

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_88249
Title of the Manuscript:	An in silico study showing potentials of selected mannose derivatives against Uropathogenic E. coli adhesin protein
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I put questions marks to some paragraphs which need to be remove and need explanation Most of the references were not up-to-date and you need to add some new references	All such highlighted parts removed or re-framed. Few references were updated with recent literatures and highlighted in yellow.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Paragraphs in yellow colour need to be remove or change . Paragraphs in red colour need to be added The author used a large numbers of abbreviations which may be difficult to understand them by the reader	All such highlighted parts removed or re-framed. Table abbreviated words were explained after the table
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	You have to mention the null hypothesis or positive hypothesis Review of literatures Article Did not give adequate coverage of the topic you need more up to date literatures to cover it.	The hypothesis given is the null hypothesis which was specified in the subheading.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	