

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_88600
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role Of Baitul Maal Wat Tamwil (BMT) Financing Insan Samawa On Business Development And Increasing The Prosperity Of Its Members From The MSMe Sector In The Sumbawa Region
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The work is hardly readable due to grammatical and typographical errors, hence there is need for proof reading and correction.</li><li>2. The topic is too lengthy and lacks focus.</li></ol> The abstract needs to be a simple summary of the entire work	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. I have fixed it</li><li>2. I will make it clear</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Hypotheses are normally presented in hull form first</li><li>2. Tables and graphs should be numbered and address as such and not pictures above or below, and every graph should have a source.</li><li>3. The author should be consistent in his/her referencing style.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. I have fixed it</li><li>2. I have fixed it</li><li>3. I have fixed it</li></ol>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The topic can read "Islamic banking and performance of beneficial MSME's in Sumbawa; Study of BMT Insan Samawa" to make readable and catchy.	I will use this topic thanks

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	