

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_84597
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis, treatment, and outcomes of presumed ocular TB patients attending Central Chest Clinic, Colombo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title must not contain abbreviations (ocular TB).</p> <p>The abstract must be rewritten because it is too long specially the method part and the results. Statistical analysis must not be mentioned in abstract.</p> <p>The conclusion of the study are not related to the aims of the study</p>	<p>Title reworded</p> <p>Abstract restructured and reduced.</p> <p>The aim of the study was reworded. As reviewer correctly mentioned, there was an incompatibility of conclusion with the aim. Additional results were added to fulfil the aim of the study.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	