Original Research Article

Screening of yeasts other than Saccharomyces for amino acid decarboxylation

Abstract

This study is aimed at screening non-Saccharomyces for amino acids decarboxylation potentials. The yeasts were isolated from banana fruit and honey purchased from markets in RiversState. The isolation and molecular identification of yeast isolates wereaccording to standard microbiological procedures.A plate assay method for amino acid decarboxylation (biogenic amine production)screening was used.WildNon-Saccharomyces yeast (NSY) were identified as *Candida tropicalis*Pe 1 (B7), *Candida tropicalis*WC65-1 (B10), *Candida tropicalis*WC57 (H4), *Clavispora lusitaniae*WM03(H7),anda Commercial Wine yeast (CY) identified as *Candida tropicalis*zhuan4 (CY). The NSYs and CY were biogenic amine-producers, from L-histidine and glutamic acid; strain variability from glycine, proline, glutamine, and asparagine decarboxylation; while L-arginine, lysine, tyrosine, cysteine, leucine, and phenylalanine were not decarboxylated at concentration of 0.1 %.The increase in amino acid concentration influenced the number of amino acids decarboxylated - phenylalanine and leucine; L-histidine, glycine, asparagine and glutamic acid were decarboxylated by wild NSY and CY, while the strain variability of phenylalanine, proline, leucine and glutamic acid decarboxylation. The amino acids L-arginine, lysine, tyrosine, and cysteine were not decarboxylated.In terms of the concentration ofamino acids, L-histidine and glutamic acid were decarboxylated and arginine, lysine, tyrosine, and cysteine were not decarboxylated by wild NSY isolates and CY.The chi square test and Kendall's test of concordance suggests that there is no association between the amino acid concentrations (0.1 and 1 %) and biogenic amine production (P value > 0.05). The wild NSY and CY are biogenic amine producers,and the increase in amino acid concentration influences biogenic amine production with reference to some amino acids.

Keywords: *Non-Saccharomyces, Amino acids decarboxylation, Biogenic amine, Candida tropicalis*

Introduction

Non-Saccharomyces are ascomycetous yeasts like *Candida*, *Kloeckera*, *Debaryomyces*, *Hanseniaspora*, *Hansenula*, *Pichia*, *Metschnikowia*, *Schizosaccharomyces*, *Saccharomycodes*,*Torulaspora*,*Issatchenkia*,*Metschnikowia*, *Kluyveromyces*and*Rhodotorula* that expresses enzymes of technological importanceormycotoxins(Anfang *et al*., 2009; Bely *et al*., 2008; Schuller and Casal, 2005; van Breda *et al*., 2013; Viana *et al*., 2008), which are involved in the fermentation of most indigenous foodsand alcoholic beverages (Alabere et al., 2020; Azzolini et al., 2012; Ciani et al., 2016; Comitini et al., 2011; Contreras et al., 2015; Garcia-Fuentes et al., 2015; Johnson, 2013; Padilla et al., 2016; C. Wang et al., 2016). Presently, non-Saccharomyces generalike*Kloeckera*sp., *Candida*sp.,*Torulaspora delbrueckii*,*Pichia*sp,*Metschnikowia*sp.,and *Hanseniaspora*sp. have been employed by biotechnologist in the production of fermented foods, and beverages (Escalante, 2019; Vicente *et al*., 2021; Zhang *et al*., 2018) due to low concentrations of glycerol, acetaldehyde, acetic acid and ethyl acetateproduction (Ivit & Kemp, 2018).

Biogenic amines (BAs) are low molecular weight non-volatile nitrogenous organic bases compoundspossessing biological activity formed bymicroorganisms (bacteria and fungi) through decarboxylation (the elimination of thecarboxyl group with the formation of corresponding products of amine and carbon (iv) oxide) from free aminoacid in food by decarboxylases,(Akinwande and Jimoh, 2020; Arena and Manca de Nadra, 2001b; Valsamaki *et al*., 2000; Y. Wang *et al*., 2019)and they are used as an indicator of toxified foodstuff (Moreno-Arribas *et al*., 2003; Triki *et al*., 2018), because if ingested at high concentration induces foodborneintoxications, causing undesirable physiological effects insensitive humans(Landete et al., 2007), as they are associated with products that involves a ripening or fermentation (Yeğin and Üren, 2008).

