

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_85389
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the development dilemma and strategy of hip-hop dance enter into College Physical Education Curriculum
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The present study reviews the development dilemma and strategy of hip-hop dance in the College Physical Education Curriculum. It elaborates the relationship between hip-hop dance and college physical education curriculum, its effect on the curriculum, the problems and strategies in developing hip-hop dance curriculum. The title has captured the importance of the study and the attention of the reader. However, it needs to be reconstructed to be correct noun phrases, gerund phrases, or noun clauses. Although the author has attempted to present essential details in the abstract, transition sentences are needed to connect ideas. In addition, this present study will obviously add to the literature already available on the subject if the literature is analyzed and critically appraised. The research design also needs to be explicitly defined and clearly described. Finally, the conclusions are required to be added.	Revised  Done
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The author needs to consider the notes given in the manuscript to revise both the content and grammatical as well as mechanical errors.	Ok
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Revisions need to be made based on the comments given in the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	