## **Review Article**

# WHISTLEBLOWING SYSTEM AND FRAUD PREVENTION: A LITERATURE REVIEW

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** This study examines previous research literature on the effect of the whistleblowing system as a collaborative system on fraud prevention in a company or organization.

**Study design:** This study reviews the literature on fraud prevention available in various databases.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Samples were taken from 10 accredited journals in Indonesia, publication date of the last 10 years from 2012 to 2021.

**Methodology:** This study is a literature review study carried out in stages: determining the formulation of the problem and research questions using the PICO (Problem, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) method, searching for articles/publications based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria that have been determined, perform analysis and synthesis of the articles that have been collected.

**Conclusion:** The results of this study indicate that a whistleblowing system is a form of reporting and supervision of an organization or company. There are 4 (four) essential elements in the Whistleblowing System, namely anonymity, independence, accessibility, and follow-up. Whistleblowing System is an effective mechanism for preventing fraud. Its implementation requires the company's commitment to protecting the whistleblower's data, a transparent and responsible reporting mechanism, and evaluating and improving the system. Therefore, companies are advised to implement an effective whistleblowing system to support fraud prevention.

Keywords: Fraud; Prevention; Whistleblowing System

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Fraud is a collection of actions that are not permitted and violate the law marked by an element of intentional fraud (Husnawati & Handajani, 2017). By knowing the various factors that can prevent fraud, the company can take preventive actions to reduce the risk of loss in the future due to the fraud. Actions that can be taken correctly understand an industry's business character, environment, and personnel.

Several essential elements that lead to fraud have been described in several previous studies (Aghghaleh et al., 2014; Holtfreter, 2008; Huang et al., 2016; Putri & Irwandi, 2016; Sudarmanto, 2020). The pentagon fraud theory explains that the elements that influence the occurrence of fraud are pressure, opportunity, rationalization, competence and arrogance (Mulya et al., 2019; Sawaka & Ramantha, 2020; Triyanto, 2019). Crowe presented this theory in 2011, better known as Crowe's Fraud Pentagon Theory.

Fraud has become a real threat to organizations in both small and large industries. The Indonesian Fraud Survey (SFI) conducted by ACFE in 2019, which is based on the Report to the Nation (RTTN), shows that the most frequent fraud and causes the most significant losses in Indonesia is corruption (ACFE Indonesia Chapter, 2020). The survey found that fraud in the form of corruption is the fraud that has the most significant loss impact (ACFE Indonesia Chapter, 2020).

The 2019 Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE) survey shows that the whistleblowing system (WBS) method is a fraud prevention tool that is widely considered to be very effective as anti-fraud control with a percentage of 22.6% (ACFE Indonesia Chapter, 2020). WBS is a system that manages reporting on all actions or actions that are against the law, regulations and ethics that are reported confidentially, anonymously and independently. This system is used to optimize the participation of all company stakeholders in disclosing violations that occur. WBS is an excellent collaborative system to increase the effectiveness of control over the company.

The development of companies with increasingly complex problems will result in difficulties in supervising the company's operational activities so that there is the potential for fraud to occur. The incidence of fraud within the company indicates that there are problems with the duties and functions of the company that are carried out not by existing regulations which can have an impact on corporate governance. Therefore, it is essential to take fraud prevention measures, one of which is through the whistleblowing system.

Previous research has shown that the whistleblowing system is one factor that influences fraud. Various studies have shown that the whistleblowing system has a positive effect on fraud prevention (Agusyani et al., 2016; Gaurina et al., 2017; Islamiyah et al., 2020; Jayanti & Suardana, 2019; Maulida & Bayunitri, 2021; Puryati & Febriani, 2020; Sari et al., 2021; Sujana et al., 2020; Wahyuni & Nova, 2018; Wardana et al., 2017). With implementing a sound whistleblowing system in an organization, integrity and openness will be formed to prevent fraud. However, other studies have shown that the whistleblowing system does not affect fraud prevention because there is no data protection and the reporter's identity so it affects employees reporting fraudulent acts (Sujana et al., 2020).

This study aims to determine the effect of the whistleblowing system on fraud prevention. Publications related to the whistleblowing system and fraud prevention with case studies in Indonesia are minimal. Therefore, this study will discuss the correlation of the two variables with various case examples based on publications in Indonesia. This research is expected to provide input to various organizations that the whistleblowing system can be an effective fraud prevention tool to prevent future losses.