Free Amino Acids (FAAs)could either bethe essential or non-essentialaminoacids,which are not part of proteinsorpeptides: threonine, glutamic acid, glycine, alanine, b-alanine,tryptophan, 1-methylhistidine, proline, arginine, glycine, alanine, histidine,glutamicacid,and anserine(Ruiz-Capillas and Moral, 2001). This kind and amount of free amino acids impacts the organolepticproperties of fermented food and biogenic amines precursor.Thus, biogenic amines areassociated with variety of raw, processed,and fermented foods, rich in protein (FAAs), decarboxylated by microorganisms(Arrieta and Prats-Moya, 2012; Diana *et al*., 2014; Ezzat *et al*., 2015; Garai-Ibabe *et al*., 2013), and the decarboxylation of amino acid into corresponding biogenic amine is strain dependent(Beneduce *et al*., 2010a; Coton *et al*., 2010; Latorre-Moratalla *et al*., 2014; Poveda, 2019; Ruiz-Capillas and Herrero, 2019; Y. Wang *et al*., 2019).
It had been reported of biogenic amine producing LAB such asLeuconostocstrains anintensive tyramine former, and *Latobacillusbuchneri* associated with putrescineformation, but *Oenococcusoeni* strains and some commercial starter bacteria have been identified as non-producer of histamine, tyramine and putrescine(Moreno-Arribas *et al*., 2003).*Lactobacillus*, *Leuconostoc*,and *Pediococcus*,*Pediococcus*, *Oenococcusoeni* isolated during fermentation producedbiogenic amine (Barbieri *et al*., 2019; Guerrini *et al*., 2002),*Enterococcus sp*. and coliformbacteria isolated from Dutch-type semi-hard cheeseexpressed functional genes for biogenic amine (Burdychova and Komprda, 2007) and*Enterobacter aerogenes*also produced largeamounts of BA in fish (Kim *et al*., 2009), while*Clostridium*and *Pseudomonas* strains intraditional soybean pastesare BA producers (Moon *et al*., 2010).
The strains of yeast species, *Kloeckera apiculata*,*Brettanomyces bruxellensis*,*Metschnikowia pulcherrima*, Candida stellata,and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have been reported to exhibit amino acid decarboxylation (Caruso *et al*., 2002). *Trichosporon asahii*, *Rhodotorulamucilaginosa*, *Candida rugosa*,*Yarrowia lipolytica*, *Pichia jadinii* and *Debaryomyces hansenii*and the genera Candida havebeen reportedto produce different BA in different environment (Iucci *et al*., 2007). This study is aimed at screening of non-Saccharomyces for amino acid decarboxylation potential, using twelve amino acids for the screening exercise

Materials and methods.
Collection of Samples

Yeast isolates were obtained from Wild honey and wholesome ripe banana fruit. The wild honey was purchased from Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria, while, wholesome ripe banana fruit was bought from Fruit Garden Market, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. The samples were transferred to Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Microbiology of Rivers State University. The ripe banana was washed with clean water to remove dirt after which it was peeled for further analysis andCommercial wine yeast (CY) GV1 imported from the US.

Isolation of Yeasts from Samples

Ten grams (10g) of the ripe banana fruit and 10ml of the wild honey was transferred aseptically into 250ml conical flasks containing 90ml sterile peptone broth. Both broths were incubated for 24-48 hours at 30 ℃ for further analysis. Aliquot (0.1ml) of the broth was transferred into prepared Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose (YEPD)agarplates (1% yeast extract, 2%peptone, 2% dextrose) supplemented with chloramphenicol (0.003g/L) and was spread evenly using a sterile bent glass rod. Plates were incubated at 30 ℃ for 48 hours (Hong and Park, 2013). After incubation, plates were observed for growth and were sub-cultured on YEPDA plates. The morphology of the yeasts was confirmed through macroscopic (appearance on YEPDA plates) and microscopically by viewing under the light microscope at X100 magnification (Ali and Latif, 2016) after staining. Both the wild yeast strains and the commercial wine yeasts were further identified molecularly (PCR and sequencing of the ribosomal ITS region). The commercial wine (CY) yeast GV1 was used as a reference to the isolated wild yeasts.

Molecular Characterization

The molecular characterization was carried out in the Bioinformatics Service Laboratory, Ibadan, Nigeria. The CTAB method as described by (Ali and Latif, 2016) was used in extracting DNA from yeast strains. In this method, 24 hours yeast cultures in YEPD broth were centrifuged at maximum speed. About 10mg of yeast cells for each strain were taken and pre-warmed in 200 µl of solution I at 65°C containing 1.4M NaCl, 2% CTAB, 20mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 0.2% β-mercaptoethanol and 100mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) was introduced, mixed well, and incubated at 65°C for 15-20 minutes in the water bath. After incubation, all tubes were cooled for 3-5 minutes and the same volume of solution II (Chloroform: Isoamyl alcohol, 24:1) was added, mixed thoroughly, and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 minutes at room temperature. The aqueous phase (upper) was taken from each Eppendorf separately and 3M Na acetate (1/10) was introduced in each Eppendorf along with an equal volume of cold isopropanol or double volume of cold absolute ethanol, mixed it gently, and placed on ice for 10 minutes. All tubes after incubation were centrifuged at 12000 rpm at 4°C for 15 minutes and the supernatant was disposed. About 500µl of chilled 70% ethanol (solution III) was added directly for washing pellet and then centrifuged at 14000 at 4°C for 2 minutes. The pellet was air-dried after discarding supernatant from each tube. The pellet was resuspended in 50µl double deionized water or TE-buffer and stored at −20°C. The yield of DNA was quantified by Spectrophotometer. The ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer region: ITS1 (GTAGGTGAACCTGCGG) and ITS4 (TCC GCTTATTGATATGC) was used to amplify the DNA (Oliveira *et al*., 2008). The reaction mixture contained 100ng DNA, 5µl of 10pmol each oligonucleotide primer, 3µl of 25mM MgCl2, 3µl of 250mM dNTPs mixture, and Taq DNA polymerase (5units) in a total volume of 50 µl. PCR conditions were as follows: 3 min. at 94 °C followed by 35 cycles (45 sec at 94°C, 45 sec. at 55°C (annealing temperature), 1 min. at 72°C, and final extension for 7 min. at 72°C. The amplified product

