

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_86594
Title of the Manuscript:	Exporting Mongolian Beef to China: The Opportunities and Challenges
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Paper can publish if author make major revision</p> <p>- expand conclusion and method - explain more data reliability Add below references: BT Thom, DTN Huy, TV Phung. (2021). Improving yield and quality of wild pork and pigs in Thai Nguyen, Vietnam-and solutions to enhance competitiveness to Thailand and China, Alinteri Journal of agriculture science 36 (1), 746-752 BT Thom, DTN HUY, ND TRUNG, BT Suu. (2021). The Enhancement of wild pig and wild pork value chain in the concept of sustainable agricultural development, Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology 22 (55&56), 64-73 ND Minh, NLT Viet, DTN Huy. (2021). Vietnam’s meat import market under Impacts of the European-Vietnam Free Trade Agreement and quality management demand, Management 25 (1)</p> <p>DTN Huy. (2015). The critical analysis of limited south asian corporate governance standards after financial crisis, International Journal for Quality Research 9 (4),</p>	Thanks for the comments. We have made a major revision to our manuscript however the references are not related to our study. Therefore, we couldn’t add them.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	