

Original Research Article

Effect of deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages on yield attributes, yield and economics of *summer* Sesame

ABSTRACT

Aims: Sesame is grown in the country since antiquity. Sesame has high nutritional benefits and is utilized in numerous cooking styles everywhere in the world. Sesame is known as the queen of oilseeds because of its top notch polyunsaturated stable fats that limit oxidative rancidity and contains high oil content (up to 60%). Sesame is grown in *summer* season in the Northern Telangana Zone in turmeric and rice fallows. Low productivity in sesame is primarily due to rainfed cultivation in marginal and submarginal lands with poor management and low inputs. To solve the upcoming challenges and in view of the improving yield and acquire higher returns, precised water management strategies need to be formulated. Hence the present investigation is proposed to study the effect of deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages on yield and economics of sesame crop grown in *summer*.

Study design: The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design.

Methodology: A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural college, Polasa, Jagtial district during *summer* 2021 to study the effect of deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages on yield and economics of *summer* sesame. The study is conducted with eight deficit and optimum irrigation treatments (T_1 to T_8) and replicated thrice.

Results: The results of this experiment manifested that scheduling irrigation at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages (T_8) registered highest yield attributes, yield and economic parameters viz., no of capsules plant⁻¹ (45), capsule weight (0.32 g) and no of filled seeds capsule⁻¹ (55), seed yield (1150 kg ha⁻¹), stalk yield (1999 kg ha⁻¹), gross returns (128499 ₹ ha⁻¹), net returns (94391 ₹ ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (2.76).

Key words: Deficit and optimum irrigation, Yield attributes, Yield, Economics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Oilseeds are among the major crops that are grown in the country apart from cereals. Oilseed crops are the important crops because of their high economic value and are acclimatized to grow in higher percent area of the globe. Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) (2n=26) being included in the order Tubiflorae and family Pedaliaceae is native of Africa and one of the earliest domesticated plants of India. India is one of the significant exporters of sesame with an acreage of 14.19 lakh hectares, production of 6.89 lakh tons and productivity of 485 kg ha⁻¹ (Indiastat, 2018-19). In Telangana, it is grown in an area of 18,000 hectares with an annual production of 12,190 tonnes and productivity of 677 kg ha⁻¹ (Indiastat, 2018-19).

Sesame seed is frequently known as Til in India. Sesame has high nutritional benefits and is utilized in numerous cooking styles everywhere in the world. Sesame is known as the queen of oilseeds because of its top notch polyunsaturated stable fats that limit oxidative rancidity and contains high oil content (up to 60%). Sesame seed oil contains unsaturated fats (83-90%), protein (20%), traces of micronutrients (nutrients

and minerals) and a lot of trademark lignin, (for example, sesamin, sesamol, sesamin and tocopherol) (Pathak *et al.*, 2017). Roughly, 70% of overall sesame seed produced is prepared into oil and meal. The Sesame seeds or its powder or oil were utilized in different Indian dishes as enhancing specialist (myfasal.com, 2018).

Sesame is mainly grown as a *summer* crop, *kharif* crop and as late-*rabi* crop. In Telangana, Sesame is mainly grown as *summer* crop in the turmeric and rice fallows with limited irrigation under well/canal irrigation. Irrigation water was found to be the most basic factor that restrict the development and yield of crops grown in summer. Due to insufficient water supply, the productivity of *summer* sesame in Northern Telangana Zone is low. Scheduling of limited water assets to increase the productivity of crops is the most pressing need. Application of irrigation at branching, flowering and capsule development stages increased yield crediting characters and yield of *summer* sesame (Dutta *et al.*, 2000 and Mekonnen and Sintayehu, 2020). Deficit irrigation is a strategy which allows a crop to sustain some degree of water deficit in order to reduce irrigation costs and potentially increase revenues. The prime objective of deficit irrigation is to elevate the productivity of a crop by eliminating the irrigations that have little impact on yield. It is therefore necessary to develop best water deficit irrigation strategy.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment entitled “Effect of deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages on yield attributes, yield and economics of *summer* sesame” was carried out during *summer* season, 2021 at Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Agricultural college, College farm, Polasa, Jagtial. The experimental soil was sandy clay loam in texture, non-saline (0.31 dS m^{-1}) and slightly alkaline (7.99) in reaction. The available soil moisture (mm) in a depth of 0-60 cm was 91.57 mm. Fertility status of the experimental soil was low in organic carbon (0.50%) and available nitrogen (157.0 kg ha^{-1}), high in available phosphorus (23.2 kg ha^{-1}) and potassium (297.0 kg ha^{-1}). Rainfall was not received during crop growth period.

