

**Factors contributing to unwholesome Food Processing and Preservation among Food Handlers in Port Harcourt, Nigeria**

**Abstract**

**Background:** Unwholesome food processing and preservation among food handlers has been one of the common causes of food and waterborne diseases. This study aimed to identify factors contributing to unwholesome food processing and preservation practices among food handlers in Obio-Akpor LGA of Rivers state.

**Materials and Methods:** The study was a descriptive cross-sectional study which assessed 365 food handlers in Obio/Akpor Local Government Area of Port Harcourt, Nigeria. A semi-structured questionnaire was used to collect relevant data. Quantitative data was presented as frequencies and percentages. All statistical tests were set at a significance level of  $P < 0.05$ . **Results:** Findings show that out of the 365 food handlers who participated in the study, only 43.01% had a set of standard practice guidelines. 47.40% of the food handlers practiced unwholesome food processing and preservation methods. Duration of practice for more than 5 years (63.58% vs. 36.42%;  $p=0.04$ ) and scale of business (large/small) (turnover > NGN50,000.00) ((58.38%, vs. 10.40%,  $p=0.05$ ) were significantly associated with unwholesome food processing and preservation practices as handlers who have been in the food business longer and those with larger scale food outlets tended to have better standards.

**Conclusion:** Unwholesome food processing practices exist among food handlers with nearly half of the food handlers studied having poor practices. Inadequate working experience and the presence of more small and medium scale businesses was found to be the factors affecting unwholesome food processing and preservation practices in our locality.

.

**Keywords:** food, processing, food handlers, Obio/Akpor

## **Introduction**

Food is the most essential of the three basic physiological needs of all human beings according to the Maslow's hierarchy of needs (1). Food is a necessary requirement for the sustenance of life. Six principles guide the food system (plant or animal) from production to consumption. These are that the food is safe, authentic and nutritive. Others are that the food should be produced by sustainable systems, the highest ethical standards are employed and need to have respect and consideration to our physical, biological and the social environment (2). In order to achieve all that have been outlined in the principles, wholesome food processing and preservation are central to these objectives.

According to Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) (3), the most basic level of food processing is preservation. In prehistoric ages, when the ancient man realized food was essential for his sustenance and survival, his earliest action was harnessing nature to preserve his food such that in temperate climate, the froze seal meat on the ice while those in the tropics dried food in the sun (4). He later worked out other basic methods such as fermenting, preserving with salt, roasting, smoking, steaming, and sand oven baking. Salt preservation was particularly common for foods that constituted diets that warriors and sailors depended on since they were usually apart from the society for long stretches of time. (5)

Primary food processing is aimed at making raw materials edible, such as milling wheat into flour, while secondary food processing turns the ingredients into familiar foods, such as wheat flour into bread, cake. Tertiary food processing is the commercial production of what is commonly called convenience or processed food. These are ready-to-eat or heat-and-serve foods, such as pasta, candy, soft drinks, canned products like soups and meat. (6)

Food processing has enormous benefits which include; preservation, removal of non- edible part, making marketing and distribution easier. In addition, processing increases yearly availability of many food items, enables transportation of delicate perishable foods across long distances and makes many kinds of foods safe to eat by delaying spoilage and deactivating pathogenic micro-organisms (7).

Any deviation from ethical practices during processing and preservation could produce unwholesome foods which pose serious concerns over the health and safety of the practitioners and consumers (8).

Unwholesome food processing and preservation among food handlers has been one of the basic causes of food borne diseases and infections (9). These unwholesome activities have been noted to transverse the whole supply chain of food industry from agricultural raw materials (plant and animal) to retailed street foods (10).The wholesomeness of food is an integral part of food safety and is the protection of food from microbial, chemical and physical hazards that may occur during all

stages of food production. Food safety thus gives the “assurance that food will not cause harm to the consumer when it is prepared or eaten and should meet the initial intention” (11).

