

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_87901
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN BANGLADESH: CURRENT INSIGHTS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The table in the introduction section should be removed. The current literature review is poor. Most of the figures are not the authors' own diagrams. There are additional captions in the figures' captions refers to the reference of the diagram, should be removed and transferred to the main text. The Conclusion section is flawed. Overall, the paper is written like a presentation file or a report. It should be revised. There are many long and complicated sentences. Please, check the similarity using professional software.	I don't know what is cause of remove introduction table. I can explain the table in as main text. Obviously, I have not asserted that most of the figures are my own. To show the development of AI in various sector of Bangladesh, the diagram has been used in this study. I think the conclusion section of this article is very strong. The article has completely been checked by authorize Turnitin software. For the I am completely disagreeing with this reviewer comments and arguments.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The manuscript needs major revision	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<b><u>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>  I am not sure. The journal should apply a plagiarism check software.	<b>The reviewer should check the article plagiarism by official software.</b>