was determined by running on 0.8% agarose gel and visualized using a UV illuminator and photographed. More so, PCR products of the partially amplified-ITS region were subjected to restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) for two restriction endonucleases TaqI and HaeIII. The reaction mixture contained 3.0 µl of 1X buffer (R-buffer for BsuRI (HaeIII) and unique-buffer for TaqI), 15.0 µl PCR products (approximately 1.0 µg), 1µl of specific endonuclease, and 11µl of deionized water with a total volume of 30µl. The reaction mixtures were incubated at their specific temperatures as recommended by the manufacturer's instructions (Fermentas) The restriction fragments were separated along with a DNA 100bp ladder on 1.5% w/v agarose gel and photographed after visualization under UV light. Finally, 2.5µl of the purified PCR products were sequenced using the Applied Biosystems ABI PRISMTM 3100 DNA sequence Analyzers with the BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit and protocols (Shittu *et al*., 2016). The obtained DNA sequence was blasted on the NCBI gene bank to confirm the identities of the various yeasts.

Amino acids decarboxylation assay

Screening of yeast isolatesfor amino aciddecarboxylation potential was carried out by a plate assay method. The amino acids L- histidine, tyrosine,phenylalanine, glutamine, lysine, leucine,glycine,cystine,proline,asparagine,glutamic acid,and L- arginine were utilized.Briefly, ten microliters of a saturated yeast culture wereapplied onto Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose (YEPD) agar plates, containing 0.1 % and 1% (w/v) of eachchosen amino acids and 0.006% (w/v) bromocresol. After incubationat ambient for 5-7 days, the plates were analysed for the presence/absenceof a purple around the yeast colony: amino aciddecarboxylation was considered positive when there a purple colour surrounding the yeast colony(Tristezza *et al*., 2013) modified.Commercial wine yeast (CY) GV1 was concerned as a yardstick to judge the wild yeast isolates.

Results

The four wild Non-Saccharomyces Yeast (NSY) isolatesisolated from banana fruit (B7 and B10), wild honey(H4 and H7) and one Commercial Wine Yeast GV1 (CY) were identified as *Candida tropicalis*Pe 1(B7), *Candida tropicalis*WC65-1(B10), *Candida tropicalis*WC57(H4), *Clavispora lusitaniae*WM03(H7) and *Candida tropicalis*zhuan4(CY).

The results of biogenic amine productionpotential of NSY isolates onplate agar containing 0.1 % of amino acidsare demonstrated in table 1., asbiogenic amine positive agar plates turn purple and negative agar plates retain the initial pink colour. All the NSYs and CY were biogenic amine-producers, from L-histidine and glutamic acid; strain variability was shown in biogenic amine production from glycine, proline, glutamine, and asparagine, while L-arginine, lysine, tyrosine, cysteine, leucine, and phenylalanine were not decarboxylated.

Down the table of table 1, *Candida tropicalis*Pe 1 (B7)synthesized biogenic amine from L-histidine, glycine, proline, glutamine, and glutamic acid; *Candida tropicalis*WC65-1 (B10) from L-histidine, glycine, glutamine, asparagine, and glutamic acid; *Candida tropicalis*WC57 (H4) from L-histidine, glycine,proline, asparagine, and glutamic acid; *Clavispora lusitaniae*WM03 (H7) from L-histidine and glutamic acid;and *Candida tropicalis*zhuan4 (CY) from L-histidine, glutamine, asparagine, and glutamic acid.

Table 1. Pattern of biogenic amine production by NSY isolates from0.1% of amino acid.

Amino acids	B7	B10	H4	H7	CY
L - Arginine	-	-	-	-	-
L - Histidine	+	+	+	+	+
Glycine	+	+	+	-	-
Lysine	-	-	-	-	-
Tyrosine	-	-	-	-	-
Cysteine	-	-	-	-	-
Phenylalanine	-	-	-	-	-
Proline	+	-	+	-	-
Leucine	-	-	-	-	-
Glutamine	+	+	-	-	+
Asparagine	-	+	+	-	+
Glutamic acid	+	+	+	+	+

+ = biogenic amine production; **-** = no biogenic amine production.



