

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_87404
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Visual Acuity among Commercial Motorcyclists (Okada Riders) in the Main Campus of Abia State University Uturu Abia State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Methodology is not clear Sample and sampling technique is not defined clearly Why control group? Discussion should be strengthened Conclusion is missing References are not a recent years	1. Random sampling technique was employed in the study, it a definite approach known to researcher in science, i don't know how else you would appreciate it to be presented. Pls you may throw more lights on your intents, the control is important as it serves as a guide to determining whether the vocation contributes to loss of visual acuity or not. 2. The conclusion has been added thanks for your contribution 3. Reference has been revisited and the needful done
Optional/General comments	No. Obtained ethical clearance from the Abia State College of Medicine Ethical Committee Informed Consent, confidentiality and blinding of the study was not mentioned	Note

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	