# Original Research Article

## Comparison of biophysical parameters in D-DSR and TPR

#### **Abstract:**

The study explained comparison of the biophysical parameters with in the two rice cultures. Study was conducted in the farmers field at Jogulamba Gadwal District of Telangana under same management practices. The biophysical parameters were recorded during the crop growth stages like Vegetative, Maximum Tillering, Panicle initiation, Flowering and Maturity. The data was analyzed by using the two-sample t test with equal variances reveled that there were no significant differences was observed in the dry matter produced, SPAD and LAI values of the two rice cultures at Vegetative, Maximum Tillering, Panicle initiation, Flowering and Maturity of the crop growth. The significant difference was found in the plant height at Maximum Tillering, Panicle initiation and Flowering. The total number of tillers were also significant at flowering Due to the pest infestation in the TPR reported the highest number of tillers when compared with D-DSR, the number of tillers at harvest were also less due to unproductive tillers damaged by pest in TPR than D-DSR.

**Key words:** Bio-physical parameters, TPR, D-DSR

## **Introduction:**

Food security has become a fundamental issue due to the rapid increase in world population and higher demand for food. Rice is a key staple food consumed by more than half of the world's population (Chauhan *et al.*, 2015). As a consequence, rice production systems must boost production to meet global food demand (Ray *et al.*, 2012). A sustainable increase in rice production is challenged by rural to urban labour migration, agricultural land conversion, pests and diseases, the high cost of labour and inputs, abiotic stresses such as drought, submergence, cold and heat, and competition for water resources (FAO,2014). The diversity of rice growing environments (irrigated, rainfed lowland, upland and deep-water) results in different levels of natural resource availability (i.e., water and soil fertility) and different levels of exposure to yield-limiting and yield-reducing factors. This leads to different management approaches to create favourable rice-growing under same management practices. Transplanting (TP) and direct seeding (DS) are two common methods of rice establishment (Chauhan *et al.*, 2015). TP is more common in irrigated and rainfed lowland

ecosystems (Singh et al.,2008) where there is sufficient water. In TP, seeds are normally germinated in the nursery for 2–3 weeks before they are manually or mechanically transplanted into the main fields (IRRI, 2007a; Sangeetha and Baskar, 2015). In DS, seeds are sown on the soil surface, and this can be practised in rainfed, deep-water, and irrigated systems (Pandey *et al.*, 2000). Sowing can be done manually (hand broadcasting the seeds) or mechanically (using a drum seeder or seed drill, for example). DS rice matures up to seven to ten days faster than TP rice (Pandey *et al.*, 2000; Farooq *et al.*, 2011; Sudhir-Yadav *et al.*, 2014) due to the lack of transplanting shock (Yoshida, 1981). DS can be further classified into wet direct seeding (WDS) or dry direct seeding (DDS). WDS requires seeds that are pregerminated for 24–48 h before broadcasting or drilling seeds into the mud with a drum seeder. In DDS, un-germinated seeds are sown (broadcasted, drilled or dibbled) on dry, prepared soil that is ploughed or harrowed afterwards (IRRI, 2007b; Singh *et al.*,2008). DDS is practised traditionally in rainfed ecosystems in most Asian countries but is gaining importance in irrigated areas where water is becoming scarce (Kumar and Ladha, 2011).

The choice of establishment method is influenced by environmental and socio-economic factors. Areas with low wages (high labour supply) and sufficient irrigation water typically favour TP because of higher yields due to better weed control (Chauhan *et al.*, 2015; Singh *et al.*, 2011). In contrast, DS is prevalent in labour- and water-scarce regions (Kumar and Ladha, 2011). In addition to rainfall distribution, the position of the field in the top sequence also contributes to spatial variations in adoption of crop establishment method (Pandey *et al.*, 2012). DS has been spreading in tropical rice areas of Asia replacing TP, the more traditional way of crop establishment (Pandey *et al.*, 2012). In addition to labour- and water- savings, DS reduces methane emissions in rice, reduces the cost of cultivation, and allows timely planting of a subsequent crop, and hence is expected to further expand as labour and water costs increase in future, and improved varieties and crop management practices become available making DS more attractive to farmers (Kumar and Ladha, 2011).

# **Materials and methods:**

The farmer's field was selected in Nauroji camp village of Jogulamba Gadwal District in Telangana. Area of 2 ha was selected and the readings were collected from the each five randomly tagged plants. The number of hills m<sup>-2</sup>, number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup>, biomass of the plants was recorded by drying them in the hot air oven for a period of 24 hrs until the readings were similar. The LAI (Leaf Area Index) was measured with the help of CI-203 Handheld Laser Leaf Area Meter. The SPAD was by chlorophyll 502 meter.

## **Statistical Analysis:**

Paired two sample T test was performed in order to record the changes in the two cultures with equal management practices. The number of points that are monitored in the two cultures are equal.

#### **Results:**

Plant height, a growth parameter that was mainly governed by many factors such as space nutrients, light and water. Plant height was significantly influenced by plant to plant spacing in D-DSR than in TPR. Plant height increased continuously from tillering stage to harvest. In two rice culture there was significant difference was recorded in plant height at maximum tillering, panicle initiation and flowering stages of the crop growth.

The tillers in rice a were mainly useful in production of panicles and also grain yield, production of tillers was mainly influenced by plant density, pest incidence and other management practices. The Number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> was recorded in two rice culture there was significant difference in the rice cultures at flowering and at harvest due the incidence of gall midge during crop growth where the total number of tillers production is increased this led to production of unproductive tillers by formation of onion shoots. At harvest stage the productive tillers were reduced due to the pest incidence in the TPR.

The dry matter production in crop indicates plant growth and also yield of crop. Data in both cultures were non-significant at all the stages of crop growth. The dry matter production in D-DSR was more in the earlier stages of growth, but there was reduction in the panicle initiation and flowering.

The LAI was measured both manually and mechanically, LAI was highest in D-DSR and there was no significant difference among the rice cultures. LAI for D-DSR was greater because of a greater number of tillers per m<sup>2</sup> when compared with TPR, more leaves were produced in DSR, at later stages the TPR recorded more LAI.

The chlorophyll content was recorded by the SPAD meter. The chlorophyll content was good indicator of the healthy crop, there was no significant differences in the rice cultures. The more amount of chlorophyll content was recorded in the TPR when compared with the D-DSR.

The comparison of all the biophysical parameter's that were compared among the two cultures with two sample T test with equal variance was given in the table -1.

### **Discussion:**

The average plant height in D-DSR was 119.7 cm when compared with TPR it was 118.9 cm this was mainly due to crowding effect in D-DSR sowing was done in solid rows where plants tend to compete with each other for light. The plants grow taller due to the more amount of plant population in D-DSR m<sup>-2</sup> when compared with the TPR according to Hussain *et al.*, (2013), Gathala *et al.*, (2011) and Sidhu *et al.*, (2014).

The Number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> was recorded in two rice culture there was significant difference in the rice cultures at flowering and at harvest due the incidence of gall midge at those stages of crop growth where the total number of tillers production is increased this led to production of unproductive tillers by formation of onion shoots. At harvest stage the productive tillers were reduced due to the pest incidence in the TPR. The results in accordance with Mohan *et al.*, 2015. The total number of tillers produced in D-DSR was greater when compared with the TPR because total plant population in D-DSR was greater than TPR, but number of tillers produced per plant is less in case of DSR Gill et al., 2006, Gangwar *et al.*, 2005, Ishfaq *et al.*, (2020).

LAI for D-DSR was greater because of a greater number of tillers per m<sup>2</sup> when compared with TPR, more leaves were produced in DSR, at later stages the TPR recorded more LAI due to incidence of pest that led to production of more tillers per hill Arjun *et al.*, 2021.

The SPAD values are more in TPR when compared to the DSR this was mainly due to deficiency in the micronutrients in DSR. Iron was one of the most limiting factors in DSR rice which leads to reduced synthesis of the chlorophyll content Hongyan *et al.*, 2018.

## **Conclusions:**

DSR is the alternative methods of crop establishment to transplanting rice. Rice crop requires most of the amount of water when compared to all the others. There was no significant difference was found among the two rice cultures regarding their biophysical parameters under the same management practices. So DSR is one of the best methods of which saves water.

# ${\bf Table: 1\ comparison\ table\ of\ biophysical\ parameters\ in\ the\ two-rice\ cultures.}$

esting



Plant height	-16.53	3.25*	5.21*	11.80*	-2.41
No of tillers	-4.87	-2.40	-0.078	3.76*	7.16*
SPAD readings	-3.42	-12.00	-3.84	-9.65	-
LAI	-2.47	-7.23	-7.48	-5.60	-
Bio mass	-5.27	-0.10	-5.22	-1.77	-

T statistics value at 0.05 % LOS is 2.10. (\*) significant difference between the two ecosystems.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

### **References:**

- Arjun, B., Tika, K., Santosh, M and Prasad, A.L. 2021. Growth, phenology, yield and yield attributes of rice as influenced by tillage, residue and nitrogen management practice in Chitwan, Nepal. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 17(1): 128-136.
- Chauhan, B.S., Hussain Awan, T., Bernard Abugho, S., Evengelista, G., 2015. Effect of crop establishment methods and weed control treatments on weed management, and rice yield. Field Crop. Res. 172, 72–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2014.12.011
- FAO, 2014. A Regional Rice Strategy for Sustainable Food Security in Asia and The Pacific Final Edition. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Bangkok.
- Farooq, M., Siddique, K.H.M., Rehman, H., Aziz, T., Lee, D.J., Wahid, A., 2011. Rice direct seeding: experiences, challenges and opportunities. Soil Tillage Res. 111, 87–98. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2010.10.008">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2010.10.008</a>.
- Gangwar, K.S., Sharma, Tomar, O.K and Pandey, D.K. 2006. Effect of rice crop establishment methods on hybrid rice productivity in northwest India. *International Rice Research Notes*. 30: 42-43.
- Gathala, M.K., Timsina, J., Islam, M.S., Rahman, M.M., Hossain, M.I., Harun-Ar-Rashid, M., Ghosh, A.K., Krupnik, T.J., Tiwari, T.P and McDonald, A. 2015. Conservation agriculture-based tillage and crop establishment options can maintain farmers" yields

