

CASE REPORT

MANAGEMENT OF RADIX ENTOMOLARIS IN MANDIBULAR FIRST MOLAR – A

CASE SERIES

RUNNING TITLE-

Endodontic management of Radix Entomolaris in mandibular molars.

ABSTRACT

Aim: Endodontic management of Radix Entomolaris in mandibular molars.

Presentation of case: Mandibular molars have numerous variations in their internal anatomy, one of which is an extra disto-lingual root which is known as radix entomolaris (RE) which can cause various complications and procedural errors during endodontic treatment. In this case series management of RE is done right from detection of root canal in extra root to obturation of canals in mandibular first molars.

Discussion: Correct identification of all canals, thorough debridement, three-dimensional obturation, and a good hermetic seal are required for a proper root canal treatment. A missed root canal is a common reason for the failure of RCTs.

Conclusion: The use of flexible Ni-Ti rotary files and a hermetic seal achieved with three-dimensional obturation has made management radix entomolaris effective.

KEYWORDS: Radix Entomolaris, mandibular molar.

INTRODUCTION:

Debriding the canal is an essential step in the treatment; which can be achieved by proper removal of organic substrate, infected pulp tissue, and microorganisms; followed by complete three-dimensional obturation [1]. Mandibular first molar displays several anatomical variations, therefore; the physician must have proper knowledge of these anatomic variations for proper treatment.[2]. The progression of

periapical inflammation can occur due to missed canal, that is not properly instrumented and sealed [1].

Clinicians should have a proper understanding of various morphological variations present in mandibular molars. The mandibular molar usually has two roots placed mesially and distally, which in general has two mesial and one distal canal. Several anatomical variations have been observed in mandibular molars [3]. The variation of an extra distolingual root called radix entomolaris [4], Paramolaris, C – shaped canals, three mesial canals [5], three distal canals [6]. Carabelli first described radix entomolaris as one of the anatomical variations found in permanent mandibular molars. It is a supernumerary root that is located distolingually [4]. Among mandibular molars, RE occurs least frequently in the second mandibular molar with a prevalence of 0.6%. [7].

According to buccolingual variations, De Moor et al. classified the RE into three types [8]. In Type I root is vertical; Type II has a curved entry point after which it continues in straight form. In Type III coronal third is curved, middle third has a second curvature which continues till apical third. RE is classified into 5 types by Song et al. depending on its morphological features [9]. Other types are Type IV, which is not more than half of the distobuccal root and Type V has a cone-shaped extension with no canal.

In the Indian population, some studies have reported a high prevalence of radix entomolaris which is 2.19- 13.3%. [10]. With the help of the SLOB rule (Same side lingula opposite side buccal) in this case series, 2 cases of RE in the mandibular first molar are treated successfully.

CASE REPORT 1:

A 17-year-old female patient with non-contributory medical history was referred to the Department of Conservative Dentistry with the chief complaint of intermittent pain in the lower left back teeth region for 2 months. Clinical examination revealed deep disto-occlusal caries and tenderness on vertical and horizontal percussion in the left first molar, indistinct periapical radiolucency was seen around the roots. Interestingly, the periapical radiograph (Fig 1) revealed the presence of an additional root distally. Following the same lingual opposite buccal rule (SLOB technique), the location of the extra

root was confirmed in the lingual aspect. Based on clinical and radiographic interpretation, a final diagnosis of symptomatic apical periodontitis with irreversible pulpitis of the mandibular first molar was made, and root canal treatment was planned.

The tooth was anesthetized (2% lidocaine and 1:1,00,000 epinephrine) and isolated with the help of a rubber dam. Large round bur and safe end bur were used to obtain access. Four canal orifices (Fig 5) were carefully negotiated in the pulp chamber with the help of an explorer. The #10 size K-files were used for exploring and negotiating the canals. An apex locator (Canalpro, Coltene) was used to determine the working length of canals electronically; which was confirmed radiographically (Fig 2). Canals were instrumented using Protaper rotary files (Dentsply Maillefer, Switzerland) and irrigated with 3% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) and 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution. An intracanal medicament of Calcium hydroxide was placed in the canal for 2 weeks. The canals were irrigated and then dried with paper points in the next visit. Obturation was done with gutta-percha (Fig 3) and Sealapex sealer and then a permanent restoration was done to seal the access cavity (Fig 4).

CASE REPORT 2:

A 26-year-old female patient was referred to the Department of Conservative Dentistry with a chief complaint of pain in the lower right back tooth for 1 month. The right mandibular first molar was tender to vertical percussion. The patient had a non-contributory medical history. The patient had a dental history of root canal treatment and crown in the lower right second molar. On radiographic examination (Fig 6), an irregular carious lesion is extending from the distal surface to the pulp was observed and an extra root outline was also noticed on the distal aspect in the right mandibular first molar.

Based on the clinical and radiographic interpretation, a final diagnosis of the symptomatic periapical abscess was made for the right mandibular first molar, and root canal treatment was planned and initiated. The tooth was anesthetized, rubber dam isolation was done, and access was made. The pulp chamber was inspected carefully for the root canal orifices (Fig 10). Four root canal orifices were detected out of which two were mesial and two were distal canals. In the same visit, after determining

working length canals (Fig 7) were instrumented using Protaper rotary files and irrigated with 3% sodium hypochlorite and 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution. Calcium hydroxide intracanal medicament was placed. In the next visit, after 14 days, irrigation was done. The canals were dried with paper points, Obturation was carried out with gutta-percha (Fig 8) and Sealapex sealer and then a permanent restoration (Fig 9, Fig 11) was used to seal the access cavity.