The Agency theory proposed by Jensen and Meckling (1976) states that an agency relationship is a contract between shareholders as principals and management as agents (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). In the agency theory, management is a party contracted by the principal to work in the principal's interests. Agency theory focuses on determining the most efficient contract that will form the basis of the relationship between the agent and the principal.

The principal assesses the performance of agents based on their ability to generate significant profits or profits which will directly affect the dividends received by the principal. This advantage is the principal's hope to get a high return on the investment invested in the company. The agent's performance appraisal is based on the profit generated, then the agent may have a conflict of interest for management to be involved in fraud/manipulation to maximize the compensation received (Omar et al., 2014).

The management authorized to manage the company's finances has a personal interest in maximizing their profits by committing fraud. The most often used to commit fraud or manipulation are fraud in recording fictitious income, hiding information related to liabilities or expenses, and inflating reported assets (Omar et al., 2014). Fraudulent actions in financial statements (financial statement fraud) committed by agents, if left unnoticed by the principal, can adversely affect the company's finances.

With an economical approach using agency theory, the occurrence of fraud determines extrinsic motivation to minimize individuals not being involved in manipulative behavior (Shi et al., 2017). The principal seeks to increase management awareness so that management seeks to prevent fraud in financial statements. By incorporating an element of evaluation by the principal through corporate control, the potential for fraud can be minimized (Shi et al., 2017).

Signal theory was first developed by Spence (1973) to explain behavior in the labor market. This theory explains the behavior of two parties when they access different information. Signal theory explains the actions taken by the signaler to influence the behavior of the signal receiver (Ghozali, 2020). Information obtained by individuals and companies is very influential in the decision-making process for personal, business and government interests.

Donald R Cressey (1953) developed a model that influences the dimensions of the fraud triangle by showing that in some situations it leads to a better risk assessment (Huang et al., 2016). Fraud can be generated from pressure, opportunity, and rationalization. Furthermore, the fraud triangle developed into a fraud diamond. Fraud diamond is the view put forward by Wolfe and Hermanson (2004). Fraud diamond is developing the fraud triangle model by adding one fraud driving factor, namely capability (Wolfe & Hermanson, 2004). There are six capabilities is committing fraud in the fraud diamond: position, intelligence/smart, strong ego and belief, coercion (coercion), lying effectively and consistently, and stress (Wolfe & Hermanson, 2004).

Fraud diamond developed into a fraud pentagon. Crowe Horwath coined the Pentagon Fraud Theory in 2011 which developed the fraud triangle model (Cressey, 1953). The elements in the fraud pentagon consist of arrogance, competence or capability, pressure, opportunity, and rationalization (Horwath, 2011). Perpetrators carry out at least 70% of fraud by combining pressure with arrogance and greed. Arrogance is an attitude of superiority and greed that must be directed and corrected. This trait can trigger fraud because individuals believe that they will not be known if they commit fraud and believe that they will not get sanctions if there are sanctions (Aprilia, 2017).

Fraud is an act of intentional deceit that can take the form of embezzlement of assets, embezzlement of information, concealment of facts, or corruption (Wardana et al., 2017). The types of fraud include corruption, misappropriation of assets and fraudulent reports (ACFE Indonesia Chapter, 2016, 2020). In developing an effective fraud prevention strategy, organizations must pay attention to several things, including 1) internal and external environmental conditions, 2) the complexity of business activities, 3) the potential, types, and risks of fraud, 4) the adequacy of the required resources (Sofia, 2016).

Whistleblowing attempts to disclose or report violations and fraud or unlawful actions in the company/organization where the employee works (Nugroho, 2015). Whistleblowing can occur because of a conflict between employee loyalty and the protection of public interests (Wardana et al., 2017). A whistleblower discloses/reports violations and fraud from the employee's organization (Nugroho, 2015). A whistleblowing system is not only a means of reporting but also a form of administrative supervision (Jayanti & Suardana, 2019). There are 4 (four)

elements that make the whistleblowing system effective, namely: anonymity, independence, accessibility, and follow-up (Albrecht et al., 2012).

#### 2. RESEARCH METHODS

This study is a literature review study carried out in stages: (1) determining the formulation of the problem and research questions using the PICO (Problem, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) method, (2) searching for articles/publications based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria that have been determined, (3) perform analysis and synthesis of the articles that have been collected. This literature review is based on various published studies, which will be evaluated using electronic search strategies from different databases on the Web of Science, Scopus, and manual searches on Google Scholar.

