

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_86490
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic Diversity of Bat Rotaviruses.
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author researched Rotavirues, which cause diarrhea in children and animals, and bats may be the reservoir for the rotaviruses. The research also may be an attempt to explain the origin of these viruses but there is a need for major revision before acceptance. Firstly, the authors should explain the significant content of the research not just listing facts without connection. Secondly, the grammars used are not at scientific standard. On the other hand, the manuscript lacks research methodology, and one can't distinguish the results and discussion. Inappropriate referencing, and old references. The author should address these concerns before the manuscript can be accepted for publication.	Dear Reviewer The studies carried out are very scarce and that connection is what is sought, there are still many questions; however, there is also evidence connecting the proposed hypotheses. inappropriate quotes, I don't understand? As I stressed, there is very little information on the subject, each quote presented here is essential, they cannot be ignored. Grammar has been revised.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	