DISCUSSION:

Successful endodontic treatment of a radix entomolaris (RE) depends on its diagnosis which is based on a detailed anatomic, clinical, radiographic assessment of tooth, canal configuration, and its management through proper treatment plan [2]. Endodontic failure can be avoided by accurate diagnosis of morphological variations like RE. Detection of RE is mainly based on thorough clinical examination and various radiographic and imaging techniques like SLOB. [11]. The incidence of RE in the South Asian and Indian populations is very high as compared to populations of other ethnic groups [2]. The prevalence of RE ranges from 0.2 to 32% differing significantly between races.

Many researchers and De Moor et al. have studied RE and concluded that most of the RE are curved [8]. Various diagnostic methods that help in the localization of additional canals are knowledge of the law of symmetry, the law of orifice location, visualizing the dentinal map, and canal bleeding points. Endodontic explorer, Pathfinder, DG 16 probe, Micro-openers are the tactile methods to localize canal. Champagne bubble test which is done with NaOCl also helps in the localization of canals. Visual aids such as loupes, intraoral camera, and dental microscope are also useful. Three-dimensional imaging systems via computer tomography (CT) and cone-beam CT are also useful tools in diagnosing RE.

For successful root canal treatment, a proper access cavity preparation, thorough chemo-mechanical debridement, and 3-D obturation are required. The most important is the principle of the above-mentioned technique is 'straight-line' access which will help in avoiding perforations. To prevent instrument separation in the canal manual preflaring is recommended. Most of the times RE has a severe root inclination or curvature in the apical region which could lead to procedural errors such as

ledges, transportation, and instrument separation. A glide path along with the proper determination of the canal curvature and working length would reduce procedural errors such as ledging and transportation. On comparing with stainless steel instruments Ni-Ti rotary files provide a more centered, rounder, and conservative canal preparation [11].

To identify the RE a thorough radiograph interpretation is necessary. An angled radiograph (25-30°) can be more useful in this regard. For RE detection a mesial angled radiograph is better than a distal angled radiograph. Thus, proper knowledge about the location of additional roots, clinical approach, and proper radiographic examination will provide the long-term success of root canal treatment [13].





CONCLUSION:

For treating mandibular molars clinicians should have a sound knowledge of variations and unusual morphologies. In the present case series, RE was diagnosed with the SLOB technique, and a proper access cavity shape was prepared for negotiation of variation in the root canals. Then the canals were instrumented with flexible Ni-Ti rotary files to overcome procedural errors during endodontic therapy. A proper hermetic seal was established by three-dimensional obturation. In the Asian population, mandibular molars should be thoroughly observed for the chance of the presence of RE, which can be successfully managed by proper endodontic treatment.

REFERENCES:

1. Vertucci FJ. Root canal anatomy of the human permanent teeth. Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol. 1984 Nov;58(5):589-99.
2. Mitra M, Rao MA, Grewal MS, Singla M, Arya A. Radix Entomolaris and Paramolaris: A Case Series. Indian Journal of Health Sciences and Care. 2020 May;7(1):35-9.
3. Raina SA. Radix Entomolaris and Paramolaris–Review, Clinical Management, and Case Report. International Journal of Innovative Research and Advanced Studies;2017 May;5(4):8-11
4. Pai AV, Jain R, Colaco AS. Detection and endodontic management of radix entomolaris: Report of case series. Saudi Endodontic Journal. 2014 May;4(2):77.

5. Nosrat A, Deschenes RJ, Tordik PA, Hicks ML, Fouad AF. Middle mesial canals in mandibular molars: incidence and related factors. *J Endod*. 2015 Jan 1;41(1):28-32.
6. Stroner WF, Remeikis NA, Carr GB. Mandibular first molar with three distal canals. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol*. 1984 May;57(5):554-7.
7. Duman SB, Duman S, Bayrakdar IS, Yasa Y, Gumussoy I. Evaluation of radix entomolaris in mandibular first and second molars using cone-beam computed tomography and review of the literature. *Oral Radiol*. 2020 Oct;36(4):320-6.
8. De Moor RJ, Deroose CA, Calberson FL. The radix entomolaris in mandibular first molars: an endodontic challenge. *Int Endod J*. 2004 Nov;37(11):789-99.
9. Song JS, Choi HJ, Jung IY, Jung HS, Kim SO. The prevalence and morphologic classification of distolingual roots in the mandibular molars in a Korean population. *J Endod*. 2010 Apr;36(4):653-7.
10. Chandra SS, Chandra S, Shankar P, Indira R. Prevalence of radix entomolaris in mandibular permanent first molars: a study in a South Indian population. *Oral Surg, Oral Med, Oral Pathol, Oral Radiol, and Endod*. 2011 Sep;112(3): e77-82.
11. Calberson FL, De Moor RJ, Deroose CA. The radix entomolaris and paramolaris: Clinical approach in endodontics. *J Endod*. 2007 Jan;33(1):58-63.
12. De Moor RJ, Deroose CA, Calberson FL. The radix entomolaris in mandibular first molars: an endodontic challenge. *Int Endod J*. 2004 Nov;37(11):789-99.
13. Mittal N, Kumar P. Management of Radix Entomolaris in Mandibular First Molar. *Indian Journal of Dental Education*. 2019 July;12(3):97-100.








Fig 11: Post Op IOPA

UNDER PEER REVIEW