Searching various databases using several keywords such as "fraud", "prevention", "fraud", "corruption", "Whistleblowing", Whistleblowing system", and "violation reporting system". Articles were included in this study if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) reported as a publication or report and written in Indonesian or English, (2) previous research was qualitative or quantitative research or literature review, (3) focused on the process and factors related to fraud prevention, and (4) publication date of the last 10 years from 2012 to 2021. The study does not include pre-printed articles, opinions, and comments. The author analyzes the complete article from each article title in the next stage. The author tries to find full-text articles through various databases. However, if the full text cannot be found, the author tries to send a request for the full article to the correspondence author's email directly. Only studies that meet the inclusion and exclusion criteria and find the full text will be extracted and included.

Ten articles were selected after screening using inclusion and exclusion criteria. Data analysis was carried out by content analysis using a matrix table. The matrix is used to compare the research subjects, the place/location of the study, the outcomes used, and research results that show the relationship between the whistleblowing system and fraud.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ten articles were analyzed using the matrix presented in Table 1, which presents the variables studied in each article. All articles used a cross-sectional or cross-sectional study design and multiple regression methods to analyze the data. Of the 10 articles analyzed, five studies were conducted in Bali Province, two were conducted in West Java Province, and one was conducted in East Java, South Sulawesi, and Riau Provinces.

The content analysis results in this study show that out of 10 articles, nine articles show the positive influence of the whistleblowing system on fraud prevention. At the same time, only one article shows that the implementation of the whistleblowing system does not affect fraud prevention.

Fraud is an act of intentional deceit that can take the form of embezzlement of assets, embezzlement of information, concealment of facts, or corruption (Wardana et al., 2017). The Financial and Development Supervisory Agency stated that fraud prevention is an integrated form of effort that can reduce the causes of fraud (fraud triangle) (Financial and Development Supervisory Agency, 2008). In other studies, fraud prevention is defined as an effort to eliminate or eliminate things that cause fraud (Amrizal, 2004). Fraud prevention is also mentioned as a system and procedure designed and implemented for the primary purpose, but not the only purpose to prevent and deter (can deter) the occurrence of fraud (Iqbal, 2010).

Fraud prevention is an effort or effort to reject or restrain all forms of fraud committed by employees, which will impact the loss of the company/organization (Nugroho, 2015). Fraud prevention is a significant effort carried out to prevent fraud at all lines of the organization (prevention), warding off potential perpetrators or actions that are trial and error (deference), making it difficult for fraud perpetrators to move as far as possible (disruption), identifying highrisk activities and weaknesses. Control (identification) as well as make demands and impose appropriate sanctions for the actions/frauds committed by the perpetrators (civil action prosecution) (Financial and Development Supervisory Agency, 2008). Occupational fraud can occur in the form of corruption (conflict of interest, bribery, illegal giving, and extortion), misuse of company assets (lapping, kitting, and skimming), and fraudulent financial statements (for example, in the form of material misstatements of financial statements (Nugroho, 2015).

In developing an effective fraud prevention strategy, organizations must pay attention to several things, including 1) internal and external environmental conditions, 2) the complexity of business activities, 3) the potential, types, and risks of fraud, 4) the adequacy of the required resources (Sofia, 2016). From the several studies above, it can be concluded that fraud prevention is an integrated effort, system, and procedure that can suppress the occurrence of fraud, eliminate the causes or factors for the emergence of fraud, avoid fraud committed by employees, and potentially lead to company losses.

Previous studies have shown a relationship between the whistleblowing system and fraud prevention, as presented in Table 1 (Agusyani et al., 2016; Gaurina et al., 2017; Islamiyah et al., 2020; Jayanti & Suardana, 2019; Maulida & Bayunitri, 2021). ; Puryati & Febriani, 2020; Sari et al., 2021; Sujana et al., 2020; Wahyuni & Nova, 2018; Wardana et al., 2017). Whistleblowing attempts to disclose or report violations and fraud or unlawful actions in the company/organization where the employee works (Nugroho, 2015). Whistleblowing can occur because of a conflict between employee loyalty and the protection of public interests (Wardana et al., 2017). A whistleblower discloses/reports violations and fraud from the employee's organization (Nugroho, 2015). The Whistleblowing System (WBS) is designed regarding the criteria for reported fraud, including 5W+1H, the follow-up to reports, rewards and protection for the whistleblower, and penalties/sanctions for the reported party (Nugroho, 2015). The Whistleblowing System is not only a means of reporting but also a form of administrative supervision (Jayanti & Suardana, 2019).

