

**Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</a>                                  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_SARJNP_88255   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Analysis of Water Based Carbon Nanotubes on an inclined Porous Sheet with Variable Magnetic Field |
| Type of the Article      |   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | Reviewer’s comment   | Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>❖ <b>The abstract should indicate:</b><br/>The author’s motivation<br/>Methodology used in solving the formulated equations<br/>Findings, and<br/>Recommendations or utility of the findings</li><li>❖ <b>The governing equations are well formulated</b></li><li>❖ <b>The discussion of the results was not robust enough. The author ought to discuss the findings in line with obtainable results from the literature either corroborating the author’s findings or otherwise. The author should be advised to do this.</b></li><li>❖ <b>Author should take note of some grammatical and spelling errors.</b></li><li>❖ <b>Personal pronouns should be avoided in the paper. For example, in the abstract...../ investigated an unsteady carbon nanotubes in.....</b></li><li>❖ <b>The most recent reference cited is 2017. Authors should be advised to cite some other recent references from the literature.</b></li></ul> | All the necessary corrections were done as indicated   |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      |  |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | The paper is adding value to the body of knowledge.  | Noted  |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer’s comment   | Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| <b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |