

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_82891
Title of the Manuscript:	To Compare visual acuity and Surgically induced astigmatism after phacoemulsification by temporal clear corneal incision & superior clear corneal incision.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This paper retrospectively reviews 9 or 11 (hard to determine which) previous papers which is insufficient to be adequate as a review paper. Grammar and spelling and terminology need revision There are no figures -SIA (surgically induced astigmatism <u>vector</u>) is a composite parameter mase up of Flattening Effect (FE) and Torque Effect. The paper should examine the FE as this reduces astigmatism-not the torque, and adequate figures such as on the ISRS website for analysing SIA should be utilised.</p> <p>Preventing SIA is not the pathway to reduce astigmatism-having SIA close to pre-existing astigmatism magnitude and 90 degrees to it is more the goal of surgery</p> <p>“Edmondo” should be “Borasio” in 2 places and the reference should be to the December 2006 paper he wrote which is more relevant. Also reference Alpins “vector analysis of astigmatism by Flattening, steepening and torque” JCRS December 1997 as a seminal reference of the subject</p>	<p>Revision amended</p> <p>Correction made</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Revised</p> <p>Noted</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	