

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_77148
Title of the Manuscript:	The critical minimum effort for energy poverty challenge in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.Number the main and sub-sections correctly to avoid any confusion. 2. Develop the literature review part of the paper to include as many as suitable numbers of latest journal references . 4. The research objectives / hypothesis / methodology should be better explained and motivated. 5. The theoretical model / conceptual framework should be described and motivated further.	Thank you, sir, for your valuable suggestion, we improvised the whole article according to your suggestion in the revised manuscript. A new section dedicated to explaining the context and review of existing literature is added along with revision of conceptual framework of the article.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NIL	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1.The language of the paper needs a careful editing. 2. References must follow the style of your journal	Thank you very much for your valuable suggestion and kind observation. We incorporated your suggestions and completely revised the article with better care for language and clarity.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	