Factors like globalization, rapid urbanization and population growth, increase in the working-class population, especially among women, have led to a significant increase in the growth of food service outlets and street foods. In order to meet up with demand, what is often noted is a resort to poor food handling, poor storage, unsanitary environment, poor personal hygiene practices, lack of good water supply and improper waste disposal. These are some of the identified factors associated with unwholesome food processing and preservation. (12,13)

According to some reports, unsafe food causes 600million cases of food borne disease and 420,000 deaths worldwide, resulting in the loss of 33 million healthy life years (DALYS) with the number said to be likely an under estimation. In Nigeria, Ezirigwe and colleagues reported that more than 200,000 persons die of food related illness leaving the cost of food borne disease in Nigeria at 3.6 billion dollars per annum. Hygiene of

food handlers, food processing methods, improper preservation practices are possible sources for food borne diseases. (14)

Obio/Akpor is not only the second richest local government in Nigeria but a very fast developing urban area, hence urbanization and its accompanying increase in demand for street foods will lead to an increase in unwholesome food processing and resultant food borne disease outbreaks. Against this background, it is imperative to identify factors affecting unwholesome food processing and preservation practices among food handlers in Obio-Akpor LGA of Rivers state.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Study design**

A descriptive cross sectional study was employed for this study.

### **Study Area**

The study was carried out in Obio/Akpor local Government Area of Rivers state. This LGA is in the metropolis of Port Harcourt, one of the major centers for economic activity in Nigeria. Obio/Akpor is one of the 8 local government areas that form the Rivers East senatorial district. It consists of 17 electoral wards administered by the Obio/Akpor Local Government Council. The indigenes are Ikwerres, but due to its urban

status, it also comprises of people from various tribes of Nigeria and other nationalities.

### **Study population**

The study population comprised 365 apparently healthy food handlers in Obio/Akpor LGA of Rivers state.

### **Sample size determination**

The sample size was determined using the formula for descriptive studies (15)

$$n = \frac{Z^2_{1-\alpha/2} P(1-P)}{d^2}$$

P=the prevalence of poor food safety practices in a study conducted in South-western Nigeria (9). A minimum sample size of 365 was calculated.

### **Sampling procedure**

Multistage sampling method was used in the selection of the wards for the study in the local government. A total of 4 wards were selected and two (2) communities were randomly selected from each of the 4 wards, making a total number of eight (8) communities selected for the study. Systematic sampling procedure was adopted in the recruitment of all food handlers from the nearest point till the minimum sample size of 46 was reached for each community.

### **Method of data collection**

## **Quantitative study**

A semi-structured questionnaire and an observational checklist were used to capture socio-demographic data, Food Processing/Preservation practices, methods used by respondents in compliance to the standards of serving food and other variables related to the study objectives.

## **Ethical Considerations**

Written ethical clearance was obtained from the University of Port Harcourt Research Ethics Committee (UNIPORT REC) with an approval number (UPH/CEREMAD/REC/MM68/022). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants after informing them of the purpose of the research.

## **Prevalence of Unwholesome Food Processing and preservation practice score**

In measuring prevalence of unwholesome food processing and preservation practice, twenty-six (26) responses on the questionnaire were scored, with (1) having wholesome food processing and preservation practices and (0) having unwholesome food processing and preservation. The scores were summed for the 365 respondents. Participants having wholesome food processing and preservation practices were scored (14-26) and those with unwholesome food processing and preservation practices were scored (0-13).

## **Data Analysis**

Data from the questionnaires were extracted, coded and entered into Microsoft excel version 2010 and imported into the Statistical Package for social sciences (SPSS) version 22 for data analysis. Categorical data was presented in frequencies and percentages, while continuous data was presented in means and standard deviations. Inferential Statistics was done using Chi-square ( $X^2$ ) test. A p-value  $\leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## Results

The age group 30-39 had the highest number of food handlers (150/365). Also, there were more females (74.52%). Christianity was the dominant religion of the handlers (92.05%). More food handlers were married individuals (59.45%). Also, most of the food handlers were secondary school leavers (46.03%). Most of the food handlers (79.18%) had been in the food handling business for less than 10 years. Small and medium scale businesses were practiced by the majority of the food handlers (25.75% and 61.37% respectively) whereas only about 12.88% had a large scale business.

