

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_85982
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization and simulation analysis of loader driving stability system based on differential connection
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article is well argued at a mathematical level. However, I have some minor observations which are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The topic: Optimization and simulation analysis of loader driving stability system based on differential connection” should be : “Optimization and Simulation Analysis of Loader Driving Stability System based on Differential Connection.</li><li>2. The spelling of your Abstract is incorrect. Please Correct it. The Abstract should be in capital letter ; “<b>ABSTRACT</b>”</li><li>3. The headings should be in capital letters. For example “1. INTRODUCTION “ “2. DYNAMICS MODELING OF THREE-DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM VIBRATION SYSTEMS” “3. PAVEMENT EXCITATION MODEL” e.t.c</li><li>4. The subheading “2.2 Mathematical modeling” should be: “2.2 Mathematical Modeling” The Modeling should start with an upper case</li><li>5. The superscript numbering of the references in the body of the article doesn’t conform to that of the journal’s format. For example, at the last sentence of your introduction: “and the efficiency of engineering operations<sup>[1]</sup>”. It should be: “and the efficiency of engineering operations[1]”. Do the same thing for the other numbering of the references in the body of the work.</li><li>6. The equation numbering should be aligned.</li></ol>	<p>Thank you very much for your valuable review comments. According to your review comments, I have made corresponding revisions to my paper.</p>
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	