

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_84642
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of post-harvest losses of pineapple: A farm-level study in Moulvibazar district, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The study shows the economic losses to pineapple production after harvesting in Moulvibazar district, Bangladesh. Also, it suggests optimal solutions to this problem, which is in line with the role of applied scientific research in achieving the hypothetical theory.The abstract accurately reflects the content.The interpretations and conclusions are well justified by recent references.Adequate reference has been made to other work in this field.Language, grammar and syntax are acceptable.	<p>Authors are providing thanks to the respected reviewer for reviewing and accepting the manuscript.</p> <p>Authors are corrected and highlighted the manuscript according to the reviewer comments.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Some references not found in the reference list.	References are included and highlighted according to the suggestion.
Optional/General comments	Acceptance with MINOR revisions only – at the discretion of the author.	Thank you once again for your efforts and guidelines.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	