

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_84709
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Microbiological Quality of Water, Sediment and Soil Characteristics in Okrika Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Materials and Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Indicate how many and list the names of communities2. Table 1 is not relevant3. Pont 2.2: You can integrate this information in point 2.14. Point 2.3: How many samples were taken from each sampling pont?5. Point 2.4: At this point you must indicate: What analyzes were performed? (Microorganism by microorganism) Which method was used for each microorganism analyzed? What was the culture medium used for each microorganism? Time and temperature of incubation? <p>Note: use and indicate ISO standards, so cam see exemple in this article: https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10060558 and https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197254</p>	Noted with Thanks
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript provides some relevant information that I think that it is important to know for community and adds some information to the science. However, the manuscript is not systematic structured. The methodology does not follow scientific criteria and the results lack a complete restructuring. The discussion is very weak, and the bibliography is very old. Comments are provided for the improvement of the manuscript. I also recommend the general review of English.</p>	Noted
Optional/General comments	<p>Result and discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. For a better understanding, I suggest that you present the results in subchapters for each microorganism.2. You cam summary the table for zone, including all microorganism of zone/area and the to season dry and rain.3. Provide a discussion comparing de zones and season for each microorganism4. Discuss importance of you data for community importance and for public health5. Can found causes of this level of contamination.	Noted

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	