The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with eight treatments replicated thrice. Treatments comprised of varied number of irrigations scheduled at different crop growth stages *i.e.*, vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages. The treatments were T₁- 2 irrigations each at vegetative and flowering stages; T₂- 2 irrigations each at vegetative and capsule filling stages; T₃- 2 irrigations each at flowering and capsule filling stages; T₄- 3 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering and capsule filling stages; T₅- 3 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom and capsule filling stages; T₆- 4 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering and capsule filling stages; T₇- 4 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages and T₈- 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages. In sesame cultivation, recommended fertilizer dose of 60: 20: 40 kg N, P₂O₅ and K₂O ha⁻¹ was followed. These nutrients were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash (MOP), respectively. Complete dose of P₂O₅ was applied as basal dose. K₂O was applied in 2 splits at basal and at flowering stage and nitrogen was applied in 3 equal splits at basal, vegetative and at flowering stages. The variety JCS 1020 (Jagtial Til-1) was sown on 3rd February, 2021 and harvested from 8th-10th May, 2021. Quantity of Irrigation water is measured with water meter. At harvest, yield attributes were measured. Seed and stalk yield were recorded. Economic parameters were worked out on hectare premise by considering prevailing market price of various inputs and

existing labour wages during the experimental period. Data is statistically analyzed as illustrated by Panse and Sukhatme (1954).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield attributes

The data on effect of deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages on yield attributes like no of capsules plant⁻¹, capsule weight and no of filled seeds capsule⁻¹ were presented in Table 1. The results of this experiment showed that scheduling 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages (T₈) recorded significantly higher number of capsules plant⁻¹ (45), capsule weight (0.32 g) and number of filled seeds capsule⁻¹ (55) followed by T₇, T₆, T₄, T₅, T₃ and T₁. Whereas treatment provided with 2 irrigations each at vegetative and capsule filling stages (T₂) showed lower values of all the aforementioned yield attributes.

Yield is a composite of number of capsules plant⁻¹, seeds capsule⁻¹ and seed weight and almost 85% of sesame yield variations were achieved by capsules plant⁻¹ (Rao *et al.*, 1991). Increasing number of irrigations increased the number of capsules plant⁻¹. Water stress at reproductive stage brought about an irreversible impact which could not be revoked during subsequent good soil moisture levels when the crucial processes of capsule development are still underway. The results obtained in the current investigation were supported by Puste *et al.* (2015).

Capsule weight also increased with increasing number of irrigations. This was supported by Eltarably *et al.* (2020) in sunflower. Lower capsule weight in treatments subjected to deficit irrigation can be attributed to retarded growth and consequently a smaller number of capsules. Treatments devoid of irrigation at flowering stage (T₂ and T₅) showed reduced capsule weight due to deformed capsules. Higher number of filled seeds capsule⁻¹ with increasing number of irrigations might be due to higher number of capsules and effective translocation of photosynthates from source to sink in optimum irrigated treatments. The results obtained were in accordance with Mekonnen and Sintayehu, (2020).

