

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_85390
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and predictors of Anxiety and Depression among glaucoma patients in a Tertiary Health Institution in South-South Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study entitled “Prevalence and predictors of Anxiety and Depression among glaucoma patients in a Tertiary Health Institution in South-South Nigeria” is related to a relevant topic. Its findings are significant and useful for future research, practice and policy. Despite these strengths, I suggest to editing language throughout the manuscript for enhancing readability, comprehension and usefulness.	Well taken comments and observations. The manuscript has been reviewed and observed grammatical errors corrected
Minor REVISION comments	I suggest to add novel finding and directions for future research at the end of the discussion section.	Done
Optional/General comments	The study findings may be useful for theory, research, practice and policy.	Well appreciated.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	All ethical issues were addressed before the study. The Ethics committee of the Institution where the study took place duly gave approval. Secondly, all patients involved in the study gave informed consent.