Table 1. Literature review on Fraud prevention and Whistleblowing System

Number	Author	Location and Respondens	Conclusion
1	Wahyuni & Nova (2018)	Bengkalis (33 respondents)	Whistleblowing system and apparatus competence affect fraud prevention. Organizational commitment related to the whistleblower's data protection policy, an evident and responsible reporting mechanism, and evaluation and follow-up are very influential in implementing the whistleblowing system to prevent fraud.
2	Wardana, Sujana & Wahyuni (2017)	Buleleng (69 respondents)	Whistleblowing system arises when there is a conflict between loyalty and protection of public interests. Internal control, whistleblowing system, and morality positively affect fraud prevention.

3	Gaurina, Purnamawati, Atmadja (2017)	Bali Hai Cruises (72 respondents)	Employee perceptions of ethics and the whistleblowing system positively affect fraud prevention.
4	Islamiyah, Made, Sari (2020)	Malang (99 respondents)	Human Resource Competence, morality, internal control systems, and whistleblowing systems positively affect fraud prevention. Reporting through the whistleblowing system is very effective in minimizing or eliminating fraud committed by internal parties.
5	Maulida, Bayunitri (2021)	Bandung (30 respondents)	Whistleblowing system affects fraud prevention by 54.3%. The whistleblowing system is an essential medium for preventing fraud. An effective whistleblowing system will encourage employees and the public to be bolder in reporting fraudulent acts. A whistleblowing system can also change a "silent" culture into an "honest and open" culture. The study results indicate that more respondents' fraud results were detected through reporting or information from fellow employees than information from the auditor's findings.
6	Jayanti, Suardana (2019)	Denpasar City (108 respondents)	Human Resource Competence, sound morality, a whistleblowing system, and a robust internal control system positively affect fraud prevention.
7	Sari, Nurwanah (2021)	Makassar City (31 respondents)	Internal control, implementation of a whistleblowing system, and asymmetric information affect fraud prevention. The whistleblowing system works effectively because of organizational support.
8	Sujana, Suardikha, Laksmi (2020)	Denpasar City (97 respondents)	Human Resource Competence and the internal control system affect fraud prevention, but the implementation of the whistleblowing system does not affect fraud prevention. This may be due to the absence of an adequate whistleblowing system for the protection of whistleblowers related to fraud, thus affecting the security of the reporter and the reporter's concern about dealing with
9	Puryati, Febriani (2020)	Bandung (62 respondents)	the law in reporting indications of fraud. Fraud prevention can be done by building reliable internal controls and improving the whistleblowing system for all parties in the organization.
10	Agusyani, Sujana, Wahyuni (2016)	Buleleng Regency (90 respondents)	The application of the whistleblowing system and the competence of Human Resources in an organization affects fraud prevention.

There are 4 (four) elements that make the whistleblowing system effective, namely: anonymity (employees believe that they can report acts of fraud/violation without fear of reprisals, so the identity of the reporter must be hidden), independence (employees are more comfortable reporting to independent parties or parties who are not related to the organization or parties involved in the violation), accessibility (employees have easy access to reporting violations through several different channels, for example by telephone, email, online or mail) and follow-up (reporting violations must be followed up and actioned). A solution must be taken so that whistleblowers can report further because of the benefits of a sound system (Albrecht et al., 2012). A whistleblowing system implemented in a company can fail to detect fraud because (1) lack of anonymity causes fear of reprisals. Moreover, employees who report violations, (2) organizational work culture that is not conducive, (3) organizational policies related to behavior and ethics for employees who report violations, and (4) lack of employee awareness due to ineffective whistleblowing system socialization/communication (Albrecht et al., 2012).

A whistleblowing system is one of the effective mechanisms in preventing fraud, which requires the company's commitment to protecting the whistleblower's data, a transparent and responsible reporting mechanism, and evaluating and improving the system (Wahyuni & Nova, 2018; Wardana et al., 2017). Previous research has shown that the whistleblowing system has a positive effect on fraud prevention, namely, the higher the whistleblowing system, the better fraud prevention will be (Agusyani et al., 2016; Gaurina et al., 2017; Islamiyah et al., 2020; Jayanti & Suardana, 2019; Maulida & Bayunitri, 2021; Wahyuni & Nova, 2018; Wardana et al., 2017). The whistleblowing system can also foster a work culture that prioritizes honesty and openness in minimizing fraud that occurs in the company (Wahyuni & Nova, 2018). Employees who can understand the three aspects of the whistleblowing system (structural, operational, and maintenance aspects) will affect employees reluctant to report fraud if they know about it (Agusyani et al., 2016).