Yield

Seed and stalk yield of *summer* sesame as influenced by deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages were presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1. Highest seed yield (1150 kg ha⁻¹) was acquired by providing 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages (T₈). Higher seed yield of sesame with optimum irrigation schedule was supported by Hailu *et al.* (2018), Abdelraouf and Anter, (2020). This might be due to enhanced performance of all yield contributing characters because of uninterrupted soil moisture availability during entire crop growth period. Irrigation at early vegetative or branching stage perhaps had bought about the lively development of the crop while irrigation provided at flowering may have helped in maintaining size, duration and photosynthetic movement of the green plant parts subsequent to flowering and furthermore in movement of photosynthates to the sink (Wardlaw, 2002). Moreover, this is the period in which likely capsules and seed number is resolved.

Seed yield decreased with diminishing water availability (Eskandari *et al.*, 2009). There was reduction in seed yield (976 kg ha⁻¹) when provided with 4 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages (T₇). However, it was statistically at par (931 kg ha⁻¹) when 4 irrigations


were scheduled each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering and capsule filling stages (T_6). Reduced seed yield in the later treatment in comparison to prior one might be due to stress imposed at capsule initiation stage which led to aversion in capsule formation and seed development.

Seed yield obtained with scheduling 3 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom and capsule filling stages (T_5) was 616 kg ha^{-1} . With same number of irrigations each at vegetative, flowering and capsule filling stages (T_4), seed yield was noticed to be 818 kg ha^{-1} . The variance between the yield of both treatments could be attributed to termination of flowers and capsule formation due to stress imposed at flowering. Water deficiency during reproductive stage especially during flowering and capsule formation stage showed drastic reduction in seed yield (Ekom *et al.*, 2019). Seed yield when provided with 2 irrigations each at vegetative and flowering stages was (T_1) 469 kg ha^{-1} and was at par with irrigation scheduled at vegetative and capsule filling stages (T_2) (410 kg ha^{-1}) and treatment provided with 2 irrigations each at flowering and capsule filling stages (T_3) (485 kg ha^{-1}) of seed yield. In this way, not providing irrigation at flowering and capsule development period may have caused flower abortion which in turn showed diminished number of capsules and seeds in deficit irrigated treatments. This load of adverse impacts on yield attributes might have reduced the seed yield. Distinct variation among yields obtained under optimum and deficit irrigation shows that there is clear cut impact of water stress imposed at various stages of sesame crop.

Among the deficit and optimum irrigated treatments, maximum stalk yield (1999 kg ha^{-1}) was noticed in treatment with 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages (T_8). Positive impact of optimum irrigation schedule on yield attributes fundamentally expanded seed and stalk yield of sesame over deficit irrigation schedule (Sarkar *et al.*, 2010). Higher straw yield was ascribed to higher dry matter accumulation because of higher photosynthetic movement bringing about creation of higher photosynthates prompting better growth variables (Kundu and Singh, 2006). Followed to above optimum irrigation treatment, stalk yield noticed by scheduling 4 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages (T_7) was 1695 kg ha^{-1} and it was at par with treatment provided with same number of irrigations but at different growth stages (T_6) *i.e.*, vegetative, prebloom, flowering and capsule filling stages (1618 kg ha^{-1}). Stalk yield observed in treatment provided with 3 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering and capsule filling stages (T_4) was 1413 kg ha^{-1} whereas treatment even though provided with same number of irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom and capsule filling stages (T_5) showed significantly lower stalk yield (1059 kg ha^{-1}) than prior one as it was lacking irrigation at flowering stage which led to reduced flower and capsule formation which in turn reduced the biological yield. This was supported by Mila *et al.* (2017). Lowest stalk yield (720 kg ha^{-1}) was registered in treatment provided with 2 irrigations each at vegetative and capsule filling stages (T_2). However, it was significantly on par with treatment with 2 irrigations applied each at vegetative and flowering stages (810 kg ha^{-1}).

