. The worldwide use of RS & GIS in land management and planning, as well as the growing demand for land use & land cover (LULC) scenarios, draws our attention to these differences and the need to bridge them[12]. Many ways have been suggested for LU&LCC detection and applied to remote sensing data. [13].LULC research depends on primarily satellite RS technology to extract multi-temporal data [14].Geospatial techniques are used to monitor the continuous changes in LULC, which are important in the management of natural resources, the monitoring & evaluation of watershed quality, and the study of water hydrological responses and water resources flow systems[15]. Vegetation indices derived from satellite data could be applied to track the temporal evolution of vegetation. The NDVI method was shown to be the most effective in detecting vegetation change. To detect LU types, supervised classification was mostly employed [16]. The major goal of this research is to find the change detection of land use and land cover of Noyyal river basin by using two methods of calculation NDVI differencing method and a supervised classification method which are widely used and successful.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The Noyyal basin is the main tributaries of the Cauvery river. Cauvery coming from the hills of Vellingiri Called Southern Kairayam, it flows southwest of the Coimbatore of Tamil Nadu State and ends at the Kaveri River in Kodumdi in the district of Karur . During the course, the Noyyal River pass through the districts of Karur, Erode, Tirppur, and Coimbatore. Noyyal river runs about 180 km along 3627 km^2 area. The bounding line of the Noyyal lies between latitude 10° 54′ 00″ to 11° 19′ 03″ North latitude & longitude 76° 39′ 30″ to 77° 55′ 25 ″ East longitude. The Noyyal River receives abundant water during the northeast rainy-season from

September to November. The remaining of the year will remain less or more dry. The surface water aspects in the area are insufficient to reach the demands of the region, but the groundwater aspects are also not under-researched. This problem can be reduced to some extent by artificially replenishing potential aquifers. The types of soils found on the Noyyal River vary, ranging from flat, red non-limestone soils to very dark gray calcareous soils. Standard soil surveys in the Coimbatore area show the occurrence and association of 14 different soil lines in the Noyyal River. These 14 can be mainly differentiated into 5 categories: grey soil, red soil, alluvial soil, forest soil and colluvial soil [17]. The Noyyal River is covered with various high class metamorphic rocks of the peninsular gneiss complex. The mean yearly rainfall is about 700 mm and the contributions of the northeast monsoon & the southwest monsoon are 47% & 28%, respectively. The main land uses are agriculture (cultivated land) forests and urbanized areas.



Fig. 1. Index map of Noyyal river basin

2.2 Methodology

2.2.1 Data collection

Landsat satellite images were collected from Google earth engine for the period 2000, 2010 and 2020 respectively. Landsat 4/5 (MSS/TM) of 2000, Landsat 4/5 (MSS/TM) of 2010 and Landsat 8 (OLI) of 2020 were downloaded [18].

2.2.2 Pre-processing and image classification

Preprocessing of satellite images before detecting actual changes is an important process and has the main unique goal of establishing a more direct relationship between the data collection and biophysical phenomena. Three cloud-free Landsat (MSS/TM), (OLI) images acquired on 2000, 2010 and 2020 were processed using ArcGIS 10.3.1 software. The images were classified into 4 classes. (Without vegetation, Low vegetation, Medium vegetation and high vegetation)[20].

2.2.3. NDVI Calculation

The NDVI was calculated by using the formula [21]

$$NIR=(NIR-R) / (NIR+R)$$

Bands 3 and 4 was used for Landsat 5 and bands 4 and 5 was used for Landsat 8 for NDVI classification. Supervised classification was done for the all year of 2000, 2010, and 2020 in order to investigate the changes in each land cover type

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study is done by preparing LULC maps of the study area based on LANDSAT images. NDVI DN values are divided into 4 classes that are class 1, class 2, class 3 and class 4 indicate without any vegetation cover, low vegetation, medium vegetation, and high vegetation respectively. The LULC classes are derived based on NRSC Level 1 supervised classification. Accordingly, 6 major types of LULC are identified forest area, urban area, agriculture land, waste land, water bodies and bare soil.