**Table 1: Socio-demographic Characteristics of the respondents**

Variables	Frequency (n=365)	Percentage (%)
<b>Age</b>		
20-29	120	32.88



30-39	150	41.10
40-49	77	21.10
50-59	18	4.93
<b>Mean</b>	<b><i>34.6 ± 8.14 years</i></b>	
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	93	25.48
Female	272	74.52
<b>Tribe</b>		
Igbo	108	29.59
Ikwerre	72	19.73
Yoruba	54	14.79
Hausa	14	3.84
Others	117	32.05
<b>Marital Status</b>		
Married	217	59.45
Single	138	37.81
Widowed	5	1.37
Divorced	5	1.37
<b>Educational Level</b>		
None	17	4.66
Primary	32	8.77
Secondary	168	46.03
Tertiary	148	40.55
<b>Religion</b>		
Christianity	336	92.05
Islam	28	7.67
Others	1	0.27
Total		
<b>Duration of work as a food handler (years)</b>		
1-3	131	35.89
4-6	90	24.66
7-9	68	18.63
≥10	76	20.82

<b>Mean</b>	<b>6.12 ± 4.40</b>	
<b>Types of food handled (n=1548)</b> <i>(Multiple response)</i>		
Grains	330	21.32
Fried foods	323	20.87
Fruits & Vegetables	317	20.48
Meat/Fish	297	19.19
Beverages	281	18.15
<b>Type of business</b>		
Small Scale	94	25.75
Medium Scale	224	61.37
Large Scale	47	12.88
<b>Estimated daily turn over</b>		
≤10000	93	25.48
11000-20000	91	24.93
21000-30000	41	11.23
31000-40000	77	21.10
41000-50000	27	7.40
>50000	36	9.86
<b>Mean</b>	<b>34,731.51 ± 46,281.20</b>	

Table 2 below shows that majority of the food handlers undergo food safety training (72.60%), whereas only 43.01% has a set standard of practice guidelines. Thawing of foods below the room temperature was practiced always by 20.00% of the respondents. 68.22% wash their hands before handling food whereas only 45.21% always cook food as quickly as possible once it is taken out from the refrigerator. About 40.27% of food handlers prepare salad on request, 64.39% practices the reuse of cooking oil (always 23.84% and sometimes 40.55%)while

21.37% use dark coloured oil(always 10.96% and sometimes 10.41% ). The majority of the handlers use additives to make cooking faster and avoid spoilage during food processing (always 10.96% and sometimes 49.86%). Prevalence of Unwholesome Food Processing and preservation practices score revealed that 47.40 % of food handlers were associated with unwholesome food processing practices

**Table 2: Food Processing/Preservation practices of the respondents**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Frequency (n=365)</b>	<b>Percentage (%)</b>
<b>Have a set standard of practice guide</b>		
Yes	157	43.01
No	208	56.99
<b>Undergo regular food hygiene safety training</b>		
Yes	265	72.60
No	100	27.40
<b>How often do you buy food ingredients</b>		
Daily	112	30.68
Weekly	227	62.19
Monthly	26	7.13
<b>What you look out for during food purchase (n=845) (Multiple response)</b>		
Wholeness	330	39.05
Freshness	315	37.28
Quantity	200	23.67
<b>Thaw frozen food to a temperature below the room temperature</b>		
Always	73	20.00
Sometimes	189	51.78

Never	103	28.22
<b>Clean and sanitized work area, cutting boards, knives and utensils</b>		
Always	284	77.80
Sometimes	77	21.10
Never	4	1.10
<b>Wash your hands before handling food</b>		
Always	249	68.22
Sometimes	116	31.78
<b>Cook food as quickly as possible once it is taken out from the refrigerator</b>		
Always	165	45.21
Sometimes	184	50.41
Never	16	4.38
<b>Cook food within the required time and at the recommended temperature</b>		
Always	124	33.97
Sometimes	234	64.11
Never	7	1.92
<b>Covering of already prepared food</b>		
Always	281	76.99
Sometimes	69	18.90
Never	15	4.11
<b>Prevalence of Unwholesome Food Processing and preservation practices score</b>		
Present (0-13)	173	47.40
Absent (14-26)	192	52.60

Table 3 revealed that More than half (63.56%) of the respondents always reheat as well as serve food at the right temperature. Less than half (38.91%) sometimes use kitchen utensil for tasting while only 23.01%

always minimizes bare hand contact with already cooked food. Majority (69.04%) of respondents practice good personal hygiene (bathing before going to work, keep fingers short and clean).