Table 1. Yield attributes and yield of *summer* sesame as influenced by deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages

	Treatments	No of capsules plant ⁻¹	Capsule weight (g)	No of filled seeds capsule ⁻¹	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stalk yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	2 irrigations each at vegetative and flowering stage	13.0	0.23	30.8	469	810
T ₂	2 irrigations each at vegetative and capsule filling stage	10.4	0.21	29.5	410	720
T ₃	2 irrigations each at flowering and capsule filling stage	12.3	0.22	31.4	485	840
T ₄	3 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering and capsule filling stage	25.7	0.27	43.9	818	1413
T ₅	3 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom and capsule filling stage	18.7	0.24	38.1	616	1059
T ₆	4 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering and capsule filling stage	33.6	0.28	47.5	931	1618
T ₇	4 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stage	35.1	0.28	48.9	976	1695
T ₈	5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stage	45.0	0.32	55.0	1150	1999
SEm±		1.67	0.01	1.82	33.36	39.16
CD @5%		5.06	0.03	5.53	101.20	118.78
CV (%)		11.93	6.4	7.8	7.9	5.3



- T₁: 2 irrigations each at vegetative and flowering stages
 T₂: 2 irrigations each at vegetative and capsule filling stages
 T₃: 2 irrigations each at flowering and capsule filling stages
 T₄: 3 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering and capsule filling stages
 T₅: 3 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom and capsule filling stages
 T₆: 4 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering and capsule filling stages
 T₇: 4 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages
 T₈: 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages

Fig. 1. Seed and stalk yield (kg ha⁻¹) in sesame as influenced by deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages.

ECONOMICS

The data regarding cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio of *summer* sesame as affected by deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages was presented in Table 2 and portrayed in Fig. 2. Cost of cultivation varied with change in number of irrigations applied with higher cost (34108 ₹ ha^{-1}) in treatment provided with 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages. Lowest (31108 ₹ ha^{-1}) was noticed in treatments provided with 3 irrigations *i.e.*, T_1 , T_2 and T_3 . The obtained results were in conformity with Sarkar *et al.* (2010) stating increase in cost of cultivation with increasing number of irrigations.

Higher gross and net returns (128499 and 94391 ₹ ha^{-1} , respectively) were obtained by scheduling 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages (T_8). These results can be attributed to higher yields due to continuous soil moisture availability throughout the growing season which in turn resulted in higher returns. Lowest gross and net returns (45820 and 14712 ₹ ha^{-1}) were noticed in treatment provided with 2 irrigations each at vegetative and capsule filling stages (T_2). Results were similar to findings of Puste *et al.* (2015).


Benefit cost ratio in sesame as influenced by deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages varied significantly in deficit and optimum irrigated treatments and followed the similar trend as that of gross and net returns. Benefit cost ratio increased with increase in irrigation levels. Higher benefit cost ratio (2.76) was obtained in treatment provided with 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages (T_8). Lowest (0.47) was noticed with 2 irrigations scheduled each at vegetative and capsule filling stages (T_2). Hence allocation of deficit water at critical stages of sesame is important.

CONCLUSION

From the results obtained in the current study, it is concluded that scheduling 5 irrigations at various growth stages *i.e.*, vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages proved to be superior with higher yield attributing characters, yield and benefit cost ratio of *summer* sesame.

Table 2. Cost of cultivation, Gross returns, Net returns and Benefit cost ratio of *summer* sesame as influenced by deficit and optimum irrigation at various crop stages

	Treatments	Cost of cultivation ($\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$)	Gross returns ($\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$)	Net returns ($\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$)	Benefit cost ratio
T ₁	2 irrigations each at vegetative and flowering stage	31108	52400	21292	0.68
T ₂	2 irrigations each at vegetative and capsule filling stage	31108	45820	14712	0.47
T ₃	2 irrigations each at flowering and capsule filling stage	31108	54188	23080	0.74
T ₄	3 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering and capsule filling stage	32108	91393	60951	1.84
T ₅	3 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom and capsule filling stage	32108	68819	36711	1.14
T ₆	4 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering and capsule filling stage	33108	104028	70920	2.14
T ₇	4 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stage	33108	109055	75947	2.29
T ₈	5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stage	34108	128499	94391	2.76
SEm±		-	3002.23	2912.75	0.12
CD @5%		-	9106.33	8834.92	0.37
CV (%)		-	6.4	10.1	14.0