Fig a. Biogenic amine negative plate Czapek Dox Agar culture.

Fig b. Biogenic amine positive plate Czapek Dox Agar culture.

The results of biogenic amine production potential of wild NSY isolates and CY with increase in amino acid concentration, from 0.1 - 1 (%) are demonstrated in table 2., which reveal increase in the number of amino acids decarboxylated by each of the yeasts.It was shown that phenylalanine and leucine were included among the decarboxylated amino

acids;L-histidine, glycine, asparagine, and glutamic acid were decarboxylated by both wild NSY and CY,while strain variability was shown in biogenic amine production fromphenylalanine, proline, leucine, and glutamic acid.Amino acids L-arginine, lysine, tyrosine, and cysteine were not decarboxylated by NSY isolates and CY.

Table2 shows that*Candida tropicalis*Pe 1 (B7)and *Candida tropicalis*WC65-1 (B10)decarboxylated L-histidine, glycine, proline, leucine, glutamine, asparagine, glutamic acid;decarboxylated L- histidine, glycine, glutamine, asparagine, and glutamic acid;*Candida tropicalis*WC57 (H4)and *Clavispora lusitaniae*WM03 (H7)decarboxylated L- histidine, glycine, phenylalanine, leucine, asparagine, glutamic acid, but differ in glutamine decarboxylation, and *Candida tropicalis*zhuan4 (CY) decarboxylated L- histidine, glycine, phenylalanine, glutamine, asparagine, and glutamic acid.

Table 2. Pattern of biogenic amine production by NSYfrom 1%Amino acids.

Amino acids	B7	B10	H4	H7	CY
L - Histidine	+	+	+	+	+
L - Arginine	-	-	-	-	-
Glycine	+	+	+	+	+
Lysine	-	-	-	-	-
Tyrosine	-	-	-	-	-
Cysteine	-	-	-	-	-
Phenylalanine	-	-	+	+	+
Proline	+	+	+	+	-
Leucine	+	+	+	+	-
Glutamine	+	+	+	-	+
Asparagine	+	+	+	+	+
Glutamic acid	+	+	+	+	+

+ = biogenic amine production; **-** = no biogenic amine production.

Comparing the pattern of biogenic amine production among the amino acids ontable 1 and table 2., showed that L-histidine and glutamic acid were decarboxylated,and arginine, lysine, tyrosine, and cysteine were not decarboxylated by wild NSY isolates and CYwith increase in amino acid concentration,but increase in amino acid concentration resulted indecarboxylation of the following amino acids, leucine and asparagine by *Candida tropicalis*Pe 1 (B7); proline and leucine by *Candida tropicalis*WC65-1 (B10); phenylalanine, leucine and glutamine by *Candida tropicalis*WC57 (H4); phenylalanine, glycine, proline, leucine, asparagine, andglycine and phenylalanine by Commercial Wine Yeast (CY).

The comparison and relationship between yeast isolates and amino acid concentrations about biogenic amine production is represented in table 3; using statisticaltools such as Chi square, Correlation coefficient, Cramer's measure of association and Test of concordance. The Chi square test depict that there is no association in their pattern of biogenic amine production at P value > 0.05, table shown evidenceindicates that there is a positively linear but weak relationship in their pattern of biogenic amine production at spearman's rho = 0.108, and test of concordance shows that there is discordant in pattern of biogenic amine productionregarding amino acid concentration at p value > 0.05 (Table 3). The degree of association is regarded as not generally useful at Cramer's V- square < 0.1

Table 3. Comparison and relationship between yeast isolates and amino acid concentrations for biogenic amine production.

Yeast isolate	0.1% Amino acid	1% Amino acid	Chi-Square	Correlation coefficient	Cramer's measure of association	Testof concordance
B10	5(23.81%)	7(20%)				
B7	5(23.81%)	7(20%)				
CY	4(19.05%)	6(17.14%)	p-value = 0.893	Spearman's rho = 0.108	Cramer's V-square = 0.019	p-value = 0.208
H4	5(23.81%)	8(22.86%)				
H7	2(9.52%)	7(20%)				

The comparison and relationship between amino acid concentrations have been stated explicitly in table 4, using statistical tools like Chi square, Correlation coefficient, Cramer's

measure of association and Test of concordance. The chi square test and Kendall's test of concordance suggests that there is no association between the amino acid concentrations (0.1 and 1 %)about biogenic amine productionat P value > 0.05 for *Candida tropicalis*Pe 1 (B7), *Candida tropicalis*WC65-1 (B10), *Candida tropicalis*WC57 (H4), and *Candida tropicalis*zhuan4 (CY), but for *Clavispora lusitaniae*WM03 (H7) there is association at P value < 0.05.The correlation coefficient test indicate that there is a positive linear, but relatively weak relationshipbetween amino acid concentrations and biogenic amine production by *Candida tropicalis*Pe 1 (B7), *Candida tropicalis*WC65-1 (B10), and *Candida tropicalis*zhuan4 (CY); positive linear, andmoderate relationship between amino acid concentrations and biogenic amine production by*Candida tropicalis*WC57 (H4), and positive linear, and relatively strong relationship between amino acid concentrations and biogenic amine production by *Clavispora lusitaniae*WM03 (H7). Cramer's measure of association indicates that *Clavispora lusitaniae*WM03 (H7) had weak degree of association at spearman's rho of 0.185, while the other yeasts degree of association isregarded as not generally useful at Cramer's V- square< 0.1.

