

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_88336
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical application of esketamine hydrochloride in children with emergence agitation under sevoflurane anesthesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction: Clarify –Hypothesis, primary and secondary outcome M&M: Clinical trial registration if any Sample size calculation Results: Recovery agitation score at T2 between group C and K0.75 not statistically significant please explain Discussion: only 2 references without any comparison with other medications eg propofol, nalbuphine,narcotics) Update references if possible (recent 2019)	Modified as suggested No clinical trial registration
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Any premedication given (oral midazolam) IM atropine (child irritation fear, hyperpyrexia) please comment Is prolonged extubation time for up to 30 minutes acceptable? State a reference or table for modified CHEOPS method	In this study, no other drugs were given except preoperative intramuscular injection of atropine. For preoperative intramuscular injection of atropine, the main purpose is to inhibit glandular secretion (saliva and sputum). Except for the increased heart rate and red skin, no obvious adverse reactions were found. Compared with the control group, the extubation time was only extended by about 5 minutes, which was within the acceptable range for the comfort and safety of the children. Added table listing modified CHEOPS method.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No