**Table 3: Adherence to the standards of serving food**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>F(n=365)</b>	<b>Percentage (%)</b>
<b>Serving food at the right temperature (Reheating)</b>		
Always	232	63.56
Sometimes	129	35.34
Never	4	1.10
<b>Change to clothing known to food service</b>		
Always	161	44.11
Sometimes	183	50.14
Never	21	5.75
<b>Use of kitchen utensil for tasting</b>		
Always	101	27.67
Sometimes	142	38.91
Never	122	33.42
<b>Minimizing bare hand contact with already cooked food.</b>		
Always	84	23.01
Sometimes	51	13.97
Never	230	63.01
<b>Use of scoops and tongs</b>		
Always	101	27.67
Sometimes	54	14.79
Never	210	57.53
<b>Practicing good personal hygiene. Bathing before going to work, fingers kept short and clean</b>		
Always	252	69.04

Sometimes	38	10.41
Never	75	20.55

Table 4 shows that no statistically significant association was observed between age, sex, marital status, educational status, income of the respondents and unwholesome food processing and preservation practices ( $p > 0.05$ ). Statistically significant association was observed between the duration of work as a food handler and unwholesome food processing and preservation practices, as respondents with 5 years or less work experience had a statistically significantly higher proportion for having unwholesome food processing and preservation practices than those that have worked for greater than 5 years (63.58% vs. 36.42%;  $p = 0.04$ ). Similar observation was also recorded between scale of business and unwholesome food processing and preservation practices, as respondents who have either small or medium scale businesses had a statistically significantly higher proportion for having unwholesome food processing and preservation practices than those with large scale businesses (58.38% smallscale, 31.21% medium and 10.40% large).

**Table 4: Association between Socio-demographic characteristics, and Unwholesome Food Processing and preservation practices**

Variables	Unwholesome Food Processing and preservation practices	Total	Df	$\chi^2$ (p-value)
-----------	--	-------	----	-----------------------

	Present	Absent	Total	Df	$\chi^2(p\text{-value})$
Variables	F (%)n=173	F (%)n=192	Total	Df	$\chi^2(p\text{-value})$
<b>Age</b>					
>30	99 (57.23)	127 (66.15)	226 (61.92)	1	2.70 (0.100)
≤30	74 (42.77)	65 (33.85)	139 (38.08)		
<b>Sex</b>					
Male	45 (26.01)	48 (25.0)	93 (25.48)	1	0.01 (0.919)
Female	128 (73.99)	144 (75.0)	272 (74.52)		
<b>Marital Status</b>					
Married (in union)	99 (57.23)	118 (61.46)	217 (59.45)	1	0.512 (0.474)
Single (not in union)	74 (42.77)	74 (38.54)	148 (40.55)		
<b>Educational Status</b>					
≤Secondary	110 (63.58)	107 (55.73)	217 (59.45)	1	2.01 (0.156)
Tertiary	63 (36.42)	85 (44.27)	148 (40.55)		
<b>Daily turnover (₦)</b>					
≤30000	109 (63.01)	116 (60.42)	225 (61.64)	1	0.16 (0.612)
>30000	64 (36.99)	76 (39.58)	140 (38.36)		
<b>Duration of work as a food handler (years)</b>					
≤5	110 (63.58)	101 (52.60)	211 (57.81)	1	4.05

					(0.04)*
> 5	63 (36.42)	91 (47.40)	154 (42.19)		
<b>Scale of business</b>					
Small Scale	54 (31.21)	40 (20.83)	94 (25.75)	1	5.85 (0.05)*
Medium Scale	101 (58.38)	123 (54.91)	224 (61.37)		
Large Scale	18 (10.40)	29 (15.10)	47 (12.88)		

Table 5- showed the food storage practices of the respondents. Only 19.5% of the respondents always take food out of the original package and store in tightly covered containers which is protective and easy to clean. About 17.26% always keep chemicals away from food items while 30.98% of the food handlers allow food thaw on table tops. The majority use insecticides (33.41%) to get rid of pests as well as other methods whereas cleaning up was the least method mentioned for getting rid of pests (2.07%).

