

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_86079
Title of the Manuscript:	Stability of Cracked Functionally Graded Graphene-Reinforced Beams under Magnetic Field
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	My comment following: 1. Authors should highlight the novelty of this study. 2. Obtained results: more discussion should be providing by authors.	We highly appreciate the reviewers taking time to review our paper. The suggestions and comments have been very useful in strengthening our work. Listed below are our point-to-point replies and modifications that have been made in the revised manuscript. 1. In this paper, the linear dynamic stability of cracked FG-GPLRC beams under the combined action of magnetic field and periodic axial force is studied for the first time, this contrubition has been also presented in the last paragraph in Introduction in the revised manuscript. 2. The manuscript has added more conclusions as suggested by the reviewer, such as the influence of boundary conditions. Please see pages 7 and 10.
Minor REVISION comments	Conclusion should be more critical	We have added and refined the conclusions of the manuscript. Please see the CONCLUSIONS on page 13.
Optional/General comments	More recent studies in this area should cite in introduction section.	We have added a number of the recent studies in this area. Please see the second paragraph of the introduction on page 2.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	