- and increase profits in South Asia's rice—maize systems: Evidence from Bangladesh. Field Crops Research, 172: 85-98.
- Gill, M.S., Kumar, A and Kumar, P. 2006. Growth and yield of rice under various method and times of sowing. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 51: 123-27.
- Ginigaddara, G. S and Ranamukhaarachchi, S. L. 2011. Study of age of seedlings at transplanting on growth dynamics and yield of rice under alternating flooding and suspension of irrigation of water management. *Recent Research in Science and Technology*, 3(3): 76-88.
- Hussain, S., Ramzan, M., Rana, M.A., Mann, R.A and Akhter, M. 2013. Effect of various planting techniques on yield and yield components of rice. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences, 23(2): 672-674.
- Ishfaq, M., Akbar, N., Anjum, S, A and Anwar, M. 2020. Growth, yield and water productivity of dry direct seeded rice and transplanted aromatic rice under different irrigation management regimes. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 19(11): 2656–2673.
- Jahangir, M.A., Humphrey, E., Sarkar, M.A.R., and Sudhir, Y. 2018. Comparison of dry seeded and puddled transplanted rainy season rice on the High Ganges River Floodplain of Bangladesh. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 96: 120–130.
- Kumar, V., Ladha, J.K., 2011. Direct Seeding of Rice. Recent Developments and Future Research Needs, 1st ed. Advances in Agronomy. Elsevier Inc., New Delhi. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-387689-8.00001-1">https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-387689-8.00001-1</a>.
- Mohan, Y, C., Thippeswamy, S., Bhoomeshwar, K., Madhavilatha and Samreen, Jameema. 2015. Diversity analysis for yield and gall midge resistance in Rice (Oryza sativa L.) in northern Telangana zone, India. SABRAO journal of breeding and genetics. 42. 160-171.
- Pandey, S., Mortimer, A.M., Wade, L., Tuong, Tp., Lopez, K., Hardy, B., 2000. Direct seeding:research issues and opportunities. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Direct Seeding in Asian Rice Systems:Strategic rEsearch Issues and Opportunities 2
- Pandey, S., Suphanchaimat, N., Velasco, M.L., 2012. The patterns of spread and economics of a labor-saving innovation in rice production: the case of direct seeding in Northeast Thailand. Q. J. Int. Agric. 51, 333–356.
- Ray, D.K., Ramankutty, N., Mueller, N.D., West, P.C., Foley, J.A., 2012. Recent patterns of crop yield growth and stagnation. Nat. Commun. 3, 1293–1297. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2296.
- Reddy, S.R and Hukkeri, S.B. 1983. Effect of tillage practices on irrigation requirement, weed control and yield of lowland rice. Soil and Tillage Research, 3(2): 147-158
- Sanjeewanie, G.A., Ginigaddara and Ranamukhaarachchi, S.L. 2009. Effect of conventional, SRI and modified water management on growth, yield and water productivity of direct-seeded and transplanted rice in central Thailand. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 3(5):278-286.

- Sharma, A.R and Ghosh, A. 1999. Submergence tolerance and yield performance of lowland rice at affected by agronomic management practices in eastern India. *Field Crop Research*. 63: 187-98.
- Sihag, S.K., Singh, M.K., Meena, R.S., Naga, S., Bahadur, S.R., and Gaurav, Y.R. 2015. Influences of spacing on growth and yield potential of dry direct seeded rice (Oryza sativa L.) cultivars. *Ecoscan*, 9(1-2), 517-519.
- Singh, Y., Singh, V.P., Chauhan, B., Orr, A., Mortimer, A.M., Johnson, D.E., Hardy, B., 2008. Direct Seeding of Rice and Weed Management in the Irrigated Rice-Wheat Cropping System of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Los Banos (Philippines).
- Singh, Y., Singh, V.P., Singh, G., Yadav, D.S., Sinha, R.K.P., Johnson, D.E., Mortimer, A.M., 2011. The implications of land preparation, crop establishment method and weed management on rice yield variation in the rice-wheat system in the Indo-Gangetic plains. F. Crop. Res. 121, 64–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2010.11.012.
- Sudhir-Yadav, Gill, G., Humphreys, E., Kukal, S.S and Walia, U.S. 2011. Effect of water management on dry seeded and puddled transplanted rice. Part 1: Crop performance. *Field Crops Research*. 120(1): 112–122.