

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_SAJRM_84386 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Detection of NDM and OXA-48 Resistant Genes in Acinetobacter baumannii Isolated from Intensive care units' patients Clinical Samples in Khartoum State. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>1. It should be noted that this is a preliminary study, since the sample size is very small, the same study should be continued, but calculating the appropriate sample size.</p> <p>2. The names of microorganisms should always be written in italics.</p> <p>3. Regarding the frequency of the sputum sample, the results of Omer et al and Abdallah et al, are similar to those of the authors. However, those of Opazo et al are not.</p> <p>4. On page 8, third paragraph, the text says: a total of 33 Albuminoid. What does it mean?</p> <p>5. The finding of the OXA-48 gene is similar to that obtained by the authors.</p> <p>6. Eliminate table 2, since it repeats what was said in the text.</p> <p>7. If possible, update references.</p> | <p>1- Thank you for your valuable comment and we will consider it in our further studies.</p> <p>2- Done as suggested by the respected reviewer please look at the manuscript highlighted in yellow colour.</p> <p>3- Done as suggested by the respected reviewer please look at the manuscript highlighted in yellow colour.</p> <p>4- It was spelling mistake we mean A. baumannii and it's written by mistake Albuminoid and now its re edited.</p> <p>5- Edited as suggested by the reviewer</p> <p>6- Edited as suggested by the reviewer</p> <p>7- Edited as suggested by the reviewer</p> |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | <p>Ethics considerations</p> <p>The study received approval from the ethics commission of University of Al Butana (Number 2019/10 MLS) and Khartoum hospital, and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was taken from each participant.</p> |