Table 4. Comparison and relationship between amino acid concentrations andbiogenic amine production.

Yeast isolate	Amino acid Concentration	BA negative	BA positive	Chi-Square (p-value)	Correlation coefficient (Spearmans rho)	Cramer's measure of association (Cramer's V-square)	Test of concordance (p-value)
B10	0.1%	7(58.33)	5(41.67%)	0.41	0.166	0.027	0.207
	1%	5(41.67%)	7(58.33)				
B7	0.1%	7(58.33)	5(41.67%)	0.41	0.166	0.027	0.207
	1%	5(41.67%)	7(58.33)				
CY	0.1%	8(66.67%)	4(33.33%)	0.408	0.169	0.028	0.203
	1%	6(50%)	6(50%)				
H4	0.1%	7(58.33%)	5(41.67%)	0.219	0.250	0.062	0.109

	1%	4(33.33%)	8(66.67%)				
H7	0.1%	10(83.33 %)	2(16.67%)	0.035	0.430	0.185	0.017
	1%	5(41.67%)	7(58.33%)				

Discussion

Biogenic amine positive agar plates turn purple and negative agar plates retain pink colour is a qualitative method of screening microorganisms for the ability of biogenic amine production – amino acid decarboxylation(Tristezza et al., 2013). It was observed that non-Saccharomyces yeastsboth wild yeast isolates and Commercial wine yeast demonstrated the potential of biogenic amine production in the presence of free amino acids.The study agrees with the report of that *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Kloeckera apiculata*, *Candidastellata*, *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* and *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*as biogenic amine producers (Granchi *et al*., 2005) which is the responsibility of the enzymes and amino acid-decarboxylases(Alvarez and Moreno-Arribas, 2014). Although,microorganisms generally possess the potential for decarboxylation of amino acids which results to biogenic amine production (Gardini *et al*., 2016), like Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) that isimplicatedwith the risk of biogenicamine formation(Arena and Manca de Nadra, 2001a; Burdychova and Komprda, 2007; Coton *et al*., 2010; Guerrini *et al*., 2002; Moreno-Arribas *et al*., 2003; Smit and du Toit, 2013).Thestudiesreported by Bäumlisberger(2015), Landete*et al*. (2007)and Wu *et al*(2014)stated contrary viewstothe report of isolating non biogenic amine producing yeasts and biogenic amine degrading yeasts isolates.

The potential to form biogenic amine from L- histidine, glycine, and glutaminewas associated with and are common among the yeasts screened for biogenic amine formation; why there were differential decarboxylation of the other amino acids among the yeasts,which demonstrate the variation among the species.This study collaborate with the report of Tristezza*et al*. (2013)and Beneduce*et al*. (2010) that yeast isolates are able to decarboxylate histidine andhistamine as one of the main biogenic amine associated with wine.Landete(2007)and Moon *et al*. (2010)stated that amino acid decarboxylases are not broadly distributedamong microbes, due to variability in microbial cells.Thus,the ability ofmicrobial decarboxylation of amino acids is highly variable: asspecies of many genera are proficient of decarboxylatingone or more amino acids, as demonstrated byhistamine-producing*Clostridium* strain, and tyramine-producing *Pseudomonas* strain isolated from the same source. The variability in amino acid decarboxylation is a function of the presence or absence of decarboxylase genes, which revealthe correlation between genotypic detection and phenotypic expression (Burdychova and Komprda, 2007; Coton *et al*., 2010).

The increase in the concentration of amino acid from 0.1 % to 1 % led toincrease in the number of amino acidsthatwas decarboxylated for biogenic amine production by the wild yeast isolates and the commercial wine yeast;statistically, showingpositive linear, and relatively strong or weak relationship between amino acid concentrations and biogenic amine production. This study agrees with a previous study by ÖzdestanandÜren(2013)who state thatobtainability of free amino acids contributes to the presence and accumulation of biogenic amines in foods. Then, inferringlow concentrations of biogenic amines in ciders correlates to low contents of the corresponding precursor amino acids (Garai-Ibabe *et al*., 2013). It reported also that someforms of treatment with time enhances increase in the biogenic amine production(Kim *et al*., 2009; Smit and du Toit, 2013), which could have resulted due to change in pH of the environment(Beneduce et al., 2010).it is very hardto find wines without

any biogenic amine, because the major biogenic amines' production are influenced by a number of oenological factors(Martín-Álvarez *et al*., 2006).