3.1 NDVI for 2000

From the analysis, it was found that NDVI values ranged from 0.84 to 0.16 for the year 2000. Class 1 values range from 0.16 to 0.24, Class 2 ranges from 0.24 to 0.35, Class 3 ranges from 0.35 to 0.50, and Class 4 ranges from 0.50 to 0.83. The area covered by barren land in Class 1 (urban areas, water bodies, rocky areas) is 152,399 ha, Class 2 is 110,724 ha, Class 3 is 64,363.4 ha, and Class4 is 28,925 ha. The class 1 occupies the maximum area of 42.02 per cent (Barren, rocky urban areas, water bodies) and class 4 occupies very low area of 7.97 per cent when compared to other classes.



Fig. 2. NDVI for the year of 2000

3.2 NDVI for 2010

The range of NDVI values for the year 2010 is from 0.85 to -0.11. The class1, class2, class3 and class4, values ranges from -0.11 to 0.23, 0.23 to 0.32, 0.32 to 0.55 and 0.55 to 0.85 respectively. The area covered by class1 is 171230 ha, class 2 is 93720 ha, class 3 is 68092.4 ha, and class 4 is 29669.2 ha. In the year 2010 also, the maximum percentage area covered was under class1 (47.21 per cent). The low covering area was under class 4.(8.18 per cent)



Fig.3. NDVI for the year of 2010

3.3 NDVI for 2020

The NDVI values ranges from 1 to -0.1 for the year 2020. Class1, class2, class3 and class4 DN value ranged from -0.10 to 0.21, 0.21 to 0.30 and 0.30 to 0.52 and 0.52 to 1 respectively. Area covered by class1 is 182859 ha, class 2 is 80150 ha, class 3 is 69075.4 ha, and class 4 30267.2 ha. Similar to 2000 and 2010, in 2020 also class 1 occupies more area (50.38 per cent) and class 4 occupies less area (8.40 per cent)



Fig. 4. NDVI changes for the year of 2020

3.4 NDVI change detection from 2000 to 20200

The range of NDVI values for the all the classes between 2000, 2010 and 2020 was analyzed. The NDVI values were moderately changed for the period 2000 to 2010 and slight changes were observed during the period 2010 and 2020. .Class1 area between 2000 and 2010 increased by 5.19 per cent and 3.17 per cent between 2010 and 2020 because of the increasing urban area and increasing industrialization, Class 2 area is decreased by 6.4 per cent in 2010 and 3.65 per cent in 2020, Class 3 area increased by 1.03 per cent in 2010 as compared to 2000 and 0.26 per cent in 2020 as compared to 2010, Class 4 area is increased 0.2 per cent in the year of 2010 and 2020 so the high-density vegetation increased as compared to the year of 2000 because of increasing agriculture land or increasing of rainfall amount and conservation structures.

Table 1. NDVI changes for the year of 2000, 2010, and 2020

		NDVI Area (ha)			**Decadal changes in NDVI (%)**		
Number of classes	**LULC classes**	**2000**	**2010**	**2020**	**2000 to 2010**	**2010 to 2020**	**2000 to 2020**
Class 1	Without vegetation	152399	171230	182859	5.19	3.17	8.37
Class 2	Low vegetation	117024	93720	80510	-6.4	-3.65	-10.08

Class 3	Medium vegetation	64363.4	68092.4	69075.4	1.03	0.26	1.29
Class 4	High vegetation	28925.2	29669.2	30267.2	0.21	0.22	0.42
	Total	362711.6	362711.6	362711.6			

3.5 Land Use Land Cover for 2000

Wasteland accounts for 35.28 per cent of the total geographic area, including 127953.8 hectares, covering the entire eastern and central part of the study area. The second major type of land use is barren land covering 92321.25 hectares (25.45 per cent). This barren land mainly covers the boundaries of the survey basin. The next major land use type is agriculture, which covers an area of 369466.62 hectares, which represents about 10.18% of the total area. Agricultural areas are more likely to be found in the central west, between the densely populated central and the forested western and eastern edges. The forest area is located at the western end of the area, occupying about 18.6 per cent of the study area and the urban area is concentrated in the central and southern part of the area, occupying only about 35325.39 hectares (14. 77 per cent). The final land cover type identified here is water, which occupied only 0.74 per cent of the total area.



Fig. 5. Land use land cover changes for the year of 2000

3.6 Land Use Land Cover for 2010

LULC's analysis in the study area 10 years later depicts a slightly different situation. The main areas of changes are the increase in Agriculture land (11 per cent), urban areas (5 per cent) and the decrease in area of wasteland (7.7 per cent). All other features remain unchanged. The area under the barren land decreased by about 86865.77 hectares (23.94%) The area under the water bodies was slightly increased with an area of 2728.35 hectares, it occupies about 0.75%. This increase may be due to the high rainfall recorded during this period. In addition, many NGOs such as Siruthuli, an environmental protection foundation in India, are engaged in activities such as water purification. This has visibly led to positive results.