**Table 5: Food Storage technique practiced by the respondents**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>Frequency (n=365)</b>	<b>Percentage (%)</b>
<b>Take food out of the original package store in tightly covered containers which is protective and easy to clean</b>		
Always	71	19.51
Sometimes	178	48.90
Never	115	31.59



<b>Store chemical products away from food items</b>		
Always	63	17.26
Sometimes	60	16.44
Never	242	66.30
<b>Store foods at the right temperature.</b>		
Always	257	70.41
Sometimes	88	24.11
Never	20	5.48
<b>How you thaw foods brought out of the freezer (n=920)</b> <i>(Multiple response)</i>		
Running water	289	31.41
Left on the surface to defrost	285	30.98
In the refrigerator	191	20.76
Microwave	155	16.85
<b>Where prepared food is kept (n=600)</b> <i>(Multiple response)</i>		
Food warmer	232	38.67
In a plastic container	152	25.33
In the freezer	108	18.00
Left in the pot	108	18.00
<b>Method used to get rid of pest (n=434)</b> <i>(Multiple response)</i>		
Chemical spray	16	3.69
Cleaning up	9	2.07
Camphor	29	6.68
Fumigation	17	3.92
Insecticides	145	33.41
Pest Control/Pesticides	85	19.59
Rat gum/Poison	65	14.98
Sniper	68	15.67

## **Discussion**

The socio-demographic characteristics, showed that majority of the food handlers were within the age 40years and below, signifying that food handling is essentially a business ran majorly by the youth. Females were the predominant food handlers in food handling business in the study area which is in accordance with the prevailing standards and trend that cooking is a feminine business. These compares well with a study by Ituma *et al.* (16) on the food hygiene knowledge, practice and safety training intervention among food handlers in Abakiliki Nigeria, where 76.5% of the food handlers were female, but disagreed with Kasturwar and Shafee (17) who reported that 62.7% of food handlers in a study at a private medical college were males. This difference might be due to different cultural settings where males work more than females. The majority of the food handlers practiced small and medium scale businesses with only about less than ten percent earning a daily turnover of fifty thousand naira (NGN50,000) and above. This is consistent with the study carried out among food handlers in Ijebu Ode, South-West Nigeria by Adebukola *et al.* (9) In their report, 84.50% of the food handlers had turnover below thirty thousand naira (NGN30,000).

## **Food handling practices**

Unwholesome food handling practices have been identified as the leading cause of food borne diseases with food handlers as the culprit

(18). In this present study, nearly half of the food handlers had unwholesome food processing practice. This study is similar to the report of Azanaw *et al.*(19) Galgamuwa *et al.* (20), Adebukola *et al.*, (9) Anuradha &Dandekar (21) who reported about 49.0%, 40.50%, 31.50%, and 43.8% respectively for poor food safety practices. Likewise, Otu and colleagues(22) recorded a prevalence of 23.00%. On the contrary, Fasoro *et al.*, (23), Legesse *et al.*(24) and Dersoet *al.*, (25) noted a good food handling prevalence of 80.60%, 67.4% and 67.6% respectively in their own studies. These variations in prevalence rates might be due to differences in study locations, vision and mission of outlets and scale of food business. The prevalence level (47.40%) from the current study suggests that more efforts still need to be put in place to ensure better practices among food handlers. In the current study, despite72.60% of food handlers undergoing regular food safety training, only43.01% had a set standard of practice guideline. This simply means that knowledge does not necessarily translate into adequate good food handling practice (26,9,27,28). Findings from the study showed that only 20% of food handlers always thaw their food at a temperature below the room temperature whereas 80% leave the food to thaw on the surfaces. Studies had shown incorrect food thawing practices demonstrated by both consumers and food handlers (28,29, 30). Over reuse of cooking oil exposes unsaturated fatty acids in the oil to thermal oxidation andthis increases the amount of trans fatty acids in the oil (31,32) which had

been shown to be detrimental to the health of the consumers. Findings in this study reported that 64.39% of food handlers reuse cooking oil, with 21.37% using the oil even when it is dark in color. More than half of the respondents made use of chemical as food additives that included potash, drugs, sodas and detergent, sugar to cook food faster, avoid spoilage during processing and improve palatability. Some of these additives pose a major risk to the consumers as a study conducted in Abakiliki, Nigeria by Ibiam *et al.*(33), on 'Effect of Additives on fatty Acid profile in cooked Eggs', showed a reduction in the nutritional quality and thus should be discouraged, while a study by Iweka(34), revealed that potash altered the liver function as well as physical activity of the Wister Rats. Thus its consumption should be restricted and better sources of potassium like Banana should be consumed. Inetianbor *et al.*, (35) advocated consumption of foods in their fresh natural state to avoid health consequences of food additives. We observed that 43.50% of the food outlets had open doors and windows. These encourage the activities of vectors and rodents - birds, flies, cockroaches, rats/mice and ants. They carry micro-organisms and deposit on food. Control of Pests, disease vectors and rodents is crucial anywhere food is handled (36). About 40.23% had no portable water and as such relied on water vendors or use of buckets and gallons to fetch and store water. The absence of portable running water explains reason the 41.37% of the food handlers in our study practice hand washing in bowls. Lack of toilet