Conclusion

The wild yeast isolates and the Commercial wine yeast screened for biogenic amine productionwere all biogenic amine-producers, possessing high affinity for amino acid histidine and glutamine as they were decarboxylated even at 0.1 %.There is no association in the manner the yeasts respond to the various amino acid, variability in respond to change in amino acid concentration and individual amino acid. It was observed that amino acids like arginine, lysine, tyrosine, and cysteineare not decarboxylated by the yeasts, due to lack of the specific decarboxylase genes required.

Reference

Akinwande, F. O., & Jimoh, S. O. (2020). Evaluation of biogenic amines-producing capacity of bacteria isolated from proteinous food. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology*, *16*(1), 1–6.

Alabere, A., Ogbonna, D. N., & Williams, J. O. (2020). Screening of yeast cells for the production of wine from banana and pineapple substrates. *Journal of Advances in Microbiology*, *20*(7), 38–55. https://doi.org/10.9734/jamb/2020/v20i730264

Alvarez, M. A., & Moreno-Arribas, M. V. (2014). The problem of biogenic amines in fermented foods and the use of potential biogenic amine-degrading microorganisms as a solution. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *39*(2), 146–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.07.007

Anfang, N., Brajkovich, M., & Goddard, M. R. (2009). Co-fermentation with Pichia kluyveri increases varietal thiol concentrations in Sauvignon Blanc. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, *15*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2008.00031.x

Arena, M. E., & Manca de Nadra, M. C. (2001). Biogenic amine production by Lactobacillus. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *90*(2), 158–162. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01223.x

Arrieta, M. P., & Prats-Moya, M. S. (2012). Free amino acids and biogenic amines in Alicante Monastrell wines. *Food Chemistry*, *135*(3), 1511–1519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.06.008

Azzolini, M., Fedrizzi, B., Tosi, E., Finato, F., Vagnoli, P., Scrinzi, C., & Zapparoli, G. (2012). Effects of Torulaspora delbrueckii and Saccharomyces cerevisiae mixed cultures on fermentation and aroma of Amarone wine. *European Food Research and Technology*, *235*(2), 303–313. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-012-1762-3

Barbieri, F., Montanari, C., Gardini, F., & Tabanelli, G. (2019). Biogenic Amine Production by Lactic Acid Bacteria: A Review. *Foods*, *8*(1), 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8010017

Bäumlisberger, M., Moellecken, U., König, H., & Claus, H. (2015). The Potential of the Yeast Debaryomyces hansenii H525 to Degrade Biogenic Amines in Food. *Microorganisms*, *3*(4), 839–850. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms3040839

Bely, M., Stoeckle, P., Masneuf-Pomarède, I., & Dubourdieu, D. (2008). Impact of mixed Torulaspora delbrueckii-Saccharomyces cerevisiae culture on high-sugar fermentation. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *122*(3), 312–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.12.023

Beneduce, L., Romano, A., Capozzi, V., Lucas, P., Barnavon, L., Bach, B., Vuchot, P., Grieco, F., & Spano, G. (2010). Biogenic amine in wines. *Annals of Microbiology*, *60*(4), 573–578. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-010-0094-4

Burdychova, R., & Komprda, T. (2007). Biogenic amine-forming microbial communities in cheese. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, *276*(2), 149–155. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00922.x

Caruso, M., Fiore, C., Contursi, M., Salzano, G., Paparella, A., & Romano, P. (2002). Formation of biogenic amines as criteria for the selection of wine yeasts. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *18*(2), 159–163. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014451728868

Ciani, M., Morales, P., Comitini, F., Tronchoni, J., Canonico, L., Curiel, J. A., Oro, L., Rodrigues, A. J., & Gonzalez, R. (2016). Non-conventional Yeast Species for Lowering Ethanol Content of Wines. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *7*(642), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00642

Comitini, F., Gobbi, M., Domizio, P., Romani, C., Lencioni, L., Mannazzu, I., & Ciani, M. (2011). Selected non-Saccharomyces wine yeasts in controlled multistarter fermentations with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Food Microbiology*, *28*(5), 873–882. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2010.12.001

Contreras, A., Hidalgo, C., Schmidt, S., Henschke, P. A., Curtin, C., & Varela, C. (2015). The application of non-Saccharomyces yeast in fermentations with limited aeration as a strategy for the production of wine with reduced alcohol content. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *205*, 7–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.03.027

Coton, M., Romano, A., Spano, G., Ziegler, K., Vetrana, C., Desmarais, C., Lonvaud-Funel, A., Lucas, P., & Coton, E. (2010). Occurrence of biogenic amine-forming lactic acid bacteria in wine and cider. *Food Microbiology*, *27*(8), 1078–1085. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2010.07.012

