

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_85205
Title of the Manuscript:	A practice-based methodology on conducting a collaborative scoping review with PRISMA-ScR model for the separated refugee youth project.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The nationality of refugees, the exact location of the research conduction (not only the name of a city), the population/sample size in the study need to be clarified.	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	The whole body of the study needs some grammatical revisions regarding the past-present presentation of the sentences. It may be more than 5 percent in general.	Grammar revised
Optional/General comments	I believe that the study is of high quality. However, it is suggested to draw a general table to depict the process, steps, inclusion or exclusion of papers, and other information elaborated on in the study. This in turn will help the reader to understand the explanations more tangibly. Meanwhile, the researcher has explained the steps of his/her study repetitively in different parts of the study text. Sure, reconsidering this issue might help avoiding recapitulation.	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	