Fig. 6. Land use land cover changes for the year of 2010

3.7 Land Use Land Cover for 2020

The trend of change in the LULC pattern changed in 2020 also. The major changes are seen in three areas, urban area, wasteland, and agricultural land; while the area under urban has increased considerably, the area under wasteland has reduced.



Fig. 7. Land use land cover changes for the year of 2020

3.8 Land Use Land Cover Change for The Years Of 2000, 2010 and 2020

During the period from 2000 to 2010, a major change happened in the area of wasteland. Around 7.68% of wasteland has been converted to agricultural land and around 7.2% of forest land converted to both agriculture and urban area. Because of major decreases in a wasteland and forest land and urban area, agricultural areas majorly increased. During the period between 2010 and 2020, the major changes happen between wasteland, barren land, and forest. By decreasing these three types of land around 7.1% of agricultural land and 8.03% of the urban area increased. Some major notable changes are happening during the period 2000 to 2020. Here wasteland barren land and forest area and water bodies are decreased by 11.99%,7.9% 12.24%, and 0.14%respectively, and agricultural land, the urban area increased by 18.46% and 13.82 % respectively.

Table. 2. Land use land cover changes for the year of 2000, 2010, and 2020

		Area (ha)			Percentage Area			Differences in area (ha)		
Number of classes	**LULC classes**	**2000**	**2010**	**2020**	**2000**	**2010**	**2020**	**2000 to 2010**	**2010 to 2020**	**2000 to 2020**
Class 1	Water bodies	2680.29	2728.35	2165.2	0.74	0.75	0.60	48.06	-563.15	-515.09

Class 2	Urban area	35325.39	53586.73	85476.89	9.74	14.77	23.57	18261.34	31890.16	50151.50
Class 3	Forest cover	67483.71	41327.83	23066.64	18.61	11.39	6.36	-26155.88	-18261.19	-44417.07
Class 4	Agriculture land	36946.62	78134.25	103912.7	10.19	21.54	28.65	41187.63	25778.40	66966.03
Class 5	Barren land	92321.25	86865.67	63641.43	25.45	23.95	17.55	-5455.58	-23224.24	-28679.82
Class 6	Waste land	127953.8	100068.2	84448.26	35.28	27.59	23.28	-27885.57	-15619.98	-43505.55
	Total	362711.1	362711.1	362711.1	100.00	100.00	100.00			

4. CONCLUSION

The present study was conducted with an objective to analyze the land use land cover features and to detect the changes in LULC pattern of Noyyal basin over a period of 20 years from 2000 to 2020 by taking three time periods viz 2000, 2010 and 2020. The study area covered major parts of Coimbatore and few areas of Tiruppur district of Tamil Nadu. The study identified that the major land use feature of the area over the years are wasteland, agriculture land and built up land. It was evident that the total area under waste land has declined over the years and most of it was converted into agricultural land and built up areas. The most evident change noticed was the expansion of built up area which has multiplied almost three fold. The Urban growth is very evident over the years.

The study area also has a wide network of systematic tanks like Perur lake, Selvampathy lake, Kumaraswamy lake, Narasampathy lake, Selva Chintamani lake, Ukkadam Periyakulam, ValanKulam, Kurichi tank etc. Most of the tanks were on the verge of dearth. The study justifies this point. It was very evident that water bodies occupied only a small portion of the total geographical area in the first half of the study period, while it has increased slightly in the later half. This positive change can be attributed to the revitalization strategies undertaken by certain NGOs and Naturalists like the Siruthuli (an NGO based in Coimbatore, which works to rejuvenate water sources in the city), Environmentalist Foundation of India(a non-profit trust aimed at wild life conservation and habitat restoration) etc. But due to sedimentation, the water bodies area has been slightly reduced during the year 2020 when compared to 2010.

Studies on Land Use/ Land Cover and its change are significant in the light of the expanding population and its settlements. It is highly essential to have a periodical monitoring to find out the probable changes happening and to take necessary rectification. For instance, there is an urgent need in the study area to restore natural forest cover and to bring more water bodies to life. This kind of study aids planners in such direction.