Diana, M., Rafecas, M., & Quílez, J. (2014). Free amino acids, acrylamide and biogenic amines in gamma-aminobutyric acid enriched sourdough and commercial breads. *Journal of Cereal Science*, *60*(3), 639–644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2014.06.009

Escalante, W. D. E. (2019). Perspectives and Uses of Non-Saccharomyces Yeasts in Fermented Beverages. In R. L. Solís-Oviedo & Á. de la C. Pech-Canul (Eds.), *Frontiers and New Trends in the Science of Fermented Food and Beverages* (pp. 1–19). IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.81868

Ezzat, M. A., Zare, D., Karim, R., & Ghazali, H. M. (2015). Trans- and cis-urocanic acid, biogenic amine and amino acid contents in ikan pekasam (fermented fish) produced from Javanese carp (Puntius gonionotus) and black tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus). *Food Chemistry*, *172*, 893–899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.09.158

Garai-Ibabe, G., Irastorza, A., Dueñas, M. T., Martín-Álvarez, P. J., & Moreno-Arribas, V. M. (2013). Evolution of amino acids and biogenic amines in natural ciders as a function of the year and the manufacture steps. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, *48*(2), 375–381. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2012.03198.x

Garcia-Fuentes, A., Wirtz, S., Vos, E., & Verhagen, H. (2015). Short Review of Sulphites as Food Additives. *European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety*, *5*(2), 113–120. https://doi.org/10.9734/EJNFS/2015/11557

Gardini, F., Özogul, Y., Suzzi, G., Tabanelli, G., & Özogul, F. (2016). Technological factors affecting biogenic amine content in foods: A review. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *7*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01218

Granchi, L., Romano, P., Mangani, S., Guerrini, S., & Vincenzini, M. (2005). Production of biogenic amines by wine microorganisms. *Bulletin OIV*, *78*, 595–609.

Guerrini, S., Mangani, S., Granchi, L., & Vincenzini, M. (2002). Biogenic Amine Production by Oenococcus oeni. *Current Microbiology*, *44*(5), 374–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-001-0021-9

Hong, Y.-A., & Park, H.-D. (2013). Role of non-Saccharomyces yeasts in Korean wines produced from Campbell Early grapes: Potential use of Hanseniaspora uvarum as a starter culture. *Food Microbiology*, *34*(1), 207–214.

Iucci, L., Patrignani, F., Belletti, N., Ndagijimana, M., Elisabetta Guerzoni, M., Gardini, F., & Lanciotti, R. (2007). Role of surface-inoculated Debaryomyces hansenii and Yarrowia lipolytica strains in dried fermented sausage manufacture. Part 2: Evaluation of their effects on sensory quality and biogenic amine content. *Meat Science*, *75*(4), 669–675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2006.09.016

Ivit, N., & Kemp, B. (2018). The Impact of Non-Saccharomyces Yeast on Traditional Method Sparkling Wine. *Fermentation*, *4*(3), 73. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation4030073

Johnson, E. A. (2013). Biotechnology of non-Saccharomyces yeasts—The ascomycetes. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *97*(2), 503–517. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4497-y

Kim, M.-K., Mah, J.-H., & Hwang, H.-J. (2009). Biogenic amine formation and bacterial contribution in fish, squid and shellfish. *Food Chemistry*, *116*(1), 87–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.02.010

Landete, J. M., Ferrer, S., & Pardo, I. (2007). Biogenic amine production by lactic acid bacteria, acetic bacteria and yeast isolated from wine. *Food Control*, *18*(12), 1569–1574. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2006.12.008

Latorre-Moratalla, M. L., Bover-Cid, S., Bosch-Fusté, J., Veciana-Nogués, M. T., & Vidal-Carou, M. C. (2014). Amino acid availability as an influential factor on the biogenic amine formation in dry fermented sausages. *Food Control*, *36*(1), 76–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.07.038

Martín-Álvarez, P. J., Marcobal, Á., Polo, C., & Moreno-Arribas, M. V. (2006). Influence of technological practices on biogenic amine contents in red wines. *European Food Research and Technology*, *222*(3–4), 420–424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-005-0142-7

Moon, J. S., Cho, S. K., Choi, H. Y., Kim, J. E., Kim, S.-Y., Cho, K.-J., & Han, N. S. (2010). Isolation and characterization of biogenic amine-producing bacteria in fermented soybean pastes. *The Journal of Microbiology*, *48*(2), 257–261. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-010-0040-y

Moreno-Arribas, M. V., Polo, M. C., Jorganes, F., & Muñoz, R. (2003). Screening of biogenic amine production by lactic acid bacteria isolated from grape must and wine. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *84*(1), 117–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00391-4