facilities is said to be one of the obstacles to food safety in Nigeria (37). From the current study, 42.25% of food handling outlets had no toilet facility for customers, thus leading to passage of waste around the surroundings which in turn attracts presence of insects and rodents. The study recorded a high percentage of food handlers keeping chemical substances with food that can result in cross-contamination against instructions by FAO/WHO food handlers guide (36), likewise, it was reported that some of the handlers used chemicals to quicken food ripening (32.33%), use of chemicals to prevent pests on stored food products (30.96%) and use of chemicals to hasten food fermentation and cooking (40.55%). Studies had revealed that these chemicals can be detrimental to health as it causes various health hazards like headache, dizziness, mood disturbances, mental confusion, seizure and even cancer(38,39,40).

### **Factors affecting unwholesome food processing and preservation practices**

The study showed no statistically significant association between socio-demographic characteristics and unwholesome food processing and preservation ( $p > 0.05$ ). The result of the study was related to the study by Okojie(41) and Ncube *et al.*(42)which recorded no statistical association between sex, educational status and hygiene practices, among food handlers ( $p = 0.624$  and  $0.362$  respectively). Ituma *et al.* (16) also recorded no significant difference in the food safety practices of food

handlers based on the level of education. These findings showed that unwholesome food processing practice was not determined by the sex, age, or educational status of the food handlers. Whereas a study by Adebukola *et al.*, (9) in another part of Nigeria, showed a significant relationship between educational qualification and the practices of food handlers ( $p=0.0011$ ) likewise, Faremi *et al.*, (30), attributed the high level of good practice of food handlers to their high educational attainment.

Afoloranmi *et al.*, (43) found the age of vendors to be related to their food safety and hygiene practices. There was a significant association between the duration of work as a food handler and unwholesome food processing practice as practitioners that have worked for more than five years tended to have better practices. This finding was in tandem with the saying that “practice makes perfect”. These findings corroborate the findings by Nee and Sani (44), they reported a statistically significant relationship between food handlers’ food safety practices and their duration of work experience. On the contrary, Ncube *et al.*(42) and Abdul-Mutalib *et al.*(29) reported a non-significant correlation ( $p>0.05$ ) between food handling work experience and all other variables relating to food handling. The presence of a low level of working experience as food handlers may increase the risk of food contamination as well as wrong food handling practices. The current study also showed a statistically significance association between scale of business and

unwholesome food processing and preservation practices, as small and medium scale businesses had an statistically significant association with unwholesome preservation practices. They were associated with unwholesome food practices. This can be attributed to the possibility of larger food outlets (large scale businesses) having more resources capabilities and knowledge to provide more standard operation and training for their employees. The current study tallies with a study by Mamoun *et al.* (45), which showed the highest food handling practices were among large scale businesses. On the contrary Adebukola *et al.* (9), recorded no significant relationship between the scale of business and food safety practices ( $p=0.654$ ). Azanaw *et al.* (19), reported food safety training, marital status, supervision by health professionals and knowledge as statistically associated variables with food safety practices. Their study agrees with the current study as the majority of the food handlers in their study had regular food safety training, but still exhibit other some practices. This may be attributed to irregular supervision by health professionals found in the present study. Regular supervision by health professionals can awaken safety consciousness among food handlers, as no one would want to be caught unawares.

## **Conclusion**

Unwholesome food processing practices exist among food handlers with nearly half of the food handlers studied having poor practices.

Inadequate working experience and the presence of more small and medium scale businesses was found to be the factors affecting unwholesome food processing and preservation practices in Obio-Akpor. There is need therefore for continuous training of food handlers on standard practices. Regulatory units should also increase the monitoring, supervisory and enforcement activities.

