

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_76865
Title of the Manuscript:	An analysis of reported Crime against Women and Girls in Eastern India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled An analysis of reported Crime against Women and Girls in Eastern India is a very important public health issue. This paper tried to assess the criminal against women in India. The following comments needed to be addressed for the paper to be valuable.</p> <p>Abstract</p> <p>The abstract is good but it is better if they show the summary of the research, but in this, they list each of the study areas separately. In this also need to be added the recommendation at the end of the sentences.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>It is too short and written in one paragraph only and the information needs to be cited reference</p> <p>Even though this paper tried to address crime against women and girls in some parts it is not enough. It is better if they addressed its violence against women in the globe, Asia and India. Magnitude, consequences. Factors and severity of the problem need to be assessed in the introduction part. Generally what is known and what is unknown about crime against women and girls in the globe should be addressed in the introduction part. Show the gap clearly why this study was done.....</p> <p>Methods</p> <p>The method part needs to be addressed briefly about the study area, data extraction, measuring variables, analysis method, ethics, and others but this is not clearly shown in this paper.</p> <p>You said that "the study has been conducted based on eight variables. The eight variables are that indicates the crime against women." What are those eight variables?</p> <p>The result part of the narrative and graphs are good but the way that you cite should be correct. Generally follow the guideline of the journal on writing the figure and tables.</p> <p>Table 1: ANOVA is not clear, discuss narrate this data</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>Separately discuss the finding of this paper with other findings around the globe. After discussing and comparing your finding from the other studies with the possible explanations.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Conclude based on your objective and your finding. Similarly, Recommend based on your finding.</p> <p>Reference</p> <p>Your references are 5 in number (very less in number). This indicates you did not search enough scientific papers. You need to cite and acknowledge the evidence in the globe in the introduction, some part in methods and discussion.</p>	<p>Some modifications have been done</p> <p>Modified considering the objectives of the study.</p> <p>Details of eight variables has mentioned in the study.</p> <p>Findings of ANOVA table has mentioned in the manuscripts</p> <p>More references and literature have been added in modified version..</p>

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	Editorial and grammatical correction need to this paper (such as I.e, Gov.tshould be listed)	Done as stated.
Optional/General comments	The paper should be prepared based on scientific way This paper needs to include a clear method (ethical issue, data measurement, data analysis, discussion, abbreviation, competing for interest, data availability, funding, acknowledgment, etc.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	