Oliveira, V. A., Vicente, M. A., Fietto, L. G., Castro, I. de M., Coutrim, M. X., Schüller, D., Alves, H., Casal, M., Santos, J. de O., Araújo, L. D., da Silva, P. H. A., & Brandão, R. L. (2008). Biochemical and molecular characterization of Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains obtained from sugar-cane juice fermentations and their impact in cachaça production. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *74*(3), 693–701. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01729-07

Özdestan, Ö., & Üren, A. (2013). Biogenic Amine Content of Tarhana: A Traditional Fermented Food. *International Journal of Food Properties*, *16*(2), 416–428. https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2011.551867

Padilla, B., Gil, J. V., & Manzanares, P. (2016). Past and Future of Non-Saccharomyces Yeasts: From Spoilage Microorganisms to Biotechnological Tools for Improving Wine Aroma Complexity. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *7*(411), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00411

Poveda, J. M. (2019). Biogenic amines and free amino acids in craft beers from the Spanish market: A statistical approach. *Food Control*, *96*, 227–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.09.012

Ruiz-Capillas, C., & Herrero, A. M. (2019). Impact of Biogenic Amines on Food Quality and Safety. *Foods*, *8*(2), 62–78. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8020062

Ruiz-Capillas, C., & Moral, A. (2001). Changes in free amino acids during chilled storage of hake (Merluccius merluccius L.) in controlled atmospheres and their use as a quality control index. *European Food Research and Technology*, *212*(3), 302–307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002170000232

Schuller, D., & Casal, M. (2005). The use of genetically modified Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains in the wine industry. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *68*(3), 292–304.

Shittu, O. B., Adelaja, O. M., Obuotor, T. M., Sam-Wobo, S. O., & Adenaike, A. S. (2016). PCR-Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) genes sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of clinical and environmental Aspergillus species associated with HIV-TB co infected patients in a hospital in Abeokuta, southwestern Nigeria. *African Health Sciences*, *16*(1), 141–148. https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v16i1.19

Smit, A. Y., & du Toit, M. (2013). Evaluating the Influence of Malolactic Fermentation Inoculation Practices and Ageing on Lees on Biogenic Amine Production in Wine. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, *6*(1), 198–206. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-011-0702-8

Triki, M., Herrero, A., Jiménez-Colmenero, F., & Ruiz-Capillas, C. (2018). Quality assessment of fresh meat from several species based on free amino acid and biogenic amine contents during chilled storage. *Foods*, *7*(9), 132–148. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods7090132

Tristezza, M., Vetrano, C., Bleve, G., Spano, G., Capozzi, V., Logrieco, A., Mita, G., & Grieco, F. (2013). Biodiversity and safety aspects of yeast strains characterized from vineyards and spontaneous fermentations in the Apulia Region, Italy. *Food Microbiology*, *36*(2), 335–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2013.07.001

Valsamaki, K., Michaelidou, A., & Polychroniadou, A. (2000). Biogenic amine production in Feta cheese. *Food Chemistry*, *71*(2), 259–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(00)00168-0

van Breda, V., Jolly, N., & van Wyk, J. (2013). Characterisation of commercial and natural Torulaspora delbrueckii wine yeast strains. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *163*(2–3), 80–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.02.011

Viana, F., Gil, J., Genoves, S., Valles, S., & Manzanares, P. (2008). Rational selection of non-Saccharomyces wine yeasts for mixed starters based on ester formation and enological traits. *Food Microbiology*, *25*(6), 778–785. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2008.04.015

Vicente, J., Calderón, F., Santos, A., Marquina, D., & Benito, S. (2021). High potential of Pichia kluyveri and other pichia species in wine technology. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *22*(3), 1196–1211. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22031196

Wang, C., Mas, A., & Esteve-Zarzoso, B. (2016). The Interaction between Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Non-Saccharomyces Yeast during Alcoholic Fermentation Is Species and Strain Specific. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00502

Wang, Y., Bao, X., Wang, F., Wang, H., & Fu, L. (2019). Dynamic Detection of Biogenic Amines as a Quality Indicator and Their Relationship with Free Amino Acids Profiles in Large Yellow Croaker (Pseudosciaena crocea). *Journal of Food Science*, *84*(2), 254–260. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14425

Wu, C., Zheng, J., Huang, J., & Zhou, R. (2014). Reduced nitrite and biogenic amine concentrations and improved flavor components of Chinese sauerkraut via co-culture of Lactobacillus plantarum and Zygosaccharomyces rouxii. *Annals of Microbiology*, *64*(2), 847–857. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0724-8

Yeğin, S., & Üren, A. (2008). Biogenic amine content of boza: A traditional cereal-based, fermented Turkish beverage. *Food Chemistry*, *111*(4), 983–987. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.05.020

Zhang, B.-Q., Luan, Y., Duan, C.-Q., & Yan, G.-L. (2018). Use of Torulaspora delbrueckii co-fermentation with two Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains with different aromatic characteristic to improve the diversity of red wine aroma profile. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *9*(606), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00606