T₁: 2 irrigations each at vegetative and flowering stages
 T₂: 2 irrigations each at vegetative and capsule filling stages
 T₃: 2 irrigations each at flowering and capsule filling stages
 T₄: 3 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering and capsule filling stages
 T₅: 3 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom and capsule filling stages
 T₆: 4 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering and capsule filling stages
 T₇: 4 irrigations each at vegetative, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages
 T₈: 5 irrigations each at vegetative, prebloom, flowering, capsule initiation and capsule filling stages

Fig. 2. Gross returns ($\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$), net returns ($\square \text{ ha}^{-1}$) and benefit cost ratio in sesame as influenced by deficit and optimum irrigation at various growth stages.

REFERENCES

1. Indiastat. Agriculture production. 2018-19. <http://www.indiastat.com>.
2. Pathak K, Rahman SW, Bhagawati S, Gogoi B. Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.), an underexploited oil seed crop: current status, features and importance – a review. *Agricultural Reviews*. 2017;38(3):223-227.
3. MyFasal, a guide to agriculture process. 17 April, 2018. <https://myfasal.com>
4. Dutta D, Jana PK, Bandyopadhyay P, Maity D. Response of *summer* sesame to irrigation. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2000;45:613-616.
5. Mekonnen SA, Sintayehu A. Performance evaluation of sesame under regulated deficit irrigation application in the low land of Western Gondar, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Agronomy*. 2020;1-9.
6. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*. 1954.
7. Rao VP, Reddy MD, Raju ChS. Moisture sensitive growth stages of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) and optimal sequencing of evapotranspiration deficits. *Journal of Research, APAU*. 1991;19:203-209.
8. Puste AM, Pramanik BR, Jana K, Roy S, Devi TS. Effect of irrigation and sulphur on growth, yield and water use of *summer* sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) in new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 2015;11:106-112.
9. Eltarabily MG, Burke JM, Bali KM. Impact of deficit irrigation on shallow saline groundwater contribution and sunflower productivity in the Imperial Valley, California. *Water*. 2020;12(2):571.
10. 11. Hailu EK, Urga YD, Sori NA, Borona FR, Tufa KN. Sesame yield response to deficit irrigation and water application techniques in irrigated agriculture, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Agronomy*. 2018;1:1-6.
11. Abdelraouf RE, Anter AS. Response of new sesame lines (*Sesamum indicum* L.) to deficit irrigation under clay soils conditions. *Plant Archives*. 2020;20(2):2369-2377.
12. Wardlaw IF. Interaction between drought and chronic high temperature during kernel filling in wheat in a controlled environment. *Annals of botany*. 2002;90(4):469-476.
13. Eskandari H, Zehtab-Salmasi S, Ghassemi-Golezani K, Gharineh MH. Effects of water limitation on grain and oil yields of sesame cultivars. *Journal Food Agricultural Environment*. 2009;7(2):339-342.
14. Ekom DT, Guidjinga KNA, Memena O, Nome AT. Performance of sesame seeds produced from plants subjected to water stress for early selection of tolerant genotypes. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. 2019;1-10.
15. Sarkar A, Sarkar S, Zaman A, Rana SK. Performance of summer sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) under different irrigation regimes and nitrogen levels. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 2010;55(2):143-146.
16. Kundu DK, Singh R. Effect of irrigation on yield and nutrient uptake of *summer* sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) in coastal Orissa. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 76(9): 531-534.
17. Mila AJ, Ali MH, Akanda AR, Rashid MHO, Rahman MA. Effects of deficit irrigation on yield, water productivity and economic return of sunflower. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2017;3(1):1287619.