



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_61835
Title of the Manuscript:	Extraction of Nano Metal from Natural Compound
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is advisable to enter information about Acknowledgments 	OK
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Conclusion must be larger. - In some moments there are misspellings: For example: Second and third lines in abstract need to capitalize first words. - Actual text is: "Table (10) and (2)" – Must be <u>Table (1) and (2)</u>. - Figure 4 is left aligned – it must be centered. - All the text bellow figures must be in equal style. Some start with capital letters, other with small. 	<p>Correction made</p> <p>Done the revision</p>
Optional/General comments	The presented report is very interesting, actual and useful. An approach is presented that allows the interaction of technologies and natural compounds in a way that allows the extraction of nano metal. The conducted research is detailed and accurate and presented in an understandable way, which reinforces this report.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>The authors of the study indicated in the abstract that they used the basis of standard laboratory conditions, which indicates that the ethical norms are observed, without the need to include special conditions and requirements.</p>	