

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_88455
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACTS OF THE EXPLOITATION OF ROCK MODELS ON PHYTODIVERSITY IN THE AGBELOUVE TOWNSHIP AND ITS SURROUNDINGS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There is a need for a deeper discussion of the differences in flora and vegetation in the two sites, and what impacts caused changes in the structure of the vegetation community (perhaps due to dredging of slopes that changed land conditions, or eroded due to excavation or physical factors and soil fertility).	Revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The scale in both graphs should be the same• The order of family names in both graphs should be the same (perhaps alphabetically)• Create a single graph that can instantly compare between families in both sites• Fig. 7. This argument does not match the data available in the next sentence• exploitation sites or operating site ?	Noted and corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	5- DISCUSSIONS There may be a need for more in-depth discussion of the significant differences in biodiversity between the two sites in Agbélouvé. It may be possible to use the results of Fig. 2 ,Fig. 3 & HCA Dendogram of reading coordinates	Noted

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous Reviewer, Reviewer preferred to be anonymous.
Department, University & Country	