

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_77148
Title of the Manuscript:	The critical minimum effort for energy poverty challenge in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div>1. Introduction<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ As much as possible, short sentences are recommended➤ There is no reference in this section. per each 2-3 sentences, at list one citation is mandatory</div> <div>2. Theoretical underpinning<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Articles or should be cited properly. For e.g. line 6 (John Wiley and Sons, 1957); instead of putting what this scholar said in quotation, it is recommended to rephrase what he said and cite it properly. there are also similar citation problem in other sections of the manuscript➤ Figures should have titles and they should be included in the text. For e.g. As shown in figure 1, ...➤ The levels in the X axis and y axis should be clearly placed on the graphs➤ The abbreviations within the figures should be clearly written below the figure in the form of {Where, GR is growth retardation, GP is growth promotion...}➤ If the figure is taken from literature, under the title of the figure, the reference should be places as {Source: Author, Year, title, Journal, Volume...}</div> <div>3. Assertion and assessment<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ add reference</div> <div>4. Policy implications<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ add reference</div> <div>5. Conclusion<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ in last sentences of this section, recommend or suggest some points that needs to be studied in the future, which are not covered in this study</div> <div>6. Reference<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Usually, for research article. 50-60 references are recommended</div>	Thank you, sir, for your valuable suggestion, we improvised the whole article according to your suggestion in the revised manuscript. A new section dedicated to explaining the context and review of existing literature is added, and citation for all needed statements are also added.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<div>➤ When ideas are taken from other articles, they should be rephrased and properly cited</div>	Thank you, sir, for your valuable suggestion, your suggestion is included in the revised manuscript
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	