A study showed different results that the whistleblowing system did not affect fraud prevention (Sujana et al., 2020). This has happened because the whistleblowing system has not been appropriately implemented. Hence, there is no protection for whistleblowers in reporting fraud or corruption, and public concerns about dealing with the law related to reporting fraud (Sujana et al., 2020).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This literature review study shows that the Whistleblowing System positively affects fraud prevention. The Whistleblowing System is an effective mechanism for preventing fraud, which requires the company's commitment to protecting the whistleblower's data, a transparent and responsible reporting mechanism, and evaluating and improving the system.

This study has several limitations: (1) the research location based on the previous article is limited to case studies in Indonesia, and (2) the focus of the business field in this literature review is not specific. Therefore, companies are advised to implement an effective whistleblowing system to support fraud prevention. Further systematic review research on the relationship between the whistleblowing system and fraud focuses on the same line of business, for example, in the banking sector, plantation sector, health sector, etc.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

2. ACFE Indonesia Chapter. (2020). Survei Fraud Indonesia 2019.

R EFERENCES 1 A C F E n d 0 n е s i а С h а p t е (2 0 1 6) S u ٧ а

> F r a

- 3. In ACFE Indonesia Chapter. Aghghaleh, S. F., Iskandar, T. M., & Mohamed, Z. M. (2014). Fraud Risk Factors of Fraud Triangle and the Likelihood of Fraud Occurrence: Evidence from
- 4. Agusyani, N. K. S., Sujana, E., & Wahyuni, M. A. (2016). Pengaruh Whistleblowing System dan Kompetensi Sumber Daya Manusia terhadap Pencegahan Fraud pada Pengelolaan Keuangan Penerimaan Pendapatan Asli Daerah (Studi pada Dinas Pendapatan Daerah Kabupaten Buleleng). Jurnal Akuntansi Program S1, 6(3).
- 5. Albrecht, W. S., Albrecht, C. O., Albrecht, C. C., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2012). Fraud Examination (Fourth Edi). Soth-Western Cengage Learning.
- 6. Amrizal. (2004). Pencegahan Dan Pendeteksian Kecurangan.
- 7. Aprilia. (2017). Analisis Pengaruh Fraud Pentagon Terhadap Kecurangan Laporan Keuangan Menggunakan Beneish Model Pada Perusahaan Yang Menerapkan Asean Corporate Governance Scorecard. Jurnal ASET (Akuntansi Riset), 9(1), 101–132.
- 8. Badan Pengawas Keuangan dan Pembangunan. (2008). Fraud Auditing. Pusdiklatwas BPKB.
- 9. Gaurina, N. P. M., Purnamawati, G. A., & Atmadja, A. T. (2017). Pengaruh persepsi karyawan mengenai perilaku etis dan whistleblowing system terhadap pencegahan fraud (Studi Kasus Pada Bali Hai Cruises). Jurusan Akuntansi Program S1, 8(2).
- 10. Holtfreter, K. (2008). Determinants of Fraud Losses in Nonprofit Organizations. Nonprofit Management and Leadership, 19(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/nml Horwath, C. (2011).
- 11. 11. Why the Fraud Triangle Is No Longer Enough. Huang, S. Y., Lin, C. C., Chiu, A. A., & Yen, D. C. (2016). Fraud detection using fraud triangle risk factors. Information Systems Frontiers, 19(6).
- Husnawati, N., & Handajani, L. (2017). Accounting Fraud: Determinant, Moderation of Internal Control System And The Implication To Financial Accountability. E-Proceeding International Conference and Call for Papers, 311–335.
- 13. Iqbal, M. (2010). Pengaruh tindakan pencegahan, pendeteksian dan audit investigatif terhadap upaya meminimalisasi kecurangan dalam laporan keuangan. Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah, Jakarta.
- 14. Islamiyah, F., Made, A., & Sari, A. R. (2020). Pengaruh kompetensi aparatur desa, moralitas, sistem pengedalian internal, dan whistleblowing terhadap pencegahan fraud dalam pengelolaan dana desa di Kecamatan Wajak (Studi empiris pada Desa Sukoanyar, Desa Wajak, Desa Sukolilo, Desa Blayu dan Desa Patok. Jurnal Riset Mahasiswa Akuntansi, 8(1), 1–13.
- Jayanti, L. S. I. D., & Suardana, K. A. (2019). Pengaruh Kompetensi SDM, Moralitas, Whistleblowing dan SPI Terhadap Pencegahan Fraud Dalam Pengelolaan Keuangan Desa. E-Jurnal Akuntansi, 29(3), 1117–1131.
- 16. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3, 305–360.
- 17. 17. Maulida, W. Y., & Bayunitri, B. I. (2021). The influence of whistleblowing system Management, 2(4), 275–294. https://doi.org/10.35912/iifam.v2i4.177
- 18. Mulya, A., Rahmatika, D. N., & Kartikasari, M. D. (2019). Pengaruh Fraud Pentagon (Pressure, Opportunity, Rationalization, Competence, dan Arrogance) terhadap Pendeteksian Fraudulent Financial Statement pada Perusahaan Property, Real Estate, and Building Construction yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia tahun . Jurnal
- 19. Nugroho, V. O. (2015). Pengaruh persepsi karyawan mengenai whistleblowing system terhadap pencegahan fraud dengan perilaku etis sebagai variabel intervening pada PT Pagilaran. Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
- 20. Omar, N., Rahman, R. A., Danbatta, B. L., & Sulaiman, S. (2014). Management Disclosure and Earnings Management Practices in Reducing the Implication Risk.

- Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, 145, 88–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.06.014
- 21. Puryati, D., & Febriani, S. (2020). The Consequence of Whistleblowing System and Internal Control toward Fraud Prevention: A Study on Indonesian State Owned Enterprise. International Journal of Business and Technology Management, 2(3), 35–48.
- 22. Putri, P. A. A., & Irwandi, S. A. (2016). The determinants of accounting fraud tendency. The Indonesian Accounting Review, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.14414/tiar.v6i1.857
- 23. Sari, R., Su'un, M., & Nurwanah, A. (2021). Effect of internal control, whistleblowing role and data asymmetry against fraud prevention. Point of View Research Accounting and Auditing, 2(1), 92–99.
- 24. Sawaka, I. G. N. H., & Ramantha, I. W. (2020). Fraud pentagon theory in detecting financial perception of financial reporting with good corporate governance as moderator variable. International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences, 7(1), 84–94. https://doi.org/10.21744/irjmis.v7n1.824
- 25. 25. Shi, W., Connelly, B. L., & Hoskisson, R. E. (2017). External Corporate Governance and Financial Fraud: Cognitive Evaluation Theori Insight on Agency Theory Presciptions. Strategic Management Journal, 38, 1268–1286. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj
- 26. Sofia, I. P. (2016). The Impact of Internal Control and Good Corporate Governance on Fraud Prevention. 2nd International Seminar on Accounting Society, January, 251–257.
- 27. Sudarmanto, E. (2020). Manajemen Risiko: Deteksi Dini Upaya Pencegahan Fraud. Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen, 9(2), 107–121. https://doi.org/10.32502/jimn.v9i2.2506
- 28. Sujana, I. K., Suardikha, I. M. S., & Laksmi, P. S. P. (2020). Whistleblowing System, Competence, Morality, and Internal Control System Against Fraud Prevention on Village Financial Management in Denpasar. E-Jurnal Akuntansi, 30(11), 2780. https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2020.v30.i11.p06
- 29. Triyanto, D. N. (2019). Fraudulence Financial Statements Analysis using Pentagon Fraud Approach. Journal of Accounting Auditing and Business, 2(2), https://doi.org/10.24198/jaab.v2i2.22641
- 30. Wahyuni, E. S., & Nova, T. (2018). Analisis whistleblowing system dan kompetensi aparatur terhadap pencegahan fraud (Studi empiris pada Satuan Organisasi Perangkat Daerah Kabupaten Bengkalis). Jurnal Inovasi Dan Bisnis, 6, 189–194.
- 31. Wardana, I. G. A. K., Šujana, E., & Wahyuni, M. A. (2017). Pengaruh Pengendalian Internal, Whistleblowing System Dan Moralitas Aparat Terhadap Pencegahan Fraud Pada Dinas Pekerjaan Umum Kabupaten Buleleng. Jurnal Akuntansi Program S1, 8(2), 1–10
- 32. 29. Wolfe, D. T., & Hermanson, D. R. (2004). The Fraud Diamond: Considering the Four Elements of Fraud. The CPA Journal, 74(12), 38–42.