

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_84467
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF FUNGI IN SOIL FROM SELECTED MECHANIC WORKSHOPS IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- The title of the article clearly reflects its content. 2- The abstract is well written and clear. 3- The researcher follows the styles of methodology according to the scientific principles. 4- In the materials and methods no references were mentioned. 5- The article is weak, but it is written clearly and easily for the reader, and there are no misspellings. 5- The research did not include a scientific addition to the field of specialization.	All corrections recommended by the Reviewer have been done.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- The objective of the study is incomplete. 2- The researcher did not mention the type of statistical analysis used in the Research. 3- In the discussion in the fifth line, the word (effect) was repeated.	Noted.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1- References are not arranged alphabetically. 2- The references Aleruchi et al.2019, American Public Health Association (APHA), 2012, and Atlas RM (1984) not found in the text. 3- The reference Atlas, 1981 not found in the list of references.	The references you listed are in the text.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	