

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_84988
Title of the Manuscript:	Pseudomonas mosselli: A case series on its potential as a rare opportunistic pathogen in immunocompromised patients
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Please specify the probable/possible source of infection of the bacteria studied in the clinical/hospital setting for the prevention and control measures in the future, and put it in the discussion</b> <b>Please justify the difference between antibiotic usage for patient therapy (Table) with the antibiogram results of the isolated bacteria, and put it in the discussion</b> <b>Please define whether the sputum sample from each patient was collected before or during empiric antibiotic administration</b>	The probable source of infection could be the hospital water supply and the soil contaminated hands of the hospital care staff or the ward attendants.  The justification of the antibiotic usage has been added in the discussion and I have changed the treatment portion in the table and added it to be the empirical treatment started before antibiotic sensitivity testing.  All the sputum samples were collected after empirical treatment had already been administered by the clinician failing which they had to refer to the antibiotic sensitivity by microbiology lab
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Write down the date when the patient was admitted to the hospital for the first time	The dates when the patient was admitted to the hospital for the first time have been mentioned by me in the main manuscript.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Correct written for the Pseudomonas studied should be consistently applied	As mentioned the correct way of writing pseudomonas has been checked and applied.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No, there were no ethical issues in this manuscript