## References

1. Aruma EO, Hanachor ME. Abraham Maslow's hierarchy of needs and assessment of needs in community development. *International Journal of Development and Economic Sustainability*. 2017 Dec;5(7):15-27.
2. FAO. Building a common vision for sustainable food and agriculture: principles and approaches. 2014.
3. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Assuring food safety and quality: Guidelines for strengthening national food control systems. FAO; 2003.
4. Baguma EK. Bridging the Food Gap: Addressing the feasibility and applicability of three key traditionally Western food preservation techniques to improving household food security and reducing malnutrition in Uganda. 2014.
5. Nummer, BA. "Curing and Smoking Meats for Home Food Preservation". National Center for Home Food Preservation; 2015.
6. Hitzmann B, Ahmad MH, editors. Measurement, modeling and automation in advanced food processing. Cham: Springer; 2017 Aug 11.



7. Ionescu G, editor. Sustainable Food and Beverage Industries: Assessments and Methodologies. CRC Press; 2016 May 25.
8. Muyanja C, Nayiga L, Brenda N, Nasinyama G. Practices, knowledge and risk factors of street food vendors in Uganda. Food control. 2011 Oct 1;22(10):1551-8.
9. Adebukola OC, Opeyemi AO, Ayodeji AI. Knowledge of food borne infection and food safety practices among local food handlers in Ijebu-Ode Local Government Area of Ogun State. Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology. 2015 Sep 30;7(9):268-73.
10. Alimi BA. Risk factors in street food practices in developing countries: A review. Food science and human wellness. 2016 Sep 1;5(3):141-8.
11. AshUçar, Mustafa Volkan Yilmaz and FundaPınarÇakıroğlu. Food Safety-Problems and Solutions. 2016; DOI: 10.5772/63176.
12. Langiano E, Ferrara M, Lanni L, Viscardi V, Abbatecola AM, De Vito E. Food safety at home: knowledge and practices of consumers. Journal of public Health. 2012 Feb;20(1):47-57.
13. Campos J, Gil J, Mourão J, Peixe L, Antunes P. Ready-to-eat street-vended food as a potential vehicle of bacterial pathogens and antimicrobial resistance: an exploratory study in Porto region, Portugal. International journal of food microbiology. 2015 Aug 3;206:1-6.
14. Ezirigwe J. Much ado about food safety regulation in Nigeria. Journal of Sustainable Development Law and Policy (The). 2018;9(1):109-32.
15. Araoye MO. Sampling Techniques. Research Methodology with Statistics. Ilorin, Nigeria: University Press; 2008. p. 68-91.
16. Ituma BI, Akpa CO, Iyare O. Food hygiene knowledge, practice and safety training intervention among food handlers in Abakaliki, Nigeria. Asian J. Med. Health. 2017;7:1-7.
17. Kasturwar NB, Shafee M. Knowledge, practices and prevalence of MRSA among food handlers. Int J Biol Med Res. 2011;2(4):889-94.

18. Elechi CE, Gladys A. Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Food Hygiene among Food Handlers in Port Harcourt Local Government Area of Rivers State. 2018.
19. Azanaw J, Gebrehiwot M, Dagne H. Factors associated with food safety practices among food handlers: facility-based cross-sectional study. BMC research notes. 2019 Dec;12(1):1-6.
20. Galgamuwa LS, Iddawela D, Dharmaratne SD. Knowledge and practices of food hygiene among food handlers in plantation sector, Sri Lanka. International Journal of Scientific Reports. 2016 Dec;2(12):304-11.
21. Anuradha M, Dandekar RH. Knowledge, attitude and practice among food handlers on food borne diseases: a hospital based study in tertiary care hospital. International Journal of Biomedical and Advance Research. 2014;5(4):196.
22. Otu SS. Food Hygiene Practices Among Food Handlers in Ahmadu Bello University (ABU), Zaria. 2014.
23. Fasoro, A. A., Faeji, C. O., Oni, O. I., & Oluwadare, T. Assessment of food safety practices in a rural community in Southwest Nigeria. Food and Public Health. 2016;6(3), 1-6.
24. Legesse D, Tilahun M, Agedew E, Haftu D. Food handling practices and associated factors among food handlers in arba minch town public food establishments in Gamo Gofa Zone, Southern Ethiopia. Epidemiology (Sunnyvale). 2017;7(302):2161-1165.
25. Derso T, Tariku A, Ambaw F, Alemenhew M, Biks GA, Nega A. Socio-demographic factors and availability of piped fountains affect food hygiene practice of food handlers in Bahir Dar Town, northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Research Notes. 2017 Dec;10(1):1-7.
26. Ifeadike CO, Ironkwe OC, Adogu PO, Nnebue CC. Assessment of the food hygiene practices of food handlers in the Federal Capital Territory of Nigeria. Tropical journal of medical research. 2014 Jan 1;17(1):10.

