

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_87613
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinant factors influencing teacher job satisfaction during Covid-19 outbreak
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Consider grammar check.  There is redundancy of words in some statements.  There are also repetitions of thoughts in some paragraphs.  Identify specific sampling method used. You only indicated population sampling which is a too general description.  What is "solving" formula? I assume, you are talking about "Slovin's Formula". Please verify because it is usually mistaken with "Yamane's Formula".  Provide practical implications and recommendations based on the results of your study. I am giving 5 points because of the high 47% similarity index. Grammar and sentence construction check should also be considered	Grammar checking has been done with the help of grammarly    We will show you the calculation of the slovin formula.  There was a previous typing error and the formula slovin was the correct one   We will do a grammar check and sentence construction. Do we need to lower the level of similarity?
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Identify the specific type of quantitative method that was employed in the research. Quantitative research is a very broad category.	We add the approach used
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Highlight the research gap. Similarity index is too high. Turnitin results to a 47% similarity. <a href="https://aup.turnitin.com/viewer/submissions/oid:29780:17311767?locale=en">https://aup.turnitin.com/viewer/submissions/oid:29780:17311767?locale=en</a> please see also attached file for the PDF results.	We have added a research gap in our research

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	