

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Parasitology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_81406
Title of the Manuscript:	TRICHOMONAS VAGINALIS AND CANDIDA ALBICANS INFECTIONS AMONG WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE IN IHIALA, ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Author to refer to my corrections and comments In the review	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The standard of writing of this manuscript is fair</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript is a dissertation or book that was submitted for a degree program/diploma/fellowship from all indications</b></p> <p><b>The sentences are too long and need to be reconstructed</b></p> <p><b>The authors should extract data and information from this lengthy dissertation for presentation as a manuscript</b></p> <p><b>The quality of the literature review is inadequate</b></p>	<p>The authors of the manuscript intended to be explicit in writing , thus gave details of all methods, results and discussion of results. This obviously made the sentences longer than an average manuscript.</p> <p>However, where possible, we shall reconstruct sentences that are too long.</p> <p>Additional write up has been done to improve the literature review (written in blue font color in the re-submitted manuscript)</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	A fresh manuscript would be written using the data and information extracted from this dissertation	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	