

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_88255
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Water Based Carbon Nanotubes on an inclined Porous Sheet with Variable Magnetic Field
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The whole manuscript is short. Is it a short communication or a letter? If not, the authors should provide more details, explain all Figures as they have to, write a decent Discussions Section, write a paragraph-based Conclusion section, not just some bullets.</p> <p>Figure explanation is weak. There is just a sentence on each. Moreover, the authors should refer to a Figure by its number, for example Fig. 1 shows, NOT the next Figure or this Figure.</p> <p>There are no paragraphs in the text!!!! Hard to read....</p> <p>You state that you applied the PMC method (or, PCM, you write both abbreviations). There should be some discussion or Methods Section to describe this method, not all readers are aware of it.</p> <p>The Introduction Section ends very abruptly. There should be a final paragraph describing what we will see in the next Sections, what you have done in this work</p>	Comment accepted and considered
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>There are many grammatical and syntactical errors. You need to double-check your text. For example, in the first lines of the manuscript I spotted:</p> <p>water based carbon nanotube → water-based carbon nanotubes fluid as" nanofluid." → fluid as "nanofluid." thermo physical effects → thermophysical effects nusselt number, → Nusselt number (Nu), Temperaturedistribution → the temperature distribution Researchers looked at their → Researchers focused on their Bilal et al. [5] investigate → Bilal et al. [5] investigated</p> <p>and many-many more throughout the whole text.....</p>	<p>Grammatical mistakes are rectified</p> <p>Noted and corrected</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	