

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_88689
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of surface treatments on bond strength of resin cements to titanium: A Literature review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1. First paragraph in the results section is recommended to move to the material and methods section. 2. In the results section, Rocatec is product name, it is recommended to explain the property of the material. 3. When using abbreviation, it should write full name, at first time, for example, MDP. 4. Terminology is should be unified in the overall manuscript, for example, CP-Ti.	1. First paragraph in the results section had been removed to the materials and methods section. The changes were highlighted. 2. Rocatec had been explained as follows: tribochemical silica coating. 3. The full name was used first and then abbreviated all over the manuscript and the changes were highlighted. The MDP had been changed as follows: methacryloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (MDP). 4. All the terminology had been unified in the overall manuscript. CP-Ti had been changed to cp Ti all over the manuscript.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. The content of this study is not adequate in the scope of this journal, Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International. 2. It is recommended to divide to subheading by surface treatment methods of titanium in the discussion section.	Thanks for your valuable comments. The discussion section was not divided subheadings by surface treatments as the manuscript discussed the finding of different studies that may share in common some surface treatments compared with others.

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	