

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_OR_90181 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Neovascular Glaucoma Secondary to Bloch-Sulzberger Syndrome Documented by Retinography and Wide Field Angiography: Case report |
| Type of the Article | Case study |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscripts should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title should be changed as the purpose of this study is not to describe neovascular glaucoma but Bloch-Sulzberger Syndrome. If authors want to include neovascular glaucoma, the mechanism should be described. The case description should include the results of the treatment, despite the poor prognosis and how do they know that their condition has stabilized. | Corrected Corrected Corrected |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decide whether to use case report or case study. Consider reviewing manuscript and correct grammatical errors. Should not use acronyms until they have been named and explained earlier. (PI, IP, EO) The terms PI and IP are not the same, but sometimes in the text they are, so I recommend checking this issue. Omit duplicated images (Fig. 4 and 5 and their descriptions are the same) Suggest using the same font for the whole article. | Corrected Corrected Corrected |
| Optional/General comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This study needs revision before it can be published. | Corrected |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |