

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_85181
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF MICROSCOPY, BOECK AND DRBOLAV'S STOOL CULTURE MEDIUM AND BICHRO-LATEX ANTIBODY TEST WITH A REFERENCE ELISA ANTIGEN TEST. FOR E.HISTOLYTICA DIAGNOSIS IN CALABAR,NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>THIS WORK PRESENTS THE EVALUATION OF THE EFFICIENCY OF 3 DIFFERENT METHODS WITH DIAGNOSTIC USE IN POSSIBLE CASES OF AMIBIASIS. THE FIRST OF THEM BASED ON THE MICROSCOPIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE COMPLEX E. HISTOLYTICA /E. DISPAR IN FECES, THE SECOND BASED ON THE DETECTION OF SERIC ANTIBODIES ANTI E. HISTOLYTICA AND THE THIRD ON THE CULTURE OF THE PARASITE FROM FECAL SAMPLES.</p> <p>THE WORK IS WELL DESIGNED AND THE RESULTS PREDICTABLE. IT IS CLEAR THAT OF THE THREE METHODS THE ONE THAT SHOWS A CLEAR USEFULNESS IN COMPARISON WITH THE OTHER METHODS ANALYZED, IS SEROLOGY. HOWEVER, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE AUTHORS TO REFLECT ON THEIR CONCLUSION, THAT IN PLACES WHERE MOLECULAR TECHNOLOGY AND ELISA HAVE INSURABLE LIMITATIONS, IT IS CLEAR THAT THE ALTERNATIVE OF ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSE OF ANTIBODIES, PARTICULARLY AGLUTINING ANTIBODIES, IS A GOOD ALTERNATIVE, HOWEVER, MICROSCOPIC ANALYSIS SHOULD NOT BE DISCARDED, BOTH STRATEGIES CAN HELP THE CLINICAL AND ETIOLOGY DIAGNOSIS OF AMIBIASIS IN GEOGRAPHICAL AREAS WITH TECHNOLOGICAL LIMITATIONS IN HEALTH.</p>	Noted
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	