

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_75686
Title of the Manuscript:	Technological characteristics of wild non-Saccharomyces sourced from banana fruit and honey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">When writing mathematical formulas, use appropriate it tools (e.g. MS equation). Furthermore, it is necessary to clarify all variables and write the measurement units in which they are expressed.There is no information in the manuscript on the statistical method used in data processing. Also, the name of the computer program in which the data were processed is not specified and there is no indication of the number of repeated analyses performed.Given the scope of the results presented, it is necessary to improve conclusion section. Please rewrite it and focus more on how your research has contributed to knowledge gaps; describe research limitations for future research.	Noted Revision made Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Standardize the font type in manuscript (literature has a different font type).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The title cover the main aspects in this paper, it reflect the aim and scientific purpose of conducted experiment. The abstract submitted does explain the meaning of the study. The methods used in this paper are appropriate to the aim of the study.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	