27. Hassan HF, Dimassi H. Food safety and handling knowledge and practices of Lebanese university students. *Food control*. 2014 Jun 1;40:127-33.
28. Ergönül B. Consumer awareness and perception to food safety: A consumer analysis. *Food control*. 2013 Aug 1;32(2):461-71.
29. Abdul-Mutalib NA, Abdul-Rashid MF, Mustafa S, Amin-Nordin S, Hamat RA, Osman M. Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding food hygiene and sanitation of food handlers in Kuala Pilah, Malaysia. *Food control*. 2012 Oct 1;27(2):289-93.
30. Faremi FA, Olatubi MI, Nnabuife GC. Food safety and hygiene practices among food vendors in a Tertiary Educational Institution in South Western Nigeria. *Eur J Nutr Food Saf*. 2018;8(2):59-70.
31. Çağlar A, Duman E, Özcan MM. Effects on edibility of reused frying oils in the catering industry. *International Journal of Food Properties*. 2012 Jan 1;15(1):69-80.
32. Deshmukh RK. The Effect of Repeatedly Cooking Oils Effects on Health and Wealth of A Country: A Short Communication. *JFood Process Technol*. 2019;10(80).
33. Ibiam UA, Ezeani N, Ugwuja EI, Afiukwa CA, Aja PM, Okechukwu PU. Cooking Eggs with Chemicals Lowers its Fat-Soluble Vitamins, Proteins, Fats and Cholesterol Contents. 2015.
34. Iweka FK, Dic-Ijiewere OE, Oaikhena F, Bankole JK, Festus OO, Dada FL. The effect of potash on liver function of wistar rats. *International Journal of Basic, Applied and Innovative Research*. 2016;5(1):13-20.
35. Inetianbor JE, Yakubu JM, Ezeonu SC. Effects of food additives and preservatives on man-a review. *Asian Journal of Science and Technology*. 2015;6(2):1118-35.
36. PAHO F. Food Handlers Manual. Washington, DC: PAHO. 2017.
37. Onyencho SN, Hedberg CW. An assessment of food safety needs of restaurants in Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2013 Aug;10(8):3296-309.

38. Asif M. Physico-chemical properties and toxic effect of fruit-ripening agent calcium carbide. *Annals of tropical medicine and public health*. 2012 May 1;5(3):150.
39. Grewal AS. Pesticide Res-idues in Food Grains, Vegetables and Fruits: A Hazard to Human Health.(2017) *J Med Chem Toxicol* 2 (1): 1-7. *J Med Chem Toxicol*. 2017;2(1).
40. Ibiam, A., Abara, N., Udebuani, A., &Ezea, O. Evaluation of Detergent Residue Concentrated in Food Processed with Detergent. *European Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2016; 8 (5).
41. Okojie PW, Isah EC. Sanitary conditions of food vending sites and food handling practices of street food vendors in Benin City, Nigeria: implication for food hygiene and safety. *Journal of environmental and public health*. 2014 Mar;2014.
42. Ncube F, Kanda A, Chijokwe M, Mabaya G, Nyamugure T. Food safety knowledge, attitudes and practices of restaurant food handlers in a lower-middle-income country. *Food science & nutrition*. 2020 Mar;8(3):1677-87.
43. Afolaranmi TO, Hassan ZI, Bello DA, Misari Z. Knowledge and practice of food safety and hygiene among food vendors in primary schools in Jos, Plateau State, North Central Nigeria. *J Med Res*. 2015 May;4(2):016-22.
44. Nee SO, Sani NA. Assessment of knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) among food handlers at residential colleges and canteen regarding food safety. *Sains Malaysiana*. 2011 Apr 1;40(4):403-10.
45. Ma'moun A, Al-Shakhsheer F, Al-Ababneh MM. Restaurant employees' food handling practices in Irbid City, Jordan. *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Management*. 2017 Jun;5(1):81-9.