REFERENCES

1.Roy PS, Roy A, Joshi PK, Kale MP, Srivastava VK, Srivastava SK, Dwevidi RS, Joshi C, Behera MD, Meiyappan P, Sharma Y. Development of decadal (1985–1995–2005) land use and land cover database for India. Remote Sensing. 2015;7(3):2401-30.

2. Thangamani V. Analysis of land use/land cover and change detection using remote sensing and gis: a case study. Journal of Advanced Scientific Research. 2020;11(2).

3. Lydia A, Selvam S, Sivasubramanian P, Murugan D. Evaluation of land use/land cover changes in the Coimbatore Corporation of Tamil Nadu using remote sensing and GIS. J. of Emerging Technol. and Innovative Res. 2018;5:12.

4. Muttitanon W, Tripathi NK. Land use/land cover changes in the coastal zone of Ban Don Bay, Thailand using Landsat 5 TM data. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 2005 ;26(11):2311-23.

5. Kale MP, Chavan M, Pardeshi S, Joshi C, Verma PA, Roy PS, Srivastav SK, Srivastava VK, Jha AK, Chaudhari S, Giri Y. Land-use and land-cover change in Western Ghats of India. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2016 ;188(7):1-23.

6. Singh A. Review article digital change detection techniques using remotely-sensed data. International journal of remote sensing. 1989 ;10(6):989-1003.

7. Ridd MK, Liu J. A comparison of four algorithms for change detection in an urban environment. Remote sensing of environment. 1998 ; 63(2):95-100.

8. Song C, Woodcock CE, Seto KC, Lenney MP, Macomber SA. Classification and change detection using Landsat TM data: when and how to correct atmospheric effects?. Remote sensing of Environment. 2001;75(2):230-44.

9. Hall O, Hay GJ. A multiscale object-specific approach to digital change detection. International journal of applied earth observation and geoinformation. 2003 ;4(4):311-27.

10. Zhao Y, Zhang K, Fu Y, Zhang H. Examining land-use/land-cover change in the Lake Dianchi Watershed of the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau of southwest China with remote sensing and GIS techniques: 1974–2008. International Journal of environmental research and public health. 2012 ;9(11):3843-65.

11. Fonji SF, Taff GN. Using satellite data to monitor land-use land-cover change in North-eastern Latvia. Springerplus. 2014 ;3(1):1-5.

12. Lydia A, Selvam S, Sivasubramanian P, Murugan D. Evaluation of land use/land cover changes in the Coimbatore Corporation of Tamil Nadu using remote sensing and GIS. J. of Emerging Technol. and Innovative Res. 2018;5:12.

13. Shalaby A, Tateishi R. Remote sensing and GIS for mapping and monitoring land cover and land-use changes in the Northwestern coastal zone of Egypt. Applied geography. 2007;27(1):28-41.

14. Lu D, Mausel P, Brondizio E, Moran E. Change detection techniques. International journal of remote sensing. 2004 ;25(12):2365-401.

15. Henchiri M, Kalisa W, Sha Z, Zhang J. Time Series Land Cover Mapping and Change Detection Analysis Using Geographic Information System and Remote Sensing, North and West of Africa. InMultidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute Proceedings 2019 (Vol. 39, No. 1, p. 3).

17. sensing, detection using remote. "Land use and land cover change detection using remote sensing and GIS in parts of Coimbatore and Tiruppur districts, Tamil Nadu, India." (2014).

18.Selvarani AG, Maheswaran G, Elangovan K. Identification of artificial recharge sites for Noyyal River Basin using GIS and remote sensing. Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing. 2017 ;45(1):67-77.

19.Kinattinkara S, Arumugam T, Kuppusamy S, Krishnan M. Land Use/Land Cover Changes Of Noyyal Watershed In Coimbatore District, India, Mapped Using Remote Sensing Techniques. Environmental Science And Pollution Research. 2022; 4:1-3.

20.Ehsan s, kazem d. Analysis of land use-land covers changes using normalized difference vegetation index (ndvi) differencing and classification methods. African journal of agricultural research. 2013 ;8(37):4614-22.

21. Alphan h, derse ma. Change detection in southern turkey using normalized difference vegetation index (ndvi). Journal of environmental engineering and landscape management. 2013; 21(1):12-8.