

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_85367
Title of the Manuscript:	Sleep, Stress Management, and Internet Use among Nursing Students in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Method part is a specific section, and these are the sub-section of methodology. So, authors should specify under the section of methods/ methodology.- What about students' current mental health status and psychological health-related issues such as depression and other psychiatric or psychological problems? Please explain about it.- In the method, the author mentions socio-demographical details. However, in the result, not anything discusses about it.- What are the criteria for selecting and diagnosing internet addiction and time duration also explain it? Which classification system has been used for diagnosis?	done None of the participants was diagnosed with any metal health illness The first paragraph of results section continued the socio-demographical details such as age, marital status and city of residency
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Any specific reasons for selecting only female students?- What about economical